MRes in Chemical Biology

The Institute of Chemical Biology and
The Department of Chemistry
Imperial College London

Academic Year: 3rd October 2016 – 30th September 2017
Some Important Dates

Monday 3rd October 2016
09.00 – Onwards  MRes postgraduate welcome pack can be collected from Student services centre, Room 258, Level 2, Chemistry building
10.00 – 12.00  Welcome & Introduction to the Course (Postgraduate Common Room 231, Level 2, Chemistry)
12.00 – 14.00  ICB Informal Lunch (Postgraduate Common Room 231, Level 2, Chemistry)
16.15 – 17.00  Welcome Talk by Rector, Director of Graduate School and GSA Chair (Great Hall, Level 2, Sherfield Building)

Tuesday 4th October 2016
11.00 – 16.00  Freshers’ Fair (Student Union, South Kensington campus)
16.00 onwards  Freshers’ Fair afterparty (Student Union, South Kensington Campus)

Thursday 6th October 2016
12.00 – 13.00  Safety Talks - Primary Induction (Pippard Lecture Theatre, Level 5, Sherfield Building)
               Attendance Compulsory
14.00 – 15.30  Safety Talks – Basic Laboratory Safety (Pippard Lecture Theatre, Level 5, Sherfield Building)
               Attendance Compulsory
15.30 – 16.00  Hazard Explosion Lecture (Pippard Lecture Theatre, Level 5, Sherfield Building)
               Attendance Compulsory

Friday 7th October 2016
14.00 – 17.00  Department of Chemistry Welcome Induction for all new MRes Postgraduates (Lecture Theatre C, RCS1 Building & Room 231, Level 2, Chemistry)

Saturday 8th October 2016
19.00 – 22.00  Postgraduate Mingle (Student Union, South Kensington campus)

From Monday 10th October 2016
Lecture Courses begin (See timetable for specific times and locations)

Friday 14th October 2016
Deadline 12.00  Only for one-year MRes students - Submission of 3 project choices in order of preference to the MRes programme coordinator Dr. Mike Ray by email (michael.ray@imperial.ac.uk)

Tuesday 8th November 2016
All-day  Industrial visit to P&G Reading.
         Attendance Compulsory

Friday 11th November 2016
All-day  Creativity event. CDT teaching rooms, Library (access through the Sherfield Building).
         Attendance Compulsory

Tuesday 15th November 2016
14.00 – 17.00  Research Ethics – Transferable Skills Course – Prof Marianne Talbot (Flowers Building, Room G47A)
               Attendance Compulsory
Wednesday 16th November 2016
13.00 – 15.00 Introduction to PyMol: Computer Lab (Room 135, Chemistry, Computer room)
Attendance Compulsory

Friday 25th November 2016
09.30 – 15.30 Bioethics – Transferable Skills Course – Prof Marianne Talbot (Flowers Building, Room G47A)
Attendance Compulsory

Monday 28th November 2016 – Thursday 1st December 2016
Biochemistry Practical - Attendance Compulsory

Wednesday 14th December 2016
DEADLINE: 12.00 Submit:
1) One electronic copy of Literature Report by email to the MRes programme coordinator Dr Mike Ray (michael.ray@imperial.ac.uk)
2) One electronic copy of your Literature Report (as word or pdf format) on Blackboard Virtual Learning Environment

Monday 9th – Friday 13th January 2017
Exam Week – Exam dates, times and locations to be confirmed.

Monday 16th January 2017
Start of Research Project (report to supervisors to arrange start of bench work)

Tuesday 24th January 2017
14:00 – 17:00 MRes Project Summary Talks (G47A Flowers Building)

Spring Term 2017
(Journal club; dates and times to be confirmed)

April 2017
Mid-Term Project Progress Review
- Complete Mid-term Progress Report Form with supervisors
- Complete Student Evaluation Form

Friday 5th May 2017
Deadline for returning both Mid-term Progress Report and Student Evaluation Forms to the MRes programme coordinator Dr Mike Ray (michael.ray@imperial.ac.uk)

May to June 2017
Contact supervisors and independent marker to organise the date, time and venue of your MRes Viva.

Friday 30th June 2017
Deadline to confirm date/time/location of MRes viva with MRes programme coordinator Dr. Mike Ray (michael.ray@imperial.ac.uk)

Thursday 24th August 2017
Deadline: 12.00 Submit:
1) One electronic copy (pdf format) of MRes manuscript by email to the MRes programme coordinator Dr. Mike Ray (michael.ray@imperial.ac.uk)
2) One electronic copy of your MRes manuscript (in word format) uploaded to Blackboard Virtual Learning Environment
28th August – 8th September 2017
Viva on Research Project (date, time and venue to be arranged by students)

Monday 11th September 2017
All day MRes Conference – project presentations (Date and venue to be announced)
Attendance Compulsory

Mid-late September 2017
External Examiner’s Meeting (location to be confirmed)
Attendance Compulsory

Important note: All dates and times can be subject to change at short notice and you are thus well advised to check your college email account regularly (daily), as we will use this to notify you of any changes to the above arrangements.
CONTACTS

Those responsible for the general organisation of the course

Dr. Rudiger Woscholski  Flowers Building, Room 6.22  Ext 45305  r.woscholski@imperial.ac.uk
Dr. Laura Barter  Flowers Building, Room 6.20  Ext 41885  l.barter@imperial.ac.uk
Dr. Mike Ray  Chemistry, Room 258  Ext 42678  michael-ray@imperial.ac.uk

USEFUL WEBSITES

www.chemicalbiology.ac.uk
www.imperial.ac.uk/chemistry
www.imperial.ac.uk/chemistry/postgraduate/mres-courses/chemical-biology/
Course synopsis:

MRes in Chemical Biology

Chemical Biology is the development and application of novel molecular tools and techniques for the study of biology, biomedical understanding and ultimately industrial or clinical benefit. Quantitatively describing and predicting the behaviour of biological processes at the molecular level will impact upon challenges limiting molecular medicine, personal care and the agri-sciences.

The Institute of Chemical Biology (ICB) largely focuses upon developing and applying new molecular tools and technologies to solve problems of known importance in the life sciences, connecting problem-led pull with physical sciences innovation push. These include measuring, modelling or manipulating, protein-protein, lipid-protein, protein-nucleic acid, lipid-drug, lipid-lipid, lipid-nucleic acid and lipid-metabolite interactions as targets for intervention in biological systems.

One of the major activities of the ICB is the training of physical sciences postgraduates towards a career at the interface between the physical and biological sciences, in academia, industry, the public sector and non-governmental organisations.

The ICB oversees the activities carried out in the “Centre for Doctoral Training” (CDT), which provides a 1-year standalone course leading to a Masters of Research (MRes) qualification or a 4-year programme leading to an MRes + PhD. Both options are aimed at producing physical scientists who are able to bridge these disparate fields and have the confidence to engage in multidisciplinary research. For those students registered on the 4 year programme, the MRes in Chemical Biology forms the first part of this training course. The second part consists of a 3-year PhD assignment that will be chaperoned by the Graduate School at Imperial College London leading to a degree from Imperial College London.

The MRes course consists of an 8-month interdisciplinary research project, taught courses in biochemistry, cutting edge physical science tools and technologies, specialist lectures in transferable skills and group discussion sessions. Students will be exposed to multidisciplinary research focussed on assisting and advancing fundamental biology as well as the translation of novel molecular tools and technologies towards industrial and clinical end-users. The latter will provide an opportunity to experience and address the current challenges of the healthcare, agrochemical and personal care sectors within this programme.
Educational aims of the provision

1- Learning outcomes
The programme aims to:

- Produce physical sciences postgraduates equipped to pursue careers at the interface between the physical and life sciences, in academia, industry, the public sector and non-governmental organisations;
- Develop the ability to undertake research in multidisciplinary teams at this interface;
- Develop a knowledge of a range of basic and advanced biomolecular concepts;
- Develop research and analytical skills related to biomolecular research;
- Develop oral and written scientific presentation skills;

Considering the above aims, the main outcome of the programme is to provide opportunities for postgraduate students to develop and demonstrate knowledge and understanding, qualities, skills and other attributes in the following areas:

a) Knowledge and understanding of:
- Core concepts in chemical biology – essential cell biology, physical techniques in biology, analytical tools and techniques, theoretical approaches to biology and imaging in chemistry and biology;
- Research techniques, including information retrieval, experimental design and statistics, modelling, sampling, biomolecular techniques, molecular biology, and laboratory safety
- Detailed knowledge and understanding of the essential facts, concepts, principles and theories relevant to the student’s project
- Management and communication skills, including problem definition, project design, decision processes, teamwork, written and oral reports, scientific publications

b) Intellectual skills. To be able to:
- Analyse and evaluate biomolecular problems using a multidisciplinary integrated approach
- Integrate and evaluate information
- Formulate and evaluate hypothesis
- Plan, conduct and write up a programme of original research

c) Practical skills
- Plan and execute safely a series of experiments
- Use laboratory–based methods to generate data
- Analyse experimental results and determine their strength and validity
- Prepare technical reports and give technical presentations
- Use the scientific literature effectively
- Use computer packages

d) Transferable skills
- Communicate effectively through oral presentations, computer processing and presentations, written reports and scientific publications
- Management skills: decision processes, objective criteria, problem definition, project design and evaluation, risk management, teamwork and coordination
- Integrate and evaluate information from a variety of sources
- Transfer techniques and solutions from one discipline to another
- Use information and communications technology
- Manage resources and time
- Learn effectively for the purpose of continuing professional development
2 - Curricula and assessment

Course Duration:
This course is a full-time, one year Masters in Research, consisting of an 8-month long multidisciplinary research project, taught courses in physical and chemical technologies, training in biological research, advanced biochemistry, specialist lectures in transferable & professional skills and group discussion sessions.

