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Summary

Background Tuberculosis in children is increasingly recognised as an important component of the global tuberculosis burden, with an estimated 1 million cases in 2015. Although younger children are vulnerable to severe forms of tuberculosis disease, no age-disaggregated estimates of paediatric tuberculosis mortality exist, and tuberculosis has never been included in official estimates of under-5 child mortality. We aimed to produce a global mortality burden estimate in children using a complementary approach not dependent on vital registration data.

Methods In this mathematical modelling study, we estimated deaths in children younger than 5 years and those aged 5–14 years for 217 countries and territories using a case-fatality-based approach. We used paediatric tuberculosis notification data and HIV and antiretroviral treatment estimates to disaggregate the WHO paediatric tuberculosis incidence estimates by age, HIV, and treatment status. We then applied systematic review evidence on corresponding case-fatality ratios.

Findings We estimated that 239 000 (95% uncertainty interval [UI] 194 000–298 000) children younger than 15 years died from tuberculosis worldwide in 2015; 80% (191 000, 95% UI 132 000–257 000) of these deaths were in children younger than 5 years. More than 70% (182 000, 140 000–239 000) of deaths occurred in the WHO southeast Asia and Africa regions. We estimated that 39 000 (17%, 23 000–73 000) paediatric tuberculosis deaths worldwide were in children with HIV infections, with 31 000 (36%, 19 000–59 000) in the WHO Africa region. More than 96% (230 000, 185 000–289 000) of all tuberculosis deaths occurred in children not receiving tuberculosis treatment.

Interpretation Tuberculosis is a top ten cause of death in children worldwide and a key omission from previous analyses of under-5 mortality. Almost all these deaths occur in children not on tuberculosis treatment, implying substantial scope to reduce this burden.
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Introduction In 2015, under-5 mortality—deaths in children younger than 5 years—was estimated to be 5.9 million. This health statistic was a key indicator in the Millennium Development Goals and the subsequent Sustainable Development Goals. The UN Inter-agency Group on Child Mortality Estimation (IGME) tracks overall under-5 mortality, and breakdowns by cause of death have been estimated by the study group formerly known as the Child Health Epidemiology Reference Group (CHERG), most recently in 2016. These estimates have been important in assessing progress towards targets, directing public health funding and spending, and for advocacy. However, tuberculosis has never been explicitly mentioned in these reports.

Tuberculosis, an infectious disease caused by bacteria and spread through the air, is the leading infectious cause of death worldwide. Although tuberculosis is curable, diagnostic methods for tuberculosis are imperfect and perform poorly in children because of difficulties obtaining samples and low bacillary loads. WHO first estimated total, both HIV-negative and HIV-positive, childhood tuberculosis mortality in 2015 and estimated that 136 000 children died from tuberculosis in 2014. This estimate increased substantially to 210 000 deaths for 2015, because of data updates in countries informing the imputation model used to disaggregate mortality by age and the overall increase of the envelope of total mortality for all ages. This mortality estimate is based on vital registration data, and regression models incorporating national indicators when vital registration data are unavailable. IGME and CHERG methods are also based on civil and vital registration data, but also use survey data (including verbal autopsy for cause of death) when these are not available.

Direct approaches for estimating tuberculosis mortality use either vital registration data, adjusting for ill-defined causes of death, or verbal autopsy data; both are problematic for children. Recent estimates of childhood tuberculosis incidence suggest a substantially larger incidence of tuberculosis in children than previously appreciated or directly reflected in notification data, with more than 60% of cases unreported or undiagnosed—almost twice the corresponding proportion in adults. Large numbers of undiagnosed tuberculosis cases in children present...
Articles

Research in context

Evidence before this study
We searched PubMed without language or date restrictions for all records matching "(TB or tuberc*) and (child* or paed* or ped*) and (mortality or death*) and (burden or estimate or epidemic or global)" in any field, returning 1231 records. We found no articles estimating the global mortality due to tuberculosis in children younger than 15 years. Patton and colleagues estimated 17,000 deaths due to tuberculosis in the 10–14 age group for 2004. In 2012, WHO first produced estimates of tuberculosis mortality in children younger than 15 years, estimating 64,000 HIV-negative children died from tuberculosis in 2011. In 2016, using vital registration data, WHO estimated that 210,000 children younger than 15 years (HIV-positive and HIV-negative) died from tuberculosis in 2015. No estimates exist for tuberculosis mortality in children younger than 5 years.

