**Programme Specification for the MSc in Computing (<Specialism>)**

<Specialisms> include: Artificial Intelligence; Computational Management Science; Distributed Systems; Software Engineering and Visual Information Processing.

PLEASE NOTE. This specification provides a concise summary of the main features of the programme and the learning outcomes that a typical student might reasonably be expected to achieve and demonstrate if he/she takes full advantage of the learning opportunities that are provided. This specification provides a source of information for students and prospective students seeking an understanding of the nature of the programme and may be used by the College for review purposes and sent to external examiners. More detailed information on the learning outcomes, content and teaching, learning and assessment methods of each module can be found in the course handbook or on-line at [http://www.imperial.ac.uk/computing/current-students/pg-info/](http://www.imperial.ac.uk/computing/current-students/pg-info/). The accuracy of the information contained in this document is reviewed by the College and may be checked by the Quality Assurance Agency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Awarding Institution:</th>
<th>Imperial College London</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Teaching Institution:</td>
<td>Imperial College London</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. External Accreditation by Professional / Statutory Body:</td>
<td>BCS - The Chartered Institute for IT and The Institution of Engineering and Technology.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Name of Final Award (BEng / BSc / MEng etc):</td>
<td>MSc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Programme Title (e.g. Biochemistry with Management):</td>
<td>Computing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Name of Department / Division:</td>
<td>Department of Computing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Name of Faculty:</td>
<td>Engineering Faculty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. UCAS Codes (or other coding system if relevant):</td>
<td>G5U8, G5U11, G5U13, G5U16, G5U20T and G5U21.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. Relevant QAA Subject Benchmarking Group(s) and/or other external/internal reference points:
   - [http://www.qaa.ac.uk/Publications/InformationAndGuidance/Pages/SBS-Masters-degree-computing.aspx](http://www.qaa.ac.uk/Publications/InformationAndGuidance/Pages/SBS-Masters-degree-computing.aspx)
   - [http://www.bcs.org](http://www.bcs.org) (Qualifications, Higher Education Accreditation)
   - [http://www.theiet.org/careers/accreditation/academic/](http://www.theiet.org/careers/accreditation/academic/)

10. Level(s) of programme within the Framework for Higher Education Qualifications (FHEQ):
    Master’s (MSc, MRes) \ M (Levels 6 & 7)

11. Mode of Study:
    Full-time
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12. Language of Study: English

13. Date of production / revision of this programme specification (month/year):
March 2015

14. Educational aims/objectives of the programme:

The programme aims/objectives are to:

- Prepare students for a rewarding career in computing and in information technology in general.
- Extend student's previous experience of Computing, giving them the opportunity to study a wide variety of topics in depth with dedicated experts.
- Give students a critical understanding of emerging trends and research, as well as an awareness of how these techniques can be adapted in industrial applications.
- Give students practical experience so that they may appreciate the needs of end-users of the technology and address issues related to the design and subsequent management and performance of large-scale distributed software.
- Give students the opportunity to acquire competence in up-to-date techniques leading to enhanced professional capabilities.
- Attract highly motivated students.
- Give students opportunity to prepare for PhD studies.

15. Programme Learning Outcomes:

1. Knowledge and Understanding

Knowledge and Understanding of:

1. Practical programming skills.

2. The detail and essential topics relevant to the students' chosen option and project areas, such as Software Engineering.

3. Communication skills, including project design, teamwork, written and oral reports and presentations and literature search, both web-based and hard copy.

4. Emerging trends in Computing and an awareness of how these techniques can be adapted in industrial applications.

5. Practical programming skills in Prolog and Matlab.

6. Research skills, including time management, research effectiveness, personal effectiveness, writing skills, presentation and communication skills, technical presentation and critical reading of literature.

How achieved:

Acquisition of 1 and 2 is through a combination of lectures, tutorials, practical work and private study in specialist option courses in terms one and two.

Acquisition of 3 is through group project work with reports and presentations (January to March) and 2 MSc in Computing (<Specialism>)
through the full-time, individual, supervised project work (May to September).

Acquisition of 4 and 5 is through tutorials, independent study and reading and background reading for project.

Acquisition of 5 is through a short intensive course at the start of term one (October).