2.1 Taught Component of Course (assessed):
The course begins in the first term (October-December) with a fixed lecture programme of core courses. Each core lecture module is compulsory and the material covered is examined in January. The core courses (outlined in the booklet) address the demand for the breadth of knowledge that we aim to cover by the course. The core lecture courses will be complemented by Group learning webinars, a biochemistry practical and a journal club, which together form the taught component of this course (see later for further details of the weighting of these elements).

Lecture courses (October and November)
The lectures modules (see page 16 for details on the content of the individual lectures) provide students with the opportunity to familiarise themselves with:
(i) Basic biology as well as specific scientific themes focussed on the agri-sciences, personal care and health & disease via a set of platform knowledge lectures,
(ii) A selection of tools and technologies that can be applied to chemical biology research
The knowledge gained through the lectures will be assessed by a written examination (see page 13 for details on the weighting of the exams on the overall degree marks).

Biochemistry Practical – Protein purification and characterisation (30th November to 4th of December)
The practical will introduce students to protein production in bacteria, affinity purification from bacterial lysates and characterisation of the functionality of the purified protein. Students will perform individual experiments featuring colorimetric enzyme assays, which will be used to test inhibitors. The experimental skills acquired in this practical will be assessed.

Group Learning Webinars (November)
This is an assessed interactive module, which will facilitate student’s understanding of key/fundamental biochemistry topics.

Each student will be assigned to a group (minimum of 2 students per group) and will be tasked to present a particular topic to the remainder of the student cohort. Students will be given guidance about the material to be covered, and in particular a textbook chapter that they will build their presentation around. Groups will be mentored by a member of academic staff, who will have expertise in the subject area. Each group will create a webinar, which will be submitted for assessment by two members of academic staff (the mentor and an independent assessor).

The subject areas, which will be covered, include metabolism, molecular biology, protein structure, cellular signalling and organelle biology.

Journal Club (Spring Term)
Journal club meetings take place in the spring term. This is an assessed transferable skills course, which aims to develop presentation skills, whilst encouraging scientific debate, and providing the opportunity to broaden scientific knowledge. Students will work together in groups and make a presentation about a seminal high impact paper. This will be followed by a chaired discussion/debate about the paper. Students are assessed on their ability to organise the presentation in a logical manner, the use of clear power-point slides, the clarity of the presentation and its scientific content.
2.2 Research Component of Course (assessed):
The major element of the research component of this course is an 8-month long multidisciplinary research project. A research proposal on the research topic will be submitted in December, a final research report/research manuscript in late August, a research talk at the MRes conference will be given shortly after this submission date, and this will be followed by an oral examination of the manuscript. All these assessed elements make up the research component of the course (see below for further details of the weighting of these elements).

Research Proposal (submission deadline: 12:00 (midday), Wednesday 14th December 2016)
A proposal will be written on your chosen research project outlining the aims, background, objectives, impact and work plan for research that you will undertake from January 2017.

The proposal is based upon the format of an EPSRC research grant proposal, and is to be written throughout the first term of the course. It is expected to include a critical review of the literature on the subject matter chosen for the research project.

Your proposal will be marked by both supervisors as well as by one other independent marker. The purpose of the project proposal is to test independent work. The written style, standard of presentation, completeness and analysis of the literature survey, and rationale for the proposed research will be assessed, to ensure an understanding of the aims and objectives of the proposed research.

The Department and College take plagiarism very seriously. Do not plagiarise. You must read and comply with the Chemistry Department Policy on Plagiarism: http://www3.imperial.ac.uk/chemistry/teaching/undergraduatelearning/materials/plagiarism

A copy of the Plagiarism Form (included at the end of this booklet) should be submitted with your Research Proposal.

Students are required to submit the following by the specified deadline:
1) One electronic copy (pdf) of your Research Proposal by email to the MRes programme coordinator Dr. Mike Ray (michael.ray@imperial.ac.uk)
2) One electronic copy of your Literature Report (as word document format) uploaded to Blackboard Virtual Learning Environment

Failure to do so will result in a penalty. 5% of the awarded mark will be deducted for each day of delay.

Research manuscript (submission deadline: 12:00 (Midday), Thursday 24th August 2017)
The research project will be written up in the form of a research article, using guidelines that can be found below. It should include a covering letter (explaining the impact of the research), a title, an abstract, introduction, methods, results, discussion and list of the cited literature. Each manuscript will be marked by three members of staff (two supervisors and an independent marker), who will take up the role of referees. Guidelines for marking the written work can be found in this booklet.

Students are required to submit the following by the specified deadline:
1) One electronic copy (pdf) of your manuscript by email to the MRes programme coordinator Dr. Mike Ray (michael.ray@imperial.ac.uk)
2) One electronic copy of your manuscript (word format) uploaded to Blackboard Virtual Learning Environment (instructions on how to upload are given at the end of this booklet)
Failure to do so will result in a penalty. 5% of the awarded mark will be deducted for each day of delay.

**Viva (28th August – 8th September 2017)**
The oral examination will further test understanding of the research undertaken. Each student will be examined by an independent marker and at least one (but preferably two) of their supervisors.

It is the student’s responsibility to organise the viva date, time and venue with the supervisors and independent marker, and to inform the MRes programme coordinator Dr. Mike Ray (michael.ray@imperial.ac.uk).

**MRes Conference (Monday 11th September 2017)**
The MRes conference is a meeting for all students attending the MRes in Chemical Biology, MRes in Bioimaging Sciences, MRes in Plant Chemical Biology and MRes in Drug Discovery and Development courses. It provides an opportunity to showcase the work carried out during your research project (in the form of a short presentation followed by questions), and also the opportunity to hear about research carried out by your fellow cohort. This is an assessed component of the course.

### 2.3 Additional compulsory non-assessed components of the course:

**Introduction to PyMol: biomolecule visualisation and ligand interactions (Wednesday the 16th November 13-15:00, Room 135, Computer Teaching Room, Chemistry)**

**Objectives:**
- a) Equip students with a basic understanding of how to use PyMol to explore and present biomolecular structures for analysis and for reports.
- b) Provide a starting point for independent study of the more advanced features of PyMol.

**Advance study:** you will complete a short online tutorial prior to the workshop, to ensure you are up to speed on the basic controls.

**Learning outcomes:** You will learn how to acquire structural data from the PDB (Protein Data Bank), and to display and manipulate 3D structures for proteins/DNA, and complexes with ligands, in PyMol, one of the most widely used (freely available) tools for biomolecule visualisation. You will learn how to complete simple and useful tasks in PyMol, including structure alignment, mapping interacting residues, and generating high resolution images of different visual representations of biomolecular structure for reports and/or publication.

**MRes Project Summary Talks (14-17:00 Tuesday 24th January 2017)**
This seminar is for all MRes students to give a brief 3 minute summary of their project to each other. This is not assessed, but attendance is compulsory.

**Teamwork training and Creativity Event (Friday 11th November 2016, CDT Teaching rooms, Library)**
Creativity sandpit events are becoming common mechanisms chosen by research councils to create, select and award large research grants for multidisciplinary high impact science. This course is designed to simulate a mini “sandpit” event, and ideas generation workshop. Groups of students will work together to drive lateral thinking to address particular research challenges. The course will finish with groups pitching their ideas.

**Introduction to Imperial College Advanced Hackspace (TBC, Spring Term 2017)**
You will be introduced to the Imperial College Advanced Hackspace, which will allow you to gain hands on experience with technology development in the Fab (Fabrication-Prototyping) Lab. The exact time and date will be confirmed later.
https://icah.org.uk/

**Research seminars and colloquia (TBC)**
Regular research seminars given by leaders in particular fields are organised by the Chemistry department, and attendance is expected. Details will be sent via email. The ICB also organises colloquia each year. These are afternoon meetings in which invited speakers give a variety of talks within the research area of the ICB remit.

**Industry Innovation Workshops (TBC)**
The workshops will provide insights into the process of product and technology development in different industrial sectors, Pharma (e.g. A2), the agri-sciences (Syngenta) and personal care sectors (P&G) and the impact of chemical biology. Site visits to industrial partners will be a part of these workshops.

**Professional Skills Courses**
Students are encouraged to take transferable skills courses given by the Graduate School at Imperial College London. For more information on the courses available please see: [http://www3.imperial.ac.uk/graduateschool/currentstudents/professionalskillsmasters](http://www3.imperial.ac.uk/graduateschool/currentstudents/professionalskillsmasters)

The following two transferable skills courses have been organised for all ICB MRes students. **Attendance is compulsory** however the courses are not examinable.

1. **ICB Research Ethics Courses** *(Monday 9th November 09.30-12.00, room G47A, Flowers Building)*

2. **Bioethics** *(Tuesday 10th November 09.30-3.30pm, room G47A, Flowers Building)* by Professor Marianne Talbot, Director of Studies in Philosophy, University of Oxford

These courses covers topics such as “Professional and Personal Integrity”, and Plagiarism and Collusion”. It will also introduce you to philosophical ethics, and enable you to defend your own positions on various ethical issues. You will have the opportunity to discuss topics such as “Do you think reproductive cloning is morally permissible?”, “Do you check food labels to exclude any with GM ingredients?”, “Would you worry if the government introduced compulsory depositing of DNA in the national DNA bank?”

**Careers Day (TBC)**
A day of careers talks from a range of professions to take place in spring 2017. This is a good opportunity to seek careers advice from the speakers on the day and to explore various career opportunities available. Further details of the programme will be sent via email.

**Safety**
The department, in conjunction with the Graduate School runs induction activities for all new MRes students in October each year. These include the mandatory Primary Induction session and the Basic Lab Safety Lecture (which details the department’s requirements for safe practice in your research). Details of this induction programme will be given to you by the MRes Programme Coordinator, Dr Mike Ray.