Added value of this study
We produced a global mortality burden estimate in children younger than 15 years using a complementary approach not dependent on vital registration data. We estimated that tuberculosis caused nearly a quarter of a million deaths in children younger than 15 years of age in 2015. More than 80% of these deaths are in children younger than 5 years of age, which would make tuberculosis a top ten cause of death in this age group that is missing from all previous analyses. More than 96% of deaths occurred in children not receiving anti-tuberculosis treatment.

Implications of all the available evidence
Tuberculosis is a key unrecognised component of child mortality. Mortality could be drastically reduced with increased treatment coverage.

difficulties for vital-registration-based estimates of mortality since the cause of death in children who die from undiagnosed tuberculosis is likely to be recorded as something else, usually another common disease with which the signs and symptoms of tuberculosis overlap. For example, many deaths attributed to pneumonia in places with high tuberculosis burdens might actually be due to tuberculosis. Furthermore, even if a child is known to have died from tuberculosis, this might not be correctly recorded by weak or absent vital registration systems, common in many countries with high tuberculosis burdens. Even with good vital registration systems, the rules of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems-10 (ICD-10) coding system state that tuberculosis should not be registered as the primary cause of death when another comorbidity such as HIV is present; moreover, recorded secondary causes of death are often not relayed to supranational bodies. Finally, verbal autopsy has limited sensitivity for tuberculosis deaths, especially in children because of diverse and non-specific signs and symptoms. Thus, both the current magnitude of underdiagnosis in children and restrictions in the availability and quality of direct population-level data lead to substantial uncertainty that is difficult to quantify in estimates based on these data sources.

An orthogonal and complementary method to estimate childhood tuberculosis mortality is based on multiplying incidence estimates by case-fatality ratios. This approach requires a good understanding of case-fatality ratios for tuberculosis in children, by age, tuberculosis-treatment status and HIV status (both on and off antiretroviral therapy [ART]), and credible estimates of incidence. An understanding of the effects of HIV and ART status on tuberculosis risk in children is also needed to disaggregate incidence by these factors. Although such an approach makes generalisations about the application of standard case-fatality ratios to different settings, it is robust in its relative independence from data sources of inconsistent availability and quality.

In this analysis, we reassess mortality due to tuberculosis in children younger than 5 years and those aged 5–14 years using a case-fatality approach, taking advantage of recent tuberculosis incidence estimates, systematic reviews on case-fatality ratios, and the effects of HIV and ART on tuberculosis incidence in children.

Methods
For every country, we used WHO estimates of tuberculosis incidence in children, WHO-compiled notification data from National Tuberculosis Programmes, and UNAIDS-estimated paediatric HIV and ART prevalence, with recent findings of case-fatality ratios. Figure 1 shows the modelling process. Table 1 presents corresponding parameters, data sources, and distributional assumptions characterising input uncertainty.

We started with WHO-estimated tuberculosis incidence in children younger than 15 years for every country for 2015. Since the 2015 Global tuberculosis report, these have been based on an ensemble combination of methods proposed by Dodd and colleagues and Jenkins and colleagues. We used country point-estimates and uncertainty intervals to parameterise gamma distributions representing country paediatric tuberculosis incidence. We disaggregated these estimates for the younger than 15 years age group into younger than 5 years and those aged 5–14 years using the country-level incidence fraction in each age group from Dodd and colleagues, modelled as a country-specific beta distribution.

The notification data represent tuberculosis cases reported to WHO by each country in the two age groups.
(<5 years and 5 to <15 years). In our main analysis, we assumed all notified child cases had received treatment, and that the difference between the estimated number of incident cases and those notified by the programme represented untreated cases.