Acquisition of 6 is through individual study options (ISOs) and individual project carried out under supervision.

Students are encouraged to undertake independent reading to supplement and consolidate what is being learned and to broaden their individual knowledge and understanding of Computer Science.

Assessment of the knowledge base is through a combination of unseen written examinations (2), assessed coursework and laboratory work (1 and 2), team programming project documentation and presentations (1, 3 and 5), individual project dissertation and presentation (1, 2, 3 and 4).

2. Skills and other Attributes

Intellectual Skills:

1. Match problems to tools and techniques most suitable for solving them.

2. Analyse computing and computing related problems and devise solutions to them.

3. Develop an understanding and practice of more advanced computing topics, including databases, concurrent programming, artificial intelligence and distributed systems – in particular Architecture; Artificial Intelligence; Biomedical Applications; Computational Management Science; Creative Industries; Distributed Systems; Software Engineering and Visual Information Processing.

4. Plan, conduct and write-up a programme of software development conducted in a team.

5. Plan, conduct and write-up a programme of original research and software development.

How achieved:

Skill 1 is taught and developed through the taught courses, the laboratory work, coursework and group and individual project work.

Skill 2 is taught and developed throughout the year through the taught courses, laboratory and coursework and individual project work.

Skill 3 is taught and developed through the specialized optional courses in terms 1 and 2.

Skill 4 and 5 are taught and developed through the group and individual projects. All taught courses are assessed by written examinations, and have continuous assessment in the form of laboratory work or coursework associated with them.

Practical Skills:

1. Design and develop programs of varying levels of complexity using Prolog, Matlab and other languages.

2. Use computing tools and techniques, for instance software development tools.
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3. Analyse computing and computing related problems and devise solutions to them.

4. Give technical presentations.

5. Appreciate the needs of end-users and issues related to design, management and performance of large scale software.

6. Prepare technical reports.

7. Conduct detailed literature searches.

8. Conduct in-depth research on tools and languages available on line.

**How achieved:**

Practical skills are developed through the teaching and learning programme outlined in section 17.

Practical Skill 1-3 are taught and developed throughout the year through the taught courses, laboratory and coursework and group and individual project work.

Practical skills 4-6 are taught and developed through the group and individual projects. Assessment of the group project is by a technical presentation, a product demonstration and a technical report. Assessment of the individual project is by a detailed dissertation and a demonstration.

Other Practical skills are assessed through laboratory work and coursework. The continuous assessment (laboratory work and coursework) in terms 1 and 2, provide valuable feedback for the staff and students.

**Transferable Skills:**

1. Communicate effectively through oral presentations, computer presentations and written reports.

2. Program in the major computer programming paradigms.

3. Integrate and evaluate information from multiple and diverse sources.

4. Work within and contribute to a team, apply management skills such as coordination, project design and evaluation and decision processes as applied in software engineering.

5. Manage resources and time.

6. Transfer techniques and solutions from one area to another.

7. Learn independently with open mindedness and critical enquiry.

8. Learn effectively for the purpose of continuing professional development.

**How achieved:**

Skill 1 is developed through feedback on coursework, reports and presentations. Depending on specialism the group project requires some or all of a product demonstration, an oral presentation and a report comprising design and implementation documentation, end-user documentation and a comprehensive project logbook.
Skill 2 is taught through lectures and practical coursework. It is further developed, as appropriate, in the individual project.

Skills 3 and 7 are developed through the individual and team group project work.

Skill 4 is developed through group project work.

Skill 5 is developed throughout the course within a series of staged deadlines.

Skills 7 and 9 are not explicitly taught but are encouraged and developed throughout the course.

Skill 1 is assessed through coursework, project presentations and reports and written examinations.

Skill 2 is assessed through coursework.

Skill 5 is assessed in the group project.

The other skills are not assessed formally, but are implicitly assessed through coursework and the group and individual project reports.