Further details of departmental safety procedures and waste disposal can be found on our website at [http://www.imperial.ac.uk/chemistry/about/safety/](http://www.imperial.ac.uk/chemistry/about/safety/) or by contacting the faculty safety manager, Stefan Hoyle (s.hoyle@imperial.ac.uk).

There are 5 other safety courses that are mandatory for all MRes in Chem Bio MRes students;

1. **Risk Assessment Foundation Training (RAFT)** - This is run as a Blackboard course and test for PG students. RAFT is a realistic and practical way to learn about the College’s risk assessment process via video scenarios based on one’s own work environment. After an introduction on why risk assessments are required, the learner is taken through the process of risk assessment before engaging with a series of video scenarios representative of their own work environments.

2. **Month one Safety Training (MOST)** - Is a compulsory online programme for all new employees and embedded external contractors. It follows on from the Day One Health and Safety Induction and should be completed and assessed within one
month of engagement. By completing this course, you will: Understand your role in the college Health and Safety Management System (HSMS); Know where you can find details of your responsibilities and Know who can give you assistance and guidance in carrying them out Know how the hazards and risks in the College are managed, including your role.

3. **Fire Prevention and Fire Safety at Work** – This course will be organised for you and should be completed in the first term prior to you starting in the lab for your research projects. The course is aimed at reducing the likelihood of fires starting and what action to take in the event of a fire. The course covers; How fires start and spread, Steps to take to prevent fires, Methods of extinguishing fires, Types of fire fighting equipment and their uses, Smoke and gas hazards produced by fires, What to do in the event of discovering a fire and When not to tackle a fire.


5. **Laboratory Safety - Foundation Training** - This course is intended primarily for staff and students (e.g. PhD or MRes) new to Bio-laboratory research in Imperial College laboratories. The focus is on understanding College procedures and the basic principles behind safe working in the laboratory. The course should be seen as a foundation upon which other more specialist training is provided either in the laboratory itself or by others e.g. the Safety Department.

You must undertake your research in accordance with safety regulations and procedures, as agreed with your supervisor (who is responsible for your health and safety). If you have any doubts about any safety aspects of your work or work environment, you should discuss these with your supervisor.

**There are a number of individuals in the Dept. you can contact about specific health and safety issues, they are listed below:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chemical Safety Officer</td>
<td>David Mountford</td>
<td><a href="mailto:d.mountford@imperial.ac.uk">d.mountford@imperial.ac.uk</a></td>
<td>020 7594 7177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laser Safety Officer</td>
<td>Stoichko Dimitrov</td>
<td><a href="mailto:s.dimitrov@imperial.ac.uk">s.dimitrov@imperial.ac.uk</a></td>
<td>020 7594 8558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological Safety</td>
<td>Stefan Hoyle</td>
<td><a href="mailto:s.hoyle@imperial.ac.uk">s.hoyle@imperial.ac.uk</a></td>
<td>078 7285 0018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-Ray Radiation Protection Supervisor C1/C2</td>
<td>Nick Brooks</td>
<td><a href="mailto:nicholas.brooks@imperial.ac.uk">nicholas.brooks@imperial.ac.uk</a></td>
<td>020 7594 2677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-Ray Radiation Protection Supervisor</td>
<td>Oscar Ces</td>
<td><a href="mailto:o.ces@imperial.ac.uk">o.ces@imperial.ac.uk</a></td>
<td>020 7594 3754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-Ray Crystallography Radiation Protection Supervisor</td>
<td>Andrew White</td>
<td><a href="mailto:a.white@imperial.ac.uk">a.white@imperial.ac.uk</a></td>
<td>020 7594 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy &amp; Mechanical Lifting assessor/Advisor</td>
<td>Lee Tooley</td>
<td><a href="mailto:l.tooley@imperial.ac.uk">l.tooley@imperial.ac.uk</a></td>
<td>020 7594 7877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Safety Technician</td>
<td>Stefanos Karapanagiotidis</td>
<td><a href="mailto:s.kapa@imperial.ac.uk">s.kapa@imperial.ac.uk</a></td>
<td>020 7594 5746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical Control, Disposal &amp; Technical Systems Specialist.</td>
<td>Damion Box</td>
<td><a href="mailto:d.box@imperial.ac.uk">d.box@imperial.ac.uk</a></td>
<td>020 7594 5746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Aid Co-ordinator</td>
<td>Simon Mann</td>
<td><a href="mailto:s.mann@imperial.ac.uk">s.mann@imperial.ac.uk</a></td>
<td>020 7594 5814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Display Screen Equipment (DSE) Assessor</td>
<td>Sara Jagambrun</td>
<td><a href="mailto:j.saradambal@imperial.ac.uk">j.saradambal@imperial.ac.uk</a></td>
<td>020 7594 5814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ladder &amp; steps Inspector</td>
<td>Chris Wood</td>
<td><a href="mailto:c.wood@imperial.ac.uk">c.wood@imperial.ac.uk</a></td>
<td>020 7594 5814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centrifuges coordinator</td>
<td>Andrew Coulson</td>
<td><a href="mailto:andrew.coulson@imperial.ac.uk">andrew.coulson@imperial.ac.uk</a></td>
<td>020 7594 5746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty Safety Team</td>
<td>Stefan Hoyle</td>
<td><a href="mailto:s.hoyle@imperial.ac.uk">s.hoyle@imperial.ac.uk</a></td>
<td>078 7285 0018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty Safety Team</td>
<td>Felicity McGrath</td>
<td><a href="mailto:f.mcgrath11@imperial.ac.uk">f.mcgrath11@imperial.ac.uk</a></td>
<td>077 1405 1234</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
When in laboratories you are expected to apply **Safe Lab Practice** as described below:

### Preparation for lab work

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>DO:</strong></th>
<th><strong>DON'T:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Wear clothing which minimises potential for skin exposure</td>
<td>• Wear clothing that is loose enough to drag over bench or floor surfaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Remove dangling jewellery and items that can get contaminated or caught in equipment</td>
<td>• Wear clothing you care about</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Wear sensible shoes which cover your feet completely</td>
<td>• Wear expensive jewellery as it may get tarnished if it comes into contact with chemicals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Tie back long or loose hair</td>
<td>• Wear sandals or lip flops or similar in the lab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Wear contact lenses, use prescription glasses with safety glasses or prescription safety glasses</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### General rules when working in the lab

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>DO:</strong></th>
<th><strong>DON'T:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Ensure personal items are stored outside of the laboratory or in the containers provided</td>
<td>• Leave any personal items on lab benches or outside of the containers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Check the safety signs on lab entry doors to identify the personal protective equipment required</td>
<td>• Eat, drink, smoke or apply cosmetics in the laboratory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Cover cuts or abrasions on the hands with suitable water resistant covering</td>
<td>• Wear lab coats and gloves in any “clean areas” such as offices, toilets, seminar room/lecture theatres, or for handling items such as phones and door handles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Change your lab coat if it gets contaminated or dirty</td>
<td>• Chew pens or pencils, rub the eyes or face with gloved hands.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Wash your hands before leaving the laboratory</td>
<td>• Use mobile phones in the laboratory.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Maintain clear passages to lab exits</td>
<td>• Wear any equipment that will interfere with hearing audible alarms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ensure waste bins are emptied regularly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Housekeeping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>DO:</strong></th>
<th><strong>DON'T:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Keep your lab workspace in a tidy state and wipe down lab benches and other work surfaces after use.</td>
<td>• Leave any sharps (needles, scalpels etc) exposed on work surfaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Clear up spillages in the lab and inform others working in the area of the spill.</td>
<td>• Reuse disposable lab gloves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Know the locations of the emergency showers and exits.</td>
<td>• Leave experiments unattended without suitable disposal label including name, date, hazards and your emergency contact number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Dispose of used consumables and waste in the appropriate waste bin.</td>
<td>• Ignore warning alarms associated with equipment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Accidents

- **Generic emergency procedures** will be explained on induction.
- Specific emergency procedures are detailed in risk assessments.
- Accidents and near misses **must be reported**, this is done via the College online incident reporting system, **Salus**:
  - [http://www3.imperial.ac.uk/safety/subjects/reportingaccidents/reportinganincident](http://www3.imperial.ac.uk/safety/subjects/reportingaccidents/reportinganincident)
- **Salus** can be accessed via the Department safety web pages; [http://www3.imperial.ac.uk/chemistry/safety](http://www3.imperial.ac.uk/chemistry/safety) or via the college Safety Dept. Web pages: [http://www3.imperial.ac.uk/safety](http://www3.imperial.ac.uk/safety)

### Disclosure of vulnerability
If you have any health condition or are taking treatment that could cause you to lose consciousness, affect your alertness or for which you might require emergency assistance, you must let the course directors and your supervisor know so that they can be in a position to organise help for you, if ever needed and ensure appropriate precautions are put in place if necessary to ensure your safety.

For health conditions for which you might require emergency help it is also worth letting a couple of friends know as well, so they can know what to do if you needed help away from the Department.

**All students should register with a doctor in London as soon as possible.** This is particularly important if you have any health problems that require regular treatment. All students living in central London Halls can and should register with the College Health Centre. Students living outside halls may also be able to register. Check the Health Centre website for information [www.imperialcollegehealthcentre.co.uk](http://www.imperialcollegehealthcentre.co.uk).

### 2.4 Assessment Methods

The different teaching outcomes will be assessed in the following way:

- The knowledge base will be assessed through a combination of unseen written examinations for the taught component of the course, (i.e. the compulsory core courses given during the first term) and assessed project work (the project proposal, research project manuscript, MRes conference presentation and viva).

- The intellectual (thinking) skills will be evaluated through the project proposal, unseen written examinations, individual research projects, group learning seminars and journal club.