To disaggregate tuberculosis incidence by HIV and ART status, we combined country-level UNAIDS paediatric HIV prevalence estimates (h) and ART coverage in children with HIV (α) (appendix) shows missing data assumptions) with data from a recent child-specific systematic review on the incidence rate ratio for incident tuberculosis with respect to incident tuberculosis with respect to UNAIDS country HIV prevalence estimates (p), and the protective effect of ART against tuberculosis disease (hazard ratio τ; not country specific).18 Specifically, we assumed that the fraction of the tuberculosis incidence in each HIV and ART category was proportional to (1−h) for HIV-negative children, to p.h.(1−α) for HIV-positive children not on ART, and to p.h.a.τ for HIV-positive children on ART. We assumed that levels of treatment for tuberculosis did not vary by HIV or ART status.

For children who did not receive tuberculosis treatment, we took case-fatality ratios for HIV-negative children younger than 5 years and those aged 5–14 years from a recent systematic review and meta-analysis.17 We assumed case-fatality ratios in untreated HIV-negative children were the same as case-fatality ratios from the pre-chemotherapy era. Estimates were unavailable for case-fatality ratios in HIV-positive children with tuberculosis who did not receive tuberculosis treatment. For this group, we therefore elicited beta distribution parameters characterising the case-fatality ratios in children younger than 5 years and those aged 5–14 years (stratified by ART status) from six clinicians with relevant experience using the roulette method provided by MATCH (appendix).19

For children who did receive tuberculosis treatment, case-fatality ratio estimates from the same meta-analysis17 were available for HIV-negative children younger than 5 years and those aged 5–14 years. This review also presented US data stratified by HIV status from before and after the introduction of ART. We used a regression model for these data to produce a joint posterior for the parameters characterising the case-fatality ratios in untreated children aged 5–14 years stratified by ART status. We used a regression model for these data to produce a joint posterior for the parameters characterising the case-fatality ratios in untreated children aged 5–14 years stratified by ART status.

Sensitivity analyses
To explore sensitivity to the assumption that the difference between estimated incidence and notified cases represents untreated cases, we considered the ten countries with highest estimated number of deaths from tuberculosis in our model and assumed a certain proportion of children were treated but not notified. These proportions were assumed to be the same as the proportion of children treated outside the National Tuberculosis Programme sector when data were available (appendix).

To explore the sensitivity of our results to elicited values for case-fatality ratio in untreated HIV-positive children, we also generated results using the conservative assumption that case-fatality ratios in untreated HIV-positive children are the same as those in HIV-negative children (irrespective of ART status).

Data sharing
Scripts for data cleaning, as well as all subsequent merging and data handling are available on github. This repository

Figure 1: Modelling data sources and stages

All analyses were done with R.20 Our reporting follows the GATHER guidelines.21

See Online for appendix

For all input and modelling data see https://github.com/petedodd/4PM
also includes all input data, model analysis scripts, and a set of test output graphs around representation of input parameter uncertainty. It also contains additional output data, including country-level estimates.

Role of the funding source
The funders of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report. The corresponding author had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Results
We estimated about 40 000 (17%) deaths from tuberculosis in HIV-positive children; most of these were in Africa, where 31 000 (36%, 19 000–59 000) child tuberculosis deaths were associated with HIV. For the 50 countries with UNAIDS paediatric HIV prevalence higher than 0·1%, the median paediatric HIV prevalence was 0·2%, the median prevalence among incident paediatric tuberculosis cases was 13%, and the median prevalence among paediatric tuberculosis deaths was 27% (appendix). For South Africa, with one of the estimated highest HIV prevalence among paediatric tuberculosis deaths, the paediatric HIV prevalence was 1·5% (240000, 95% UI 210000–260000), and we estimated 35% HIV prevalence in incident tuberculosis (11 200, 1800–27 100) and 69% (2900, 95% UI 400–11 800) HIV prevalence in tuberculosis deaths.

Our sensitivity analysis in which case-fatality ratios were assumed to be the same in HIV-positive and HIV-negative children not receiving tuberculosis treatment did not substantially change the global estimates. Global estimates reduced to 218 000 (95% UI 176 000–270 000) for children younger than 15 years and 179 000 (124 000–240 000) for children younger than 5 years; an overall reduction of about 24 000, mostly in the WHO Africa region.