16. The following reference points were used in creating this programme specification.

The following reference point was used in creating the Programme Specification:

http://www.imperial.ac.uk/computing/current-students/pg-info/ (Course web pages)

http://www.qaa.ac.uk/Publications/InformationAndGuidance/Pages/SBS-Masters-degree-computing.aspx

http://www.bcs.org (Qualifications, Higher Education Accreditation)

http://www.theiet.org/careers/accreditation/academic/

17. Programme structure and features, curriculum units (modules), ECTS assignment and award requirements:

For curriculum units (modules) please see:

MSc in Computing (Artificial Intelligence):
http://www.imperial.ac.uk/computing/current-students/pg-info/mcs-ai/

MSc in Computing (Computational Management Science)
http://www.imperial.ac.uk/computing/current-students/pg-info/mcs-cm/

MSc in Computing (Machine Learning)
http://www.imperial.ac.uk/computing/current-students/pg-info/mcs-ml/

MSc in Computing (Software Engineering)
http://www.imperial.ac.uk/computing/current-students/pg-info/mcs-se/

MSc in Computing (Visual Information Processing)
http://www.imperial.ac.uk/computing/current-students/pg-info/mcs-vip/
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18. Support provided to students to assist learning (including collaborative students, where appropriate).

- One week orientation at the beginning of the Autumn Term, introduction to the Department, to the library, to personal tutors and to the degree programme.
- Extensive library facilities.
- State-of-the-art Computing facilities, with about 200 workstations available. The stock is regularly upgraded and the scheduled lab sessions have lab staff to assist with technical queries.
- Students are each allocated a personal tutor. The tutor’s role is to assist their tutees with personal problems and to advise students on academic issues that may arise during the course of the year. In addition to this an academic member of the Department facilitates the administration and co-ordination of the degree.
- Students have access to their tutorial support, including the Course Director.
- Students have access to the Internet and the MSc web-page gives details of courses available, their syllabuses and a guide to completing project work, as well as a FAQ page. Students also have access to the Departmental web pages which include examination and lecture timetables, an in house "Continuous Assessment Tracking Engine" (CATE) used for the electronic administration of coursework via the web and an online computing dictionary, links to careers and the main College web site.
- Access through CATE to teaching material, lecture notes, tutorial exercises, as well as the schedule of assessed work.
- Access to student counsellors on-site.
- Elected student MSc Computing Representatives meet regularly with the MSc Computing Science Director. They also meet at staff-student Committee three times a year to discuss issues relating to their study.
- A large community of postgraduate research students and postdoctoral research workers located on-site who interact with MSc Computer Science students in the course of their learning.
- Access to Teaching and Learning Support Services, which provide assistance and guidance e.g. on careers.
- Students are given the opportunity to carry out their research project at external institutions, during which time an academic member of the Department is responsible for overseeing the student's welfare and monitoring progress.
- Employer needs and opinions feed into the programme through frequent guest lectures from industry, industry based group and individual projects and collaboration between staff and industry in research and consultancy. The Department's student society (DOC SOC) regularly invites guest speakers from industry to discuss career and technical issues.
- An Industrial panel consisting of influential members of Industry and professional institutions has been formed partly with the purpose of feeding needs and opinions into our teaching programmes.

19. Criteria for admission:

The minimum qualification for admission is normally at least an Upper Second Class Honours degree from a UK academic institution or an equivalent overseas qualification, together with some computing experience. Where an applicant has a lesser degree qualification but has several years of relevant experience, the MSc Admissions Tutor may submit a special case for admission to the College.

20. Processes used to select students:

All UK applicants, where it is considered possible to make them an offer, are invited for interview with the MSc Admissions Tutor. Other applicants may be contacted by telephone or email.

6 MSc in Computing (<Specialism>)

Committees with responsibility for monitoring and evaluating quality and standards:

• Departmental Academic Committee
• Staff-Student Committee
• Board of Examiners - meets in July and November to consider awards - Imperial College
• Graduate School of Engineering and Physical Sciences Management Committee - Imperial College Senate

Mechanisms for gaining student feedback on the quality of teaching and their learning experience:

• Feedback from lecturer evaluation questionnaires;
• Staff-Student Committee held each term; feedback from this is passed on to the Academic Committee;
• Meetings with personal tutees.
• Regular meetings between student representatives and Course Director.

a) Methods for review and evaluation of teaching, learning, assessment, the curriculum and outcome standards:

The external examiner system and Boards of Examiners are central to the process by which the College monitors the reliability and validity of its assessment procedures and academic standards. Boards of Examiners comment on the assessment procedures within the College and may suggest improvements for action by relevant departmental teaching Committees.