- Practical skills will be assessed through the biochemistry practical, research project (both the written component and the ability of the student to carry out the research, i.e. experimental work, learning specific techniques, etc.) manuscript, MRes conference presentation and oral examination.

- Transferable skills are assessed in the student’s research project, literature survey and during journal club and the group learning seminars. Students’ ability to communicate their research will also be assessed during the oral examination and the MRes conference presentation.

**The assessment rules & degree classification for the programme will be:**

- Minimum standards **in each element and assessed component** will be required with an overall pass mark of 50%.

- To qualify for the award of MRes, students will have to complete all the course requirements and must achieve an overall pass mark in each assessed component. This includes the combined taught element (written examinations, group learning seminars and journal club) and research element (literature report, MRes manuscript, MRes conference presentation and oral viva) of the course.

- The percentage weighting of marks contributing to the degree are given in the following table:
Summary of grades, marks and their interpretation for the MRes degree classification:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GRADE</th>
<th>MARKS</th>
<th>INTERPRETATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distinction</td>
<td>70% - 100%</td>
<td>Marks represent a distinction performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merit</td>
<td>60% - 69.9</td>
<td>Marks represent a merit performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>50% - 59.5%</td>
<td>Marks represent a pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fail</td>
<td>0% - 49.9%</td>
<td>Marks represent a fail performance at MRes level</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Distinction**: to be awarded where a candidate has achieved:
  
  **either**: an aggregate mark of 70 per cent or greater across the programme as a whole, comprising a mark of 70 per cent or greater in each element;
  
  **or**: a mark of 70 per cent or greater across the programme as a whole, with a mark of 70 per cent or greater in each element with the exception of one element, for which a mark of 60 per cent or greater must have been obtained.

- **Merit**: to be awarded where a candidate has achieved:
  
  **either**: an aggregate mark of 60 per cent or greater across a programme as a whole, comprising a mark of 60 per cent or greater in each element;
  
  **or**: has obtained a mark of 60 per cent or greater across a programme as a whole, with a mark of 60 per cent or greater in each element with the exception of one element, for which a mark of 50 per cent or greater has been obtained.

- **Pass**: to be awarded where a candidate has achieved an aggregate mark of 50 per cent or greater across a programme as a whole, comprising a mark of 50 per cent or greater in each element.

- **Fail**: to be awarded where a candidate has achieved an aggregate mark of 49.9 per cent or less across a programme as a whole, comprising a mark of 49.9 per cent or less in each element.

At the end of the course an external examiner will assess the examination process. The date of this meeting is **TBC**, but all students must be present for this day. Students that are either at boundaries between grades (i.e. pass/failure or merit/distinction) or have failed one or more components of the course are likely to get an additional oral examination (viva).

### 2.5 Student Surveys

Your feedback is important to your department, the College and Imperial College Union. Whilst, there are a variety of means to give your feedback on your Imperial experience, the following College-wide surveys give you regular opportunities to make your voice heard:

- PG SOLE lecturer/module
- Student Experience Survey (SES)
- Postgraduate Taught Student Experience (PTES)
The PG SOLE lecturer/module survey runs at the end of the Autumn Term. This survey is your chance to tell us about the modules you have attended and the lecturers who taught them. Run at the same time as the Autumn Term PG SOLE, is the Union’s Student Experience Survey (SES). This survey will cover your induction, welfare, pastoral and support services experience. During December you will receive an email in your Imperial College account with a link to the survey.

The Postgraduate Taught Experience Survey (PTES) is the only national survey of Master’s level (MSc, MRes, MBA and MPH) students we do and so the only way for us to compare how we are doing against the national average and to make changes that will improve our Master’s students’ experience in future. PTES covers topics such as motivations for taking the programme, depth of learning, organisation, dissertation and professional development. During the spring term you will receive an email in your Imperial College account with a link to the survey.

All these surveys are anonymous and the more students that take part the more representative the results so please take a few minutes to give your views. If you would like to know more about any of these surveys or see the results from previous surveys, please visit: http://www3.imperial.ac.uk/registry/proceduresandregulations/surveys. For further information on surveys please contact the Registry’s Surveys Team on surveys.registrysupport@imperial.ac.uk.
Student responsibilities

The MRes course is a postgraduate assignment and as such is not following undergraduate timing. There is no term-free time in this course. Students should be aware that their bursary is for a full-time employment up to the end of September 2017. Any holidays or sick-leave will have to be taken at the discretion of the supervisors, but should under no circumstances be taken in the examination periods of January 2017 and September 2017.

It is mandatory to attend all scheduled lectures, seminars, courses and exams. Missing an exam without any support by a doctor’s notice for the day of the exam will count as failure. It is the responsibility of the student to ensure that sufficient time is allocated for the exam and write-up preparation.

The MRes Programme Co-ordinator (Dr Mike Ray) should be the first contact in all matters concerning problems with the supervision of the projects, pastoral difficulties and all administrative or logistic issues. Once these channels have been exhausted matters should be raised with the MRes course directors, Drs. Rudiger Woscholski and Laura Barter.

Students are expected to organise, conduct and present their research project in an independent fashion. The supervisory role is to guide and advise the student intellectually as well as technically, but it is not the supervisor’s responsibility to do the thinking or the work for the student. All projects will have at least two supervisors, one with biological and one with physical/chemical/mathematical expertise. Both supervisors should be approached for guidance. It is the students’ responsibility to make an effort and seek contact with their supervisors on a regular basis.

In order to pass the course successfully students have to pass all assessed components of the course. This includes the written exams, the grant proposal, the final report and the viva. Failing in one of the components could lead to a failure of the whole course.

At the end of the course an external examiner will assess the examination process. All students have to be present for this day. Students who are either at boundaries between marks (i.e. pass/failure or merit/distinction) or have failed one or more components of the course are likely to get an additional oral examination (viva) that will determine their final mark.

Students should seek guidance regarding their proposal and final manuscript from their corresponding supervisors. After completion of the proposal students should seek feedback from their corresponding supervisors (biological and physical) so that this can be implemented to help to improve their final manuscript.

Students are required to submit an electronic version of the final report to their supervisors. Additionally, they must hand over all notes, lab-books, results, computer programmes etc to their supervisors.

Final manuscript/Research Proposal
This must be submitted as one bound and typewritten copy by the specified deadlines. An electronic version must also be submitted. Failure to do so will result in a penalty. 5% of the awarded mark will be deducted for each day of delay.

Viva
Students are responsible for contacting their supervisors and independent marker to arrange a viva date. The MRes programme coordinator will send further details nearer the time.
Lecture Courses

Core Bio lectures

Introduction to Cell Biology:
Dr Rudiger Woscholski (r.woscholski@imperial.ac.uk)
Lectures: (4 hrs)

This 4-hour lecture course provides a brief overview of the basic concepts and facts of cell biology, with particular emphasis on the morphology and compartmentalisation of the cell; the difference between prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells, key metabolic pathways and their control by 2\textsuperscript{nd} messengers. Cellular signalling and hormonal control towards cellular destiny, shape and fate will be introduced.

Learning Outcomes
- Description of cell compartments
- Difference between prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells
- Basic facts of cell biology morphology.
- Basic principles and facts on key metabolic pathways.
- Sketch of important signalling cascades.
- Overview of cellular transport mechanisms
- Understanding of cellular function in space and time.

Biomolecular assays
Prof. Tony Cass (t.cass@imperial.ac.uk)
Lectures: (4 hrs)

Synopsis: In these 4 lectures you will learn to design, implement and analyze assays using biomolecules as reagents.

Detailed Content:
- Lecture 1: General Principles of Assay Design: Acquisition, presentation and analysis of assay data.
- Lecture 3: Catalytic Assays: Selected examples from the biomedical and agricultural fields.
- Lecture 4: Affinity Assays: Selected examples from the biomedical and agricultural fields.

Learning Objectives:
1. An understanding of the principles behind the design and execution of biomolecular assays.
2. The ability to design a bioassay to meet a particular research or application need.
3. The ability to analyze, quantitatively, bioassay data

Molecular Biology
Dr Geoff Baldwin (g.baldwin@imperial.ac.uk)
Lectures: (4 hrs)

These introductory lectures will cover the principles of DNA replication, transcription and translation. The sessions will be a mixture of lectures and tutorials that will provide an opportunity for students to think about some of the issues and practicalities of working with DNA and designing primers.
Platform Knowledge - Theme Specific Applied Lectures

Signalling – Health & Disease
Dr. David Mann (d.mann@imperial.ac.uk)
Lectures: (4 hrs)

This lecture series will dissect the molecular causes of cancer and the physiological consequences resulting. We will consider the key characteristics underlying all cancers and the heterogeneity in the disease. We will discuss genetic change and the cellular response to DNA damage and the regulation of the cell cycle with respect to cancer. The interplay between the cancer phenotype and therapeutics will also be discussed.

Learning Outcome
Students will be able to compare and contrast the characteristics of normal and cancerous cells. They will have a basic understanding of cell cycle control and DNA repair and its relevance to cancer. They will understand some basic principles of cancer therapeutics and associated problems.

Signalling – Plant
Dr. Colin Turnbull (c.turnbull@imperial.ac.uk)
Lectures: (4 hrs)

We will examine two major concepts in plant signalling. First, we discuss how plants sense and respond to a diverse array of environmental signals such as light, nutrient starvation, salinity and drought. Second, we analyse the internal molecular signalling mechanisms that plants use to convert these environmental inputs into altered growth, development and defence. In a final workshop exercise, we will integrate this knowledge using climate change scenarios to consider how modern agriculture and biotechnology might generate the necessary increases in sustainable crop production to meet global food security targets.