Changing the assumption that absence of case notification represents absence of treatment also did not have a large effect on the global estimates. The ten countries with highest paediatric tuberculosis mortality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Value (95% CI)</th>
<th>Distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tuberculosis country incidence in children (aged &lt;15 years)</td>
<td>WHO®</td>
<td>Country-specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuberculosis notifications in children (aged &lt;5 years and 5–14 years)</td>
<td>WHO®</td>
<td>Country-specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction of incidence in children &lt;5 years for country</td>
<td>Dodd and colleagues</td>
<td>Country-specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV prevalence in children aged &lt;15 years</td>
<td>UNAIDS</td>
<td>Country-specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ART coverage in children aged &lt;15 years</td>
<td>UNAIDS</td>
<td>Country-specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence rate ratio for tuberculosis if HIV-positive</td>
<td>Dodd and colleagues</td>
<td>77·8 (8·4–72·6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazard ratio for tuberculosis if HIV-positive on ART</td>
<td>Dodd and colleagues</td>
<td>0·3 (0·21–0·39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case-fatality ratio children aged &lt;5 years, treated for tuberculosis, HIV-negative</td>
<td>Jenkins and colleagues</td>
<td>1·9 (0·5–7·1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case-fatality ratio children aged &lt;5 years, untreated, HIV-negative</td>
<td>Jenkins and colleagues</td>
<td>43·6 (36·8–50·6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case-fatality ratio children aged &lt;5 years, untreated, HIV-positive, no ART</td>
<td>Elicited</td>
<td>90·0 (77·8–96·9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case-fatality ratio children aged &lt;5 years, untreated, HIV-positive, on ART</td>
<td>Elicited</td>
<td>63·5 (30·0–90·1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case-fatality ratio children aged 5–14 years, treated for tuberculosis, HIV-negative</td>
<td>Jenkins and colleagues</td>
<td>0·8 (0·3–2·1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case-fatality ratio children aged 5–14 years, untreated, HIV-negative</td>
<td>Jenkins and colleagues</td>
<td>14·0 (11·5–19·1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case-fatality ratio children aged 5–14 years, untreated, HIV-positive, no ART</td>
<td>Elicited</td>
<td>87·4 (67·4–97·2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case-fatality ratio children aged 5–14 years, untreated, HIV-positive, on ART</td>
<td>Elicited</td>
<td>45·7 (12·4–82·6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odds ratio of death on tuberculosis treatment (HIV-positive or no ART vs no HIV)</td>
<td>Jenkins and colleagues</td>
<td>13·9 (5·4–36·2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odds ratio of death on tuberculosis treatment (HIV-positive or on ART vs no HIV)</td>
<td>Jenkins and colleagues</td>
<td>7·8 (2·3–27·0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are n (95% CI) unless stated otherwise. LN=log-normal distribution. NA=not applicable. ART=antiretroviral therapy. *From a random-effects meta-analysis of data from Dodd and colleagues.18 When not otherwise stated, distribution parameters were established by matching median and variance.
accounted for 71% (170,000, 126,000–228,000) of global tuberculosis deaths in children. The assumption that a country-specific percentage of treated children who received tuberculosis treatment were not notified in the ten countries with the highest estimated paediatric tuberculosis mortality (appendix shows country-specific percentages used) reduced the number of deaths in children younger than 15 years to 218,000 (95% UI 169,000–282,000) and deaths in children younger than 5 years to 177,000 (120,000–244,000).

**Discussion**

Our case-fatality-based analysis suggests that 239,000 children younger than 15 years died from tuberculosis in 2015, with 191,000 deaths occurring in children younger than 5 years (>80% of the deaths in children aged <15 years). Almost all of these deaths (>96%) occurred in children who were not receiving treatment for tuberculosis. Recent estimates of low mortality rates in children on treatment (<1% mortality)⁵ imply that the number of paediatric tuberculosis deaths could be roughly halved if the case-detection ratio for children were improved from its current value of approximately one in three to the two in three currently achieved in adults, or reduced by more than ten times if all children with tuberculosis were given treatment.