The Faculty Studies Committees and the Graduate Schools' Postgraduate Quality Committees review and consider the reports of external examiners and accrediting bodies and conduct periodic (normally quinquennial) and internal reviews of teaching provision. Regular reviews ensure that there is opportunity to highlight examples of good practice and ensure that recommendations for improvement can be made.

At programme level, the Head of Department/Division has overall responsibility for academic standards and the quality of the educational experience delivered within the department or division.

Most of the College’s undergraduate programmes are accredited by professional engineering and science bodies or by the General Medical Council. Accreditation provides the College with additional assurance that its programmes are of an appropriate standard and relevant to the requirement of industry and the professions. Some postgraduate taught courses are also accredited.

Mechanisms for review and evaluation of teaching, learning, assessment, the curriculum and outcome standards:

• Regular Reports on the different programmes by the various Course Directors and Year Coordinators submitted to the monthly meetings of the Academic Committee.
• Feedback from students both at the termly meetings of the Staff-Student Committee and through returns from the student lecture evaluation questionnaires.
• Feedback from Peer Review of Teaching to check for adequate coverage of material in given subject areas.
• Reports from External Examiners commenting on the range of subjects covered and the standard achieved.
• Reports from alumni on the relevance of material taught to their professional work.
• Biennial staff appraisal.
• Periodic review of Departmental teaching by the College Graduate School of Engineering and Physical Sciences Management Committee.
b) Committees with responsibility for monitoring and evaluating quality and standards:

The **Senate** oversees the quality assurance and regulation of degrees offered by the College. It is charged with promoting the academic work of the College, both in teaching and research, and with regulating and supervising the education and discipline of the students of the College. It has responsibility for approval of changes to the Academic Regulations, major changes to degree programmes and approval of new programmes.

The **Quality Assurance Advisory Committee (QAAC)** is the main forum for discussion of QA policy and the regulation of degree programmes at College level. QAAC develops and advises the Senate on the implementation of codes of practice and procedures relating to quality assurance and audit of quality and arrangements necessary to ensure compliance with national and international standards. QAAC also considers amendments to the Academic Regulations before making recommendations for change to the Senate. It also maintains an overview of the statistics on completion rates, withdrawals, examination irregularities (including cases of plagiarism), student appeals and disciplinaries.

The **Faculty Studies Committees** and **Graduate School Postgraduate Quality Committees** are the major vehicle for the quality assurance of undergraduate / postgraduate courses respectively. Their remit includes: setting the standards and framework, and overseeing the processes of quality assurance, for the areas within their remit; monitoring the provision and quality of e-learning; undertaking reviews of new and existing courses; noting minor changes in existing programme curricula approved by Departments; approving new modules, changes in module titles, major changes in examination structure and programme specifications for existing programmes; and reviewing proposals for new programmes, and the discontinuation of existing programmes, and making recommendations to Senate as appropriate.

The **Faculty Teaching Committees** maintain and develop teaching strategies and promote inter-departmental and inter-faculty teaching activities to enhance the efficiency of teaching within Faculties. They also identify and disseminate examples of good practice in teaching.

The **Academic Committee** meets monthly and deals with both the strategic and the regular day to day decisions about the Departments teaching. Subcommittees are formed and look at problem areas. Year and Course Coordinators discuss problems of their constituencies. Topics for discussion can come from any member of the teaching staff and sometimes come from the Departments Operations Committee. Decisions requiring regulations to be changed go to the Engineering Studies Committee and then to College Senate.

c) Mechanisms for providing prompt feedback to students on their performance in course work and examinations and processes for monitoring that these named processes are effective:

Marking and the dissemination of marks are required to be completed within two weeks of being handed in. This is monitored on our online tracking engine called CATE.

CATE requires students to submit their work either electronically or manually via this system. The Senior Tutor is informed of late submissions. Once submitted the system will then track the progress of the work being marked and will publish the marks when complete. Failure to publish the marked work within the set time will result in CATE emailing the relevant lecturers of the lateness.