Learning Outcome
Students will be able to predict likely plant molecular responses to environmental inputs and stresses. They will have a basic understanding of some classes of plant receptors and downstream signalling events. They will be able to discuss how knowledge of plant signalling might be applied to address global problems.

Surfactants – Phase Behaviour
Dr. Oscar Ces (o.ces@imperial.ac.uk)
Lectures: (4 hrs)

This 4-hour lecture provides an introduction to the complex aggregation behaviour of surfactant systems. It will give students a peek of the invisible world of nano-sized surfactant aggregates that are ubiquitous in consumer products that defines their properties like appearance, product feel during application, dispersing properties (emulsions, encapsulation of actives), stability on storage and flow (processability). It will provide basic knowledge on how consumer products are assembled and formulated.

Learning Outcome
Students will be able to:
1. Learn the different types and application of surfactants used in industry.
2. Learn what happens to a surfactant as it dissolves in a solvent and the different phases it forms as it aggregates.
3. Predict the type of aggregate simply by looking at the molecular structure of the surfactant.
4. Predict the impact of formulation (electrolyte, co-surfactant, organic solvents, perfume) on the shape and size of such aggregates.
5. Understand how typical consumer products (shampoo, liquid detergents, hair/fabric conditioner, etc) are formulated and their basic underlying microstructure

**Structural Biology of Photosynthesis.**
**Dr. James Murray** ([j.w.murray@imperial.ac.uk](mailto:j.w.murray@imperial.ac.uk))
Lectures: 3 hours

This short course introduces two main techniques of structural biology; X-ray crystallography and electron microscopy. The application on these techniques to plant proteins is shown, particularly the carbon-fixing enzyme Rubisco, and the photosynthetic complexes.

**Learning Outcomes**

X-ray Crystallography:
- Protein Expression & Purification
- Crystallization
- X-ray data collection
- Structure solution

Electron Microscopy:
- The Electron microscope
- Sample preparation for electron microscopy
- Data processing and 3D reconstruction

Structures of Photosynthetic enzymes and Complexes:
- RubisCO structure, assembly & mechanism
- Photosystem I structure
- Photosystem II structure
- Other plant proteins of interest

**Plant disease and immunity**
**Dr. Pietro Spanu** ([p.spanu@imperial.ac.uk](mailto:p.spanu@imperial.ac.uk))
Lectures: (1 hr introduction + 3 hrs tutorial)

These sessions will examine aspects of plant disease and how plants defend themselves against microbial pathogens. I will introduce basic aspects of plant pathology and immunology in the first one hour session. You will then be assigned a specific topic to prepare as a presentation to the group which we will discuss extensively in a half-day round table tutorial style session.

**Learning Outcomes**

- Description of main plant diseases and their impact on food security
- Understanding principles of plant defence and immunity
- Knowledge of how plant immunity is exploited in agriculture
- Appreciation of the challenges and aims of agricultural biotechnology with regard to pathogen control and crop protection
Tools & Technologies in the Physical Sciences

Novel Microfluidic Technologies for Manufacturing Biomembranes and Artificial Cells
Dr. Oscar Ces (o.ces@imperial.ac.uk)
Lectures: (2 hrs)
Surfactants play a variety of functions in consumer products like soil removal, emulsification/dispersion, viscosity modification, triggered-release, encapsulation and surface modification. These attributes require a suite of tools to fully characterise how surfactants can deliver these. This lecture highlights a few of these techniques that measure how surfactants lower the interfacial tension (L/L for emulsions, L/G for foams, L/S for deposition), determine the size and shape of micelles, identify the different types of liquid crystals and the physical and thermal properties of such aggregates. This includes tensiometry, scattering, microscopy, rheology, calorimetry and spectroscopy.

Optical molecular imaging
Prof. Mark Neil
Lectures: (2 hrs)
The key processes of life occur in the noisy, far from equilibrium cellular environment, which is characterised by heterogeneity and stochastic fluctuation. To unravel this complexity, biology requires new physical concepts and methodologies. Studying biological processes at the single molecule level can offer us an improved understanding of the not only physical structures within the cell but also underlying molecular mechanisms.

This course will concentrate on optical methods for imaging at the molecular level using fluorescence as its main contrast mechanism. Fluorescence is in many ways the ultimate single molecule process as it is directly dependant on molecular level electronic transitions. Techniques such as spectral, polarisation or lifetime contrast imaging can elucidate molecular environment such as viscosity, pH, temperature and refractive index, or the interaction between molecules via FRET (Forster Resonant Energy Transfer). Measurement of the diffusion and movement of molecules can be visualised by FRAP (fluorescence recovery after photo-bleaching) and FCS (fluorescence correlation spectroscopy). Direct visualisation of intracellular structures at resolutions beyond the classical diffraction limit can be achieved using either single molecule localisation techniques such as PALM (photo-activated localisation microscopy) and STORM (stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy), or by STED (stimulated emission depletion microscopy).

Learning outcomes
At the end of this course students will have developed and understanding of both the underlying physical principles behind the processes in and the technology required for a range of optical molecular imaging techniques.

Fundamentals and Applications of Micro & Nanofluidics
Dr. Joshua Edel (joshua.edel@imperial.ac.uk)
Lectures: (2 hrs)
Analytical Sensors plays a crucial role in today’s highly demanding exploration and development of new detection strategies. Whether it be medicine, biochemistry, bioengineering, or analytical chemistry the goals are essentially the same: 1) improve sensitivity, 2) maximize throughput, 3) and reduce the instrumental footprint. In order to address these key challenges, the analytical community has borrowed technologies and design philosophies which has been used by the semiconductor industry over the past 20 years. By doing so, key technological advances have been made which include the miniaturization of sensors and signal processing components which allows for the efficient detection of nanoscale object. One can imagine that by decreasing the dimensions of a sensor to a scale similar to that of a nanoscale object, the ultimate in sensitivity can...
potentially be achieved - the detection of single molecules. This talk highlights novel strategies for the detection of single molecules using nanoporous membranes.

**Prof. Nick Long** (n.long@imperial.ac.uk)
Lectures (2 hrs)

New Methodologies in Positron Emission Tomography (PET) Radiolabelling: the lecture will examine some recent advances in the radiolabelling of biomedically-relevant molecules, with 11C and 18F radionuclides. Specific examples will include the use of microfluidic reactors, trapping/release of 11CO by transition metals and new metal-mediated 18F electrophilic and aromatic fluorinations.

**Dr Matt Fuchter** (m.fuchter@imperial.ac.uk)
Lectures (2 hrs)

**Chemical genetics**
- Introduction: What is it? Why chemicals? Complementary relationship to classical genetics, relative advantages and disadvantages; forward/reverse and protein-ligand engineering
- Forward chemical genetics (obtain library, screen, identify target)
  i. Chemical libraries: target-oriented synthesis vs diversity oriented synthesis
  ii. Screening - high content/phenotype screens
  iii. Target identification: biochemical approaches; 3-hybrid screens. Chemical approaches (labelling of small molecules, pull-down and cross-linking)
- Reverse chemical genetics: Relationship to "classical" drug discovery. Lead discovery; fragment based approaches. Diversity oriented synthesis.
- Protein-ligand engineering: bump-hole approach
- Case study of Dr Fuchter's research.

**Chemical biology: a multifaceted tool in drug discovery**
**Prof. Ed Tate** (e.tate@imperial.ac.uk)
Lectures: (2 hrs)

My group works in the emerging multidisciplinary fields of chemical proteomics and chemical biology, where we design and apply chemistry-driven approaches to explore posttranslational modification (PTM) and protein-protein interactions (PPIs) in living systems. A constant theme in our work is the exploration of biological pathways as potential drug targets, and discovery of novel PTM and PPI inhibitors using tools including peptide and protein synthesis, fragment-based inhibitor design, activity-based enzyme profiling, and proteomics. In this lecture I will highlight some of our recent progress in probing and inhibiting PTM and PPIs using chemical biology approaches, and describe how we have applied this technology to understand and exploit novel drug targets in infectious diseases and cancer.

**Utility of Molecular Mechanics and Dynamics in investigating biological systems**
**Dr Ian Gould** (i.gould@imperial.ac.uk)
Lectures: (2 hrs)

The lecture will use a series of exemplars to demonstrate how "molecular modelling" can be used to aid in understanding of proteins, nucleic acids, lipids and drug interactions. Techniques which will be discussed will include docking, minimisation, Molecular Dynamics (MD) and free energy calculations.
Protein NMR - Structural Elucidation of Protein by NMR Spectroscopy

Dr. Rob Law (r.law@imperial.ac.uk)
Lectures: (2 hrs)

Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy is an extremely powerful technique that enables the structural determination of a vast variety of different molecules. A variant of this is solid state NMR spectroscopy that deals with anisotropic or solid state materials. In biology, examples of these are transmembrane proteins e.g. bacteriorhodopsin or inorganic matrices e.g. apatites. This course will focus on an introduction to this area.

X-Ray Diffraction Studies of Biological Systems

Dr. Nick Brooks (n.brooks@imperial.ac.uk)
Lectures: (2 hrs)

This section of the course will begin by covering the principles and practicalities of small angle X-ray diffraction (SAXS), and its application to soft-materials and biological lipid assemblies. We will then give an introduction to synchrotron based SAXS and time resolved experiments, this will include the use of temperature changes, rapid mixing and pressure-jump technology to trigger structural transitions in lipid systems.