Most deaths were in the WHO Africa and southeast Asia regions, both for under-5 and under-15 mortality; the highest individual country mortality was found in India, Nigeria, China, Indonesia, and the Democratic Republic of the Congo. All these countries are on the current WHO list of 30 high tuberculosis burden countries; all are populous, with tuberculosis incidence rates under 400 per 100,000 per year. About 17% of deaths were in children with HIV. Per-person tuberculosis mortality was highest in sub-Saharan Africa, where roughly 36% of tuberculosis deaths were in children infected with HIV. The average case-fatality rate for tuberculosis in children globally was about 24%.

Our 191,000 estimated tuberculosis deaths in children younger than 5 years implies that tuberculosis should rank as a major cause of death in children younger than 5 years worldwide, despite not appearing in the 2016 CHERG...
estimates, which were based on both vital registration and verbal autopsy data. Directly comparing our estimate for 2015 of 191,000 tuberculosis deaths in children younger than 5 years with the estimates for 2015 (for children aged 1–59 months) reported by Liu and colleagues suggests that tuberculosis might be the sixth highest cause of death in the 1–59 month age group, causing more deaths than meningitis, AIDS, measles, and pertussis. Some deaths ascribed to pneumonia, meningitis, and AIDS may have been caused by tuberculosis. However, some tuberculosis deaths were probably not represented in these global mortality estimates, and thus that overall estimates are too low. The extent of reclassification, and implications for total child mortality are unclear. To achieve better estimates of the burden of tuberculosis mortality in children, new methods are needed that can leverage vital registration while accounting for its imperfections.

Our estimate for tuberculosis mortality in children younger than 15 years is similar to the WHO estimate of 210,000, which was based on an independent approach using vital registration data and imputation using child-to-adult mortality rate ratios. A complementary approach based on case-fatality ratio offers a way to avoid some of the major limitations of methods based on vital registration and verbal autopsy. In view of the current diagnostic capacity and the state of vital registration systems in settings with high tuberculosis burdens, estimates based on vital registration and verbal autopsy data are highly sensitive to the assumptions made in adjusting primary data for misclassification and underdiagnosis. Additionally, any biases resulting from these assumptions will be perpetuated during extrapolations of these vital registration and verbal autopsy data to countries that do not collect such data. Moreover, the uncertainty associated with these assumptions is not well characterised because population-based autopsy studies that establish the true causes of childhood mortality are rare. Thus, there has been substantial volatility in vital-registration-based estimates

Figure 2: Mortality rate from tuberculosis for children (A) aged <5 years in 2015 (tuberculosis deaths in children per 100,000 children) and (B) <15 years in 2015 (tuberculosis deaths in children per 100,000 children)
of childhood tuberculosis deaths; the increase from 136 000 estimated deaths in 2014 to 210 000 deaths in 2015 was largely attributable to new data availability from India, a change which then fed into extrapolations to many other countries, suggesting that the assumptions made for the 2014 estimates were probably inaccurate. By contrast, the case-fatality ratio approach uses assumptions based on decades of scientific literature whose uncertainty can be quantified. Therefore, we believe that such an approach is important for contributing to the development of more rigorous estimates, even as efforts are made to strengthen systems for diagnosis and mortality surveillance.

As with any method, the case-fatality ratio approach has limitations. First, given the excellent treatment outcomes in children (<1% mortality in children <15 years) and the high case-fatality ratios in children who do not receive treatment (22% mortality in children <15 years),17 our estimate is sensitive to the assumption that children who are not notified as having tuberculosis do not receive tuberculosis treatment. However, our sensitivity analysis assuming high rates of non-notified treatment in the highest burden reduced our estimates of total childhood and under-5 tuberculosis mortality by less than 10%, still making tuberculosis the sixth largest contributor to under-5 mortality worldwide. We also neglected the potential for overdiagnosis on clinical grounds to substantially impact on our estimates.