**Methods for checking effectiveness include:**

- Feedback from lecturer evaluation questionnaires.
- Staff-Student Committee held each term; feedback from this is passed on to the Academic Committee.
- Meetings with personal tutees.
- Regular meetings between student representatives and Course Director.
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d) Mechanisms for gaining student feedback on the quality of teaching and their learning experience and how students are provided with feedback as to actions taken as a result of their comments:

• Feedback from PG SOLE / MOLE questionnaires;
• Staff-Student Committee held each term; feedback from this is passed on to the Academic Committee;
• Meetings with personal tutees.
• Regular meetings between student representatives and Course Director.

e) Mechanisms for monitoring the effectiveness of the personal tutoring system:

• Feedback from lecturer evaluation questionnaires
• Staff-Student Committee held each term; feedback from this is passed on to the Academic Committee;
• Regular meetings between student representatives and Course Director.

f) Mechanisms for recognising and rewarding excellence in teaching and in pastoral care:

Staff are encouraged to reflect on their teaching, in order to introduce enhancements and develop innovative teaching methods. Each year College awards are presented to academic staff for outstanding contributions to teaching, pastoral care or research supervision. A special award for Teaching Innovation, available each year, is presented to a member of staff who has demonstrated an original and innovative approach to teaching. Nominations for these awards come from across the College and students are invited both to nominate staff and to sit on the deciding panels.

g) Staff development priorities for this programme include:

• Active research programme in multiple fields of Computing.
• Staff appraisal schemes and staff development programmes.
• Updating professional development.

22. Regulation of Assessment.

a) Marking Schemes for postgraduate taught programmes:

Please see:

http://www.imperial.ac.uk/computing/current-students/course-admin/regulations/specialisms/

b) Processes for dealing with mitigating circumstances:

A candidate for a Master’s degree who is prevented owing to illness or the death of a near relative or other cause judged sufficient by the Graduate Schools from completing at the normal time the examination or Part of the examination for which he/she has entered may, at the discretion of the Examiners,

(a) Enter the examination in those elements in which he/she was not able to be examined on the next occasion when the examination is held in order to complete the examination,

or

(b) set a special examination in those elements of the examination missed as soon as possible and/or be permitted to submit any work prescribed (e.g. report) at a date specified by the Board of Examiners.
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concerned. The special examination shall be in the same format as specified in the course regulations for the element(s) missed.

Applications, which must be accompanied by a medical certificate or other statement of the grounds on which the application is made, shall be submitted to the Academic Registrar who will submit them to the Board of Examiners.

c) Processes for determining degree classification for borderline candidates:

Candidates should only be considered for promotion to pass, merit or distinction if their aggregate mark is within 2.5% of the relevant borderline. Nevertheless, candidates whom the Board deems to have exceptional circumstances may be considered for promotion even if their aggregate mark is more than 2.5% from the borderline. In such cases the necessary extra marks should be credited to bring the candidate’s aggregate mark into the higher range.

d) Role of external examiners:

The primary duty of external examiners is to ensure that the degrees awarded by the College are consistent with that of the national university system. External examiners are also responsible for approval of draft question papers, assessment of examination scripts, projects and coursework (where appropriate) and in some cases will attend viva voce and clinical examinations. Although external examiners do not have power of veto their views carry considerable weight and will be treated accordingly. External examiners are required to attend each meeting of the Board of Examiners where recommendations on the results of individual examinations are considered. External examiners are required to write an annual report to the Rector of Imperial College which may include observations on teaching, course structure and course content as well as the examination process as a whole. The College provides feedback to external examiners in response to recommendations made within their reports.

23. Indicators of Quality and Standards:

•Recognition amongst employers.
•Favourable comments from the students.
•Favourable comments by External Examiners.
•Professional body accreditation (*CITP Further Learning Element, Partial CSci and Partial CEng) (The Institute of Engineering and Technology – The IET and the BCS - The Chartered Institute for IT)
•Recognition and high profile of the course amongst applicants (as judged by the large number of applicants and their quality).
•Recognition in academic institutions of the quality of PhD candidates emerging from the MSc course.

24. Key sources of information about the programme can be found in:

http://www.imperial.ac.uk/computing/current-students/pg-info/
http://www.imperial.ac.uk/computing/current-students/pg-info/pg-handbook/
http://www.imperial.ac.uk/study/pg/computing/