Quantification In Biological and Biomedical Research

Prof. David Klug (d.klug@imperial.ac.uk)
Lectures: (2 hrs)

Synopsis To Follow

Intervention in Biosynthetic Pathways as a Strategy for Pharmaceutical and Agrochemical Target Selection

Prof. Alan Spivey (a.spivey@imperial.ac.uk)
Lectures: (2 hrs)

This course will overview some of the main biosynthetic pathways by which secondary metabolites are produced in animals and plants. A selection of case studies will then be presented which highlight how a knowledge of these pathways and their specificity to particular species can allow for the identification of useful targets for the development of pharmaceuticals and agrochemicals.
The assessment will take into consideration the teaching of the subject and the type of problems and tasks set. Allowance is made for what is reasonably achievable under examination conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage Grade</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>85-100</td>
<td><strong>Exceptional.</strong> Originality, critical/analytical ability ** and evidence of outside reading is expected. The presentation of the subject combines conciseness and exemplary understanding of all relevant concepts and facts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70-84</td>
<td><strong>Excellent.</strong> As for Exceptional, but not fully achieving one of them.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-69</td>
<td><strong>Very Good.</strong> Provides a clear and accurate account of the relevant knowledge, concepts and facts. Evidence of some outside reading and critical/analytical ability **.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-59</td>
<td><strong>Good.</strong> Provides a mainly accurate account of the basic concepts covering at least half of the relevant taught material, but is marred by significant errors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-54</td>
<td><strong>Adequate.</strong> Provides only a minimal account of the basic concepts covering at least a third of the relevant taught material, but is marred by major errors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-49</td>
<td><strong>Unsatisfactory.</strong> Provides only a vague account covering less than a third of the relevant taught material and indicates a confused understanding of the subject.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-34</td>
<td>Provides only a vague understanding of some concepts and facts covering about a quarter of the expected material. Presentation is dominated by inaccurate or irrelevant material.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-19</td>
<td>A maximum of three relevant facts (sentences) are presented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-9</td>
<td>Answer includes at most one relevant fact (sentence)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Answer contains nothing correct that is relevant to question. Mark to be given where the work is discovered not to be that of the candidate (plagiarised). Further disciplinary action is usually taken in cases of plagiarism.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Analytical** = assessing a hypothesis or statement by breaking it down into its elements and examining their inter-relationships and contribution to the whole; *cf.* **Critical** = judging a hypothesis or conclusion by examining the validity of the evidence adduced for it.
Guidelines for Marking MRes Research Proposal

The following should be submitted on or before 14.00 Thursday 10th December 2016:

1) One electronic copy (pdf) of MRes proposal by email to the MRes administrator (michael.ray@imperial.ac.uk)
2) One electronic copy of your MRes proposal (as word document format) uploaded to Blackboard Virtual Learning Environment (instructions on how to upload are given later in this booklet)

The purpose of the project proposal is largely to test the students’ ability to conceive and design the necessary steps for their research project, which will be undertaken throughout the second half of the MRes course. It is essential that a good understanding of relevant state-of-the-art research is demonstrated, and the aims and objectives of the proposed research programme should be defined.

Your MRes proposal (maximum 8 pages including figures, references, etc) must adhere to the following format:

Background (maximum 5 pages): Introduce the topic of research and explain its academic and industrial context; review the literature necessary for the understanding of the project aims and methodology employed. Demonstrate a knowledge and understanding of past and current work in the subject area in the UK and abroad. Include any preliminary work here, if it is necessary for formulating the aims and objectives of the programme of work covered in the following section

Programme and Methodology (2 pages maximum): State the overall aims of the project and the individual measurable objectives against which you would wish the outcome of the work to be assessed. Detail the methodology to be used in pursuit of the research and justify the choice. Explain why the proposed project is of timeliness and novelty. Describe the programme of work, indicating the research to be undertaken and the milestones that can be used to measure its progress (relate to diagrammatic workplan).

Relevance to Beneficiaries; potential impact (0.5 page maximum): Identify the potential impact of the proposed work. Indicate who is likely to benefit from the proposed research. If the benefits do not directly relate to wealth creation and/or to improving the quality of life, give details of other beneficiaries and explain their importance; (note that other research workers are legitimate beneficiaries).

Diagrammatic work plan (maximum 0.5 page). This should be a diagrammatic indication of the project plan, for example, a PERT or Gantt chart.

Proposals should have a minimum of 2,000 words and not exceed 8 word-processed pages including figures and references. The proposal must be written using the font Arial (11pt), 1.5 lines spacing, with document margins of 1.5 cm at the top, bottom, left and right. The report should include the title, your name and your supervisors name in the header of the word document. It is advisable to maximise the use of space by being selective about the figures needed for the proposal as well as listing publications as footnotes. The font size for header and footer can be 10pt.
Assessment of MRes proposals

Proposals will be marked independently by the biological supervisor, the physical supervisor and the independent marker. The proposal will then be moderated.

When writing the following marking criteria should be borne in mind.

**Written style/Presentation**
- Is the proposal well written and presented (typewritten, bound, organisational figures, formatting etc) and clearly explained?
- The proposal should be concise and complete (thorough and informative)
- Are the references listed actually referred to or discussed in the text? Is the project the candidate's own work, written in their own words?
- Is the format up to the standards expected from grant proposals to research councils?

**Background information**
- Is the literature survey thorough and complete?
- Are important references missing?
- Are all relevant subjects (biological context & physical/technical aspects) sufficiently covered?
- A mechanical copy of existing material is not acceptable.

**Programme and methodology.**
- The programme should be concise and logical.
- Is the proposed work's relationship to other work in the literature clear?
- Aims and methodology should be clearly justified.
- Is the choice of methodology clear and is it justified?
- Is the diagrammatic workplan aligned with the text, and is it showing realistic timelines.

**Relevance to Beneficiaries**
- Are the main beneficiaries of the proposed work being identified?
- Are the mechanisms and pathways to create impact appropriate?

The Department and College take plagiarism very seriously. Do not plagiarise. You must read and comply with the Chemistry Department Policy on Plagiarism: [http://www3.imperial.ac.uk/chemistry/teaching/undergraduate/teaching/materials/plagiarism](http://www3.imperial.ac.uk/chemistry/teaching/undergraduate/teaching/materials/plagiarism)

A copy of the Plagiarism Form should be submitted with your Literature Report.

**Any evidence of plagiarism will have serious consequences according to College rules.**
MRes Proposal Assessment Form
Imperial College London – The Institute of Chemical Biology
MRes in Chemical Biology 2016/17

Student:

Supervisors:

Title:

Marker:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Written style and Presentation</th>
<th>Joint Supervisor Mark</th>
<th>Independent Mark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Presentation</td>
<td>/30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conciseness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Background information          | /30                   |                  |
| Command/completeness of literature |                 |                  |
| Critical evaluation             |                       |                  |

| Programme and methodology       | /25                   |                  |
| Choice of methods               |                       |                  |
| Justified objectives            |                       |                  |
| Realistic time lines            |                       |                  |

| Relevance to Beneficiaries      | /15                   |                  |
| Appropriate mechanism           |                       |                  |
| Realistic impacts               |                       |                  |

| Total                           | /100                  |                  |

Comments on research proposal (justify your mark taking into account the attached marking criteria): *(This will be seen by the course directors and external examiners)*

Feedback to student (Please provide feedback that will be passed on to the student):
Criteria for Assessment of MRes Research Proposal

Account is taken of the nature of the work proposed, critical analysis of the relevant literature, the proposed work and what is reasonably achievable in the timescale of the course.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage Grade</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>85-100</td>
<td><strong>Exceptional.</strong> Outstanding analysis of the relevant literature and methodology showing a standard equal to successful research council grants in depth and content. Evidence of originality, high critical/analytical ability.** Competent assessment of the limitations of the proposed research and the relevance and impact of the proposed research (putting the work in context).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70-84</td>
<td><strong>Excellent.</strong> As for Exceptional, but not fully achieving one of them.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-69</td>
<td><strong>Very Good.</strong> Complete and accurate presentation of the literature, experimental procedures and proposed work, showing a clear understanding of the methodology. Demonstrates critical/analytical ability** including an assessment of the limitations of the proposed work and the relevance of the research.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-59</td>
<td><strong>Good.</strong> Accurate account and presentation of most of the background, experimental procedures and proposed work. Demonstrates critical/analytical ability** including an assessment of the potential limitations of the proposed work and the relevance of the research, but has significant errors of interpretation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-54</td>
<td><strong>Adequate.</strong> Basic account and presentation of the background, experimental procedures and proposed research. Demonstrates some critical/analytical ability** including an assessment of the significance of the research, but has major errors or omissions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-49</td>
<td><strong>Unsatisfactory.</strong> Confused and incomplete account of the background, experimental procedures and proposed work. Presence of errors of interpretation or factual mistakes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-34</td>
<td>Vague and seriously inadequate account and presentation of the proposed work with substantial omissions and errors. Very poor review of relevant literature.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-19</td>
<td>Mainly incorrect and incompetent background information and research proposal demonstrating only few relevant thoughts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-9</td>
<td>Incorrect and incompetent literature survey and research proposal containing nothing of relevance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Work not handed in. Mark given where the work presented is discovered not to be that of the candidate (plagiarised). Further disciplinary action is usually taken in cases of plagiarism.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** Analytical = assessing a hypothesis or statement by breaking it down into its elements and examining their inter-relationships and contribution to the whole; cf. Critical = judging a hypothesis or conclusion by examining the validity of the evidence adduced for it.
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---

**Evaluation to be completed by the Supervisor** (please circle as appropriate, if starred response please give details/agreed action in space provided)

- Attendance: Excellent / good / satisfactory / unsatisfactory*
- Commitment: Excellent / good / satisfactory / unsatisfactory*
- Awareness of Literature: Excellent / good / satisfactory / unsatisfactory*
- Presentation skills (written and oral): Excellent / good / satisfactory / unsatisfactory*
- Overall Progress: Excellent / good / satisfactory / unsatisfactory*

Supervisor’s comments on project progress to date. Please highlight any successes and problems and comment on the agreed targets for the second half of the project (please continue overleaf if required).
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Student’s General Comments, including identification of any issues which need to be addressed (please continue overleaf if required).
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Research Manuscript Guidelines

Please read all the following guidelines carefully.
The following should be submitted on or before 14:00 Thursday 24th August 2017.