Our estimates are also limited by not having case-fatality ratios for every potentially meaningful subgroup of children with tuberculosis. Because case-fatality ratios for children with tuberculosis who do not receive tuberculosis treatment were not available from recent studies, we assumed that these children had the same risk of death as children in the era before effective treatment was available in populations in Europe and the USA. However, in view of potential differences in underlying child health, these case-fatality ratios may be different today and in other settings. The case-fatality ratios we used were based on death within 1 year of diagnosis; we modelled progression to disease and death as occurring within 1 year of infection, which neglects the influence of trends in annual risks of infection via children who take longer to progress and die. For comparison, the case-fatality ratio for untreated HIV-negative adult tuberculosis cases (over their longer disease course) is thought to be 70% in smear-positive and 20% in smear-positive, culture-positive cases.22 Finally, we have not considered any potential protection against mortality conferred by Bacillus Calmette-Guérin (BCG) vaccination in tuberculosis disease, independent of protection against incidence. The review by Jenkins and colleagues23 was unable to estimate case-fatality ratios among BCG-vaccinated children and a recent review and meta-analysis23 was suggestive of only a weak or absent additional effect of BCG against mortality independent of disease.

We assumed a uniform prevalence of HIV and ART coverage by age among children, and that the likelihood of tuberculosis diagnosis and notification is not affected by

Figure 3: Number of deaths from tuberculosis in children aged <15 years in 2015, for the 20 countries with highest paediatric tuberculosis mortality Error bar denote IQR.

Figure 4: Mosaic plot with areas showing the proportion of tuberculosis deaths in children aged <15 years in 2015, by age group, tuberculosis treatment status, and HIV infection status
HIV status. We used an incident rate ratio for incident tuberculosis given HIV derived from comparing systematic review data on HIV prevalence in child tuberculosis cases with UNAIDS paediatric HIV prevalence. This might be subject to various biases, and is uncertain due to high between-country variability. However, it is specific to our input data, and yields HIV prevalence in child tuberculosis cases and deaths that accords with observations. Moreover, our estimates are within 10% of the vital-registration-based WHO estimates of paediatric HIV/tuberculosis mortality for the African and southeast Asia regions, which include most HIV and tuberculosis deaths. Data were also unavailable to inform case-fatality ratios for HIV-positive children who are not treated for tuberculosis, and these were based on expert opinion. However, our sensitivity analysis assuming HIV-negative case-fatality ratios applied to HIV-positive children resulted in a reduction in our total estimate of about 10%.

A major strength of our work is its independence from vital registration data, which is absent or of poor quality in many countries with a high tuberculosis burden and likely to miss a large and poorly quantified fraction of tuberculosis deaths. Instead, it makes use of recent evidence from updated childhood tuberculosis estimates, current data about HIV prevalence and ART coverage, and a systematic review and meta-analysis of case-fatality ratios. Another strength is our thorough treatment of uncertainty and investigation of the effect of key assumptions.

Reducing childhood deaths from tuberculosis requires more children to be reached, diagnosed, and treated. Developing an acceptable diagnostic test that reliably identified tuberculosis disease in children would be hugely beneficial, but an increasing willingness to treat children clinically for tuberculosis disease, without requiring confirmation of diagnosis, would also reduce mortality. However, it would probably mean an increase in the number of children treated unnecessarily for tuberculosis and we as a society would need to consider whether this is an approach we are prepared to follow.

Many other methods to reduce child deaths due to tuberculosis already exist, but to realise their protective benefit, health systems must invest in ensuring that they are fully implemented. For instance, household contact investigations can ensure that children with tuberculosis already exist, but to realise their protective benefit, health systems must invest in ensuring that they are fully implemented. For instance, household contact investigations,26 and only 7% of young child contacts received preventive treatment in 2015.5 Additionally, because children with HIV are at an increased risk of both developing tuberculosis and dying from it, prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV and ART initiation for children who are HIV-positive should reduce child tuberculosis deaths. However, in 2015, nearly a quarter of pregnant women living with HIV did not have access to services to prevent mother-child transmission, and half of all children living with HIV were not receiving ART.9 Finally, addressing other risk factors for tuberculosis, such as undernutrition, crowding, and poverty can all play a role in reducing tuberculosis deaths in children.

Tuberculosis is one of the top ten causes of death in children and a key missing component in previous analyses of under-5 mortality. Almost all these deaths occur in children who are not being treated for tuberculosis, implying that efforts to identify children at risk of tuberculosis, diagnose children with tuberculosis, and treat them appropriately must be implemented to substantially reduce tuberculosis mortality in children.
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