- An electronic copy of your manuscript (including the supplementary information). Graphics should be included within the text. This should ideally be submitted as a PDF sent via email to the MRes programme coordinator.
- A covering letter, including a justification of the importance of the work.
- One signed Plagiarism Form.
- An electronic copy of your manuscript uploaded onto Blackboard virtual learning environment.

Manuscript format
The manuscript should report your MRes project research achievements (both positive and negative). It should be written using a template that will be emailed to you separately. The template defines the font sizes and styles as well as the line spacing. Please do not alter this.

The manuscript should have a minimum word count of 6000 words and should not exceed 12000. Supplementary information may be included, and should not exceed a word count of 6000 words. Supplementary information may be provided in a free form format that you consider best suited to the data presented.

You should include a covering letter that gives a justification of the importance and impact of the work. This should not exceed one page in length.

Note that you are expected to consult your supervisors for advice on preparing your research manuscript; your supervisors and their groups have long experience of preparing papers for publication, so take advantage of their expertise early in the process. You have read many papers during your MRes to date, so you should be very familiar with the overall format and style expected in a good paper.

The manuscript should include the following sections (further divided into subheadings wherever needed to enhance readability):

**Title**
The manuscript should have a concise title directed at the general reader. Please note that abbreviations in the title should be avoided.

**Author names**
As author of this manuscript, you should be the first author listed and only include your own and your supervisors' names in the manuscript. Full names should be given.

**Abstract**
The paper must include an abstract which is a summary (50-350 words) setting out briefly and clearly the main objects and results of the work; it should give the reader a clear idea of what has been achieved. The summary should be essentially independent of the main text; however, names, partial names or linear formulae of compounds may be accompanied by the numbers referring to the corresponding displayed formulae in the body of the text. Please do not cite references in the abstract.

**Keywords**
You should list three to ten keywords representing the main content of the article.
Introduction
This should give clearly and briefly, with relevant references, both the nature of the problem under investigation and its background.

Results and Discussion
It is usual for the results to be presented first, followed by a discussion of their significance. You are marked both on the clarity and conciseness of your report. Therefore only relevant results should be presented and figures, tables, and equations should be used for purposes of clarity. This can include the use of flow diagrams and reaction schemes. Supporting information and data should be included in the supplementary section of your submission.

Conclusion
This section should state the main conclusions of your research project, and give a clear explanation of their importance and relevance. It should be used to highlight the novelty and significance of the work and how it sits relative to the state of the art in the field.

Experimental
Descriptions of experiments should be given in detail sufficient to enable experienced experimental workers to repeat them. Descriptions of established procedures are unnecessary. Standard techniques and methods used throughout the work should be stated at the beginning of the section. Apparatus should be described only if it is non-standard; commercially available instruments are referred to by their stock numbers (e.g. Perkin-Elmer 457 or Varian HA-100 spectrometers). The accuracy of primary measurements should be stated.

Acknowledgements
Contributors other than your supervisors may be acknowledged in a separate paragraph at the end of the paper; acknowledgements should be as brief as possible. All sources of funding should be declared.

Bibliographic references and notes
These should be listed at the end of the manuscript in numerical order. Details regarding the format of the bibliography are given below. Note that the names of journals or their abbreviations should be written in italics.

Style and presentation

Brevity
Your manuscript should be written clearly and concisely. Repetition or embellishment with unnecessary words or phrases should be avoided. Excessive use of diagrams and duplication of data in text, tables and figures is discouraged.

Grammar and spelling
Standard English or American spelling may be used but consistency should be maintained throughout the document.

Abbreviations
The use of common or standard abbreviations is encouraged. If non-standard abbreviations must be used these should be defined at the first use.

Illustration and figures
Preparation of graphics
Graphics to be embedded in the manuscript should fit within either single column (8.3 cm) or double column (17.1 cm) width, and must be no longer than one page.
- Schemes and structures should be drawn to make best use of single and double column widths. Lettering used in graphics should be legible at the required size (e.g. 7 point Arial font or Helvetica if Arial is unavailable)
- The format of units in graphics should conform to IUPAC convention and be consistent with those used in the paper.
- Insets in images should be avoided where possible. However, if insets are used there is no need to shrink down the size of the text, axes labels and symbols in the inset. These should be the same size as in the main graph so that they are readable.

**Chemical Structures**
Structural formulae should ideally be prepared with chemistry drawing software (e.g. ChemDraw, ChemWindows, ISIS/Draw).

**Figure Legends**
Figure legends should be included underneath each figure. Each legend should include a figure number (in sequence using Arabic numerals i.e. Figure 1, 2, 3 etc); short title of the figure (maximum 15 words); detailed legend, up to 300 words.

**Tables and Table legends**
Each table should be numbered and cited in sequence using Arabic numerals (i.e. Table 1, 2, 3 etc). Tables should have a title (above the table) that summarises the whole table; it should be no longer than 15 words). Detailed legends may then follow, but should be concise.

**Bibliographic references**
You are assessed on your command of the literature. Therefore you should ensure that you adequately cite the relevant literature throughout your manuscript. Around 50 references might be expected for a manuscript of this length, with further references included in the supplemental data.
You are required to make use of reference managing software (e.g. EndNote) to standardise your bibliography. All references must be numbered consecutively, in brackets, in the order in which they are cited in the text (including those in tables and figure captions, which should be numbered according to where the table or figure is designated to appear).
The references themselves should be listed at the end of the text, as indicated in the template. The names and initials of all authors are always given in the reference; they must not be replaced by the phrase *et al.* Examples of the manuscript reference style are given below, and must be adhered to.

**Journals**
The style of journal abbreviations to be used here is as defined in Chemical Abstracts Service Source Index (CASSI). See http://www.cas.org/expertise/cascontent/caplus/corejournals.html
If you cannot locate an authoritative abbreviation for a journal, and if it is not obvious how the title should be abbreviated, please cite the full title.
Bibliographic details should be cited in the order: year, volume, page. Where page numbers are not yet known, articles should be cited by DOI (Digital Object Identifier), e.g. A. R. Jones, *Dalton Trans.*, 2005, DOI: 10.1039/B503459J.

**Article within a journal**

**Books**

**Patents**

**Reports and bulletins, etc.**
Material presented at meetings

Theses

Reference to unpublished material
For material presented at a meeting, congress or before a society, etc. but not published, the following form is used: A. R. Jones, presented in part at the 28th Congress of the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry, Vancouver, August 2001.
For material accepted for publication, but not yet published, the following form is used: A. R. Jones, Angew. Chem., in press.
For material submitted for publication but not yet accepted the following form is used: A. R. Jones, Angew. Chem., submitted.
For personal communications the following is used: G. B. Ball, personal communication.

Footnotes
Footnotes may be used to present material which, if included in the body of the text, would disrupt the flow of the argument but which is, nevertheless, of importance in qualifying or amplifying the textual material. Footnotes are referred to with the following symbols: †, ‡, §, ¶, ║ etc.
Please note that any material exceeding the conciseness of a footnote, but which is relevant to the manuscript conclusions should be placed in the supplementary material.

Supplementary Data
The Supplementary Information should be included to enhance and increase the impact of your manuscript. Additional material, such as repetitive experimental details and bulky data, may be included. Note that there is a word limit for this section, which should not exceed 6000 words (this includes text in the figure captions). The supplementary data can be presented using the manuscript style set by the template (two column format) or any other format that is suitable to accommodate the data that you wish to present.
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| Student: | 
| Title: | 
| Supervisors: | 
| Marker: | 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Joint Supervisor Mark</th>
<th>Independent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scientific Rigor</strong></td>
<td>/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiments support claims</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methods are appropriate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methods well explained</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scientific Understanding</strong></td>
<td>/30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Command of literature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical discussion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Written Style</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conciseness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Endeavour and Evidence of independent working</strong></td>
<td>/50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>/100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments on manuscript (justify your mark taking into account the attached marking criteria):  
(This will be seen by the course directors and external examiners)

Feedback to student (Please provide feedback that will be passed on to the student):
Criteria for Assessment of Research Manuscript

Account is taken of the nature of the work, endeavour in the laboratory, the instructions provided and what is reasonably achievable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage Grade</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>85-100</td>
<td><strong>Exceptional.</strong> Outstanding presentation of results showing publishing standard in quality and quantity. Evidence of originality, high critical/analytical ability **, substantial outside reading and independent work effort. Competent assessment of the limitations of the experimental procedures and the significance of results.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70-84</td>
<td><strong>Excellent.</strong> As for Exceptional, but not fully achieving one of them.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-69</td>
<td><strong>Very Good.</strong> Accurate account and presentation of results and experimental procedures showing a clear understanding of the background by providing evidence of sufficient outside reading. Demonstrates critical/analytical ability** including an assessment of the limitations of the experimental procedures and the significance of results. Good effort and some evidence of independent working.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-59</td>
<td><strong>Good.</strong> Accurate account and presentation of most of the background, experimental procedures and results. Demonstrates critical/analytical ability** including an assessment of the limitations of the experimental procedures and the significance of results, but has significant errors of interpretation. Significant work effort.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-54</td>
<td><strong>Adequate.</strong> Basic account and presentation of the background, experimental procedures and results. Demonstrates some critical/analytical ability** including an assessment of the significance of results, but has major errors or omissions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-49</td>
<td><strong>Unsatisfactory.</strong> Confused and incomplete account of the background, experimental procedures and results marred by substantial errors or omissions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-34</td>
<td>Vague and seriously inadequate account of the experiments with substantial omissions and errors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-19</td>
<td>Mainly incorrect and incompetent account and presentation of experimental work demonstrating only few relevant thoughts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-9</td>
<td>Incorrect and incompetent account of experimental work containing nothing of relevance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Experiment not attempted or work not handed in. Mark given where the work presented is discovered not to be that of the candidate (plagiarised). Further disciplinary action is usually taken in cases of plagiarism.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** Analytical = assessing a hypothesis or statement by breaking it down into its elements and examining their inter-relationships and contribution to the whole; cf. Critical = judging a hypothesis or conclusion by examining the validity of the evidence adduced for it.
## Project Oral Examination Assessment Form

**Imperial College London – The Institute of Chemical Biology**

**MRes in Chemical Biology 2016/17**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supervisors:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent marker:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Examiners present at viva:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supervisors:</th>
<th>Print name</th>
<th>signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent marker:</th>
<th>Print name</th>
<th>signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Agreed Mark

### Comments on viva performance (justify your mark taking into account the attached marking criteria):

---
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Criteria for Assessment of oral examination performance

Account is taken of the nature of the work, endeavour in the laboratory, the instructions provided and what is reasonably achievable. The independent marker should take the lead in the oral examination.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage Grade</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>85-100</td>
<td><strong>Exceptional.</strong> Outstanding presentation of results showing publishing standard in quality and quantity. Evidence of originality, high critical/analytical ability** and substantial outside reading. Competent assessment of the limitations of the experimental procedures and the significance of results.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70-84</td>
<td><strong>Excellent.</strong> As for Exceptional, but not fully achieving one of them.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-69</td>
<td><strong>Very Good.</strong> Complete and accurate presentation of results and experimental procedures showing a clear understanding of the background by providing evidence of sufficient outside reading. Demonstrates critical/analytical ability** including an assessment of the limitations of the experimental procedures and the significance of results.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-59</td>
<td><strong>Good.</strong> Accurate account of most of the background, experimental procedures and results. Demonstrates critical/analytical ability** including an assessment of the limitations of the experimental procedures and the significance of results, but has significant errors of interpretation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-54</td>
<td><strong>Adequate.</strong> Basic account of the background, experimental procedures and results. Demonstrates some critical/analytical ability** including an assessment of the significance of results, but has major errors or omissions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-49</td>
<td><strong>Unsatisfactory.</strong> Confused and incomplete account of the background, experimental procedures and results marred by substantial errors or omissions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-34</td>
<td>Vague and seriously inadequate account of the experiments with substantial omissions and errors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-19</td>
<td>Mainly incorrect and incompetent account of experimental work demonstrating only few relevant thoughts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-9</td>
<td>Incorrect and incompetent account of experimental work containing nothing of relevance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Experiment not attempted or work not handed in. Mark given where the work presented is discovered not to be that of the candidate (plagiarised). Further disciplinary action is usually taken in cases of plagiarism.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Analytical** = assessing a hypothesis or statement by breaking it down into its elements and examining their inter-relationships and contribution to the whole; cf. **Critical** = judging a hypothesis or conclusion by examining the validity of the evidence adduced for it.
# MRes Conference Presentation Assessment Form

Imperial College London – The Institute of Chemical Biology
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supervisors:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External Examiner:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSESSMENT CRITERIA</th>
<th>MARKS</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visual Impact and organisation</td>
<td>/30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scientific understanding</td>
<td>/30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>verbal presentation &amp; clarity of message</td>
<td>/40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>/100</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage Grade</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85-100</td>
<td><strong>Exceptional.</strong> Presentation is comprehensive and well structured, displays an excellent understanding of the relevant concepts and facts and contains exceptional detail.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70-84</td>
<td><strong>Excellent.</strong> Presentation gives an accurate account of all the main points, displays a clear understanding of the material and includes a high level of detail.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-69</td>
<td><strong>Very Good.</strong> Presentation gives an accurate account of all the main points and displays a clear understanding of the material, but is slightly flawed in organisation or detail.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-59</td>
<td><strong>Good.</strong> Presentation shows a clear grasp of relevant concepts and facts and gives a mainly accurate account of the main points and their significance, but lacks detail.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-54</td>
<td><strong>Adequate.</strong> Presentation shows a grasp of the basic concepts and facts and (ii) includes the major points, but (iii) does not go beyond that, or goes beyond that but is then marred by significant errors or flawed organisation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-49</td>
<td><strong>Fail.</strong> Presentation shows a relatively weak grasp of the subject and (ii) is marred by major errors or brevity, but (iii) by presenting at least a third of the material expected,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-34</td>
<td><strong>Fail</strong> shows a confused understanding of the question, and (ii) presents less than a third of a material expected.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-19</td>
<td><strong>Fail.</strong> Presentation is too inaccurate, too irrelevant, or too brief to indicate more than a vague understanding of the question and (ii) presents, at most, only about a quarter of the material expected</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-9</td>
<td><strong>Fail.</strong> Presentation contains only two or three concepts or facts that are correct and relevant.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td><strong>Fail.</strong> Presentation contains nothing correct that is relevant. Mark given where the work presented is discovered not to be that of the candidate (plagiarised). Further disciplinary action is usually taken in cases of plagiarism.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Instructions on submitting your Literature Report or MRes Manuscript on Blackboard Learn

1. Go to Blackboard Virtual Learning Environment homepage https://bb.imperial.ac.uk and log in using your College username/password

2. Select your MRes course, i.e Chemical Biology of Heath & Disease from the Course List shown.
3. Select **Course Content** and left click the **view/complete** link (circled) for the report you need to submit, in this example **MRes final manuscript 2014**. This will take you to ‘Turnitin UK’.

4. Ensure ‘**single file upload**’ is selected under “Choose a paper submission method”. Enter your ‘**first and last name**’
Enter the ‘**submission title**’ – this is your Literature Report or Manuscript Title Select ‘**Browse**’ and locate your Manuscript and select it
Press ‘**Upload**’
5. Press ‘submit’ once your report has been uploaded onto the system.

6. You will receive a notification if the document has been successfully submitted.

7. You can now log out of Blackboard.
Please read this carefully. You will be required to submit a signed copy of this form along with your Literature report and Manuscript.

The Institute of Chemical Biology, Department of Chemistry, Imperial College – Plagiarism Policy

The institute of Chemical Biology, Department of Chemistry, and College take plagiarism very seriously. All work submitted as part of the requirements for any examination (including coursework) of Imperial College London must be expressed in your own words and incorporate your own ideas and judgments.

Plagiarism is the presentation of another person’s thoughts, words or graphics/art work as though they were your own. This includes e.g. copying text, figures, schemes and graphs from another source such as a book, an academic article/paper or the internet without acknowledging it explicitly. Plagiarism must be avoided, with particular care in coursework, essays and reports written in your own time. Note that you are encouraged to read and criticise the work of others as much as possible. You are expected to incorporate this in your thinking and in your coursework and assessments. But you must acknowledge and label/cite your sources.

Direct quotations (i.e. anything that is “copy-pasted”) from the published or unpublished work of others, from the internet, or from any other source must always be clearly identified as such. A full reference to their source must be provided in the proper form and quotation marks used. This means you must provide the reference directly after information is given and, in the case of figures/schemes/graphs indicate explicitly in the caption that this has been taken from the literature: e.g. “Figure taken from ref. X” or “Scheme adapted from ref. Y”. Remember that a series of short quotations from several different sources, if not clearly identified as such, constitutes plagiarism just as much as a single unacknowledged long quotation from a single source. Equally, if you summarise another person’s ideas or judgments, figures, diagrams or software, you must refer to that person in your text (and in the case of figures/schemes/graphs in the caption of the corresponding graphic), and include the work referred to in your bibliography/reference list. Please see ‘addendum 3’ (below, ‘How to correctly reference material’) for examples of how to correctly reference material. If in doubt, ask for advice from academic staff in the Department about the appropriate use and correct acknowledgement of other sources in your own work.

The direct and unacknowledged repetition of your own work which has already been submitted for assessment can constitute self-plagiarism (see also ‘addendum 1’: ‘Plagiarism in the context of MRes Research Reports’, below). Where group work is submitted, this should be presented in an approved manner. You should therefore consult the supervisor of the group assignment, your tutor or another member of academic staff if you are in any doubt about what is permissible. You should be aware that you have a collective responsibility for the integrity of group work submitted for assessment.

The use of the work of another student, past or present, constitutes plagiarism. Where work is used without the consent of that student, this will normally be regarded as a major offence of plagiarism.

Plagiarism will not be tolerated in the Department and if it is detected in a student’s work presented for assessment, it will be reported, together with the evidence, to the course directors, the Director and Co-directors of the DTC and the Director of Postgraduate Studies who will take appropriate action which may result in an allegation of plagiarism/cheating. Cases of suspected plagiarism/cheating will be dealt with by the College Registry under the College’s Examination Offences Policy. The penalty for proven cases can vary from loss of marks to expulsion from the College.

NB. This policy is adapted from the Imperial College Student Handbook: http://www3.imperial.ac.uk/studenthandbook/advice/plagiarism/ (accessed 15.07.2010).
ADDENDUM 1: Plagiarism in the Context of MRes Research Manuscripts:
We recognise that your Introduction and Aims and Objectives sections may have substantial overlap in terms of content with your Research Proposal. Consequently, for these sections, a reasonably lenient threshold for self-plagiarism (which will be picked up by the electronic plagiarism scans that we perform on both documents, see later) will be allowed (e.g. some identical sentences and paragraph constructions). However, wholesale verbatim transcription of multiple paragraphs should be avoided. If you think this is necessary then place the relevant text in inverted commas and insert a reference to your Research Proposal. In general, it is expected that your understanding of the project will have matured substantially during the course of the year and that such verbatim transcription will not be appropriate.

ADDENDUM 2: How to Correctly Reference Material
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