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Turbulent jets

(Picture from album of fluid motion)
Turbulent wakes

(Picture downloaded from the web)
Homogeneous turbulence

(from Ishihara et al, early/mid 2000s, Japan, Earth Simulator calculations)
### Some background

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WT HT</th>
<th>Axisym Jet</th>
<th>Plane Jet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$u'$</td>
<td>$U_\infty \left( \frac{x-x_0}{L_b} \right)^{-p}$, $1/2 &lt; p &lt; 3/4$</td>
<td>$U_\infty \left( \frac{x-x_0}{L_b} \right)^{-1}$, $U_\infty \left( \frac{x-x_0}{L_b} \right)^{-1/2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_u$</td>
<td>$L_b \left( \frac{x-x_0}{L_b} \right)^q$, $0 &lt; q &lt; 1/2$</td>
<td>$x - x_0$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Axisym Wake</th>
<th>Plane Wake</th>
<th>Mixing Layer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$u'$</td>
<td>$U_\infty \left( \frac{x-x_0}{L_b} \right)^{-2/3}$</td>
<td>$U_\infty \left( \frac{x-x_0}{L_b} \right)^{-1/2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_u$</td>
<td>$L_b^{2/3} (x - x_0)^{1/3}$</td>
<td>$L_b^{1/2} (x - x_0)^{1/2}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$L_b$: characteristic cross-stream length-scale of inlet (e.g. mesh or nozzle or bluff body size...)

$U_\infty$: characteristic inlet mean flow velocity or mean flow velocity cross-stream variation
Some background

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>WT HT</th>
<th>Axisym Jet</th>
<th>Plane Jet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$L_u/\lambda$</td>
<td>$Re_0^{1/2}(\frac{x-x_0}{L_b})^{-p}$</td>
<td>$Re_0^{1/2}(\frac{x-x_0}{L_b})^0$</td>
<td>$Re_0^{1/2}(\frac{x-x_0}{L_b})^{1/4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Re_\lambda$</td>
<td>$Re_0^{1/2}(\frac{x-x_0}{L_b})^{-p}$</td>
<td>$Re_0^{1/2}(\frac{x-x_0}{L_b})^0$</td>
<td>$Re_0^{1/2}(\frac{x-x_0}{L_b})^{1/4}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Axisym Wake</th>
<th>Plane Wake</th>
<th>Mixing Layer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$L_u/\lambda$</td>
<td>$Re_I^{1/2}(\frac{x-x_0}{L_b})^{-1/6}$</td>
<td>$Re_I^{1/2}(\frac{x-x_0}{L_b})^0$</td>
<td>$Re_I^{1/2}(\frac{x-x_0}{L_b})^{1/2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Re_\lambda$</td>
<td>$Re_I^{1/2}(\frac{x-x_0}{L_b})^{-1/6}$</td>
<td>$Re_I^{1/2}(\frac{x-x_0}{L_b})^0$</td>
<td>$Re_I^{1/2}(\frac{x-x_0}{L_b})^{1/2}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

if $\lambda$ obtained from $\epsilon \sim u'^3/L_u \sim \nu u'^2/\lambda^2$

then $L_u/\lambda \sim Re_I^{1/2} f(\frac{x-x_0}{L_b}) \sim Re_\lambda$ in all cases

$Re_I \equiv \frac{U_\infty L_b}{\nu}$: inlet Reynolds number
\[ L/\lambda \sim C_\varepsilon \text{Re}_\lambda \]

\[ \varepsilon \sim \nu u'\lambda^2 / \lambda^2 \] (Taylor 1935)

\[ \varepsilon = C_\varepsilon u^3 / L \] (definition of \( C_\varepsilon \) introduced by Taylor 1935)

These two relations imply \( L/\lambda \sim C_\varepsilon \text{Re}_\lambda \).

\( C_\varepsilon = \text{Const} \) IFF \( L/\lambda \sim \text{Re}_\lambda \)

in which case,

if \( \text{Re}_\lambda \sim \text{Re}_I^{1/2} f\left(\frac{x-x_0}{L_b}\right) \)

then \( L/\lambda \sim \text{Re}_I^{1/2} f\left(\frac{x-x_0}{L_b}\right) \).
using fractal square grids, Seoud & V (PoF, 2007) showed that $L/\lambda$ remains about constant as $Re_\lambda$ decays when moving in the streamwise downstream direction in the part of the flow where the turbulence decays and which could be probed in the tunnel. $L/\lambda \sim C_\epsilon Re_\lambda$ then implies $C_\epsilon \sim Re_\lambda^{-1}$.
\[ D_f = 2, \sigma = 25\% \text{ fractal square grids} \]

and equal \( M_{eff} \approx 2.6\text{cm}, L_{max} \approx 24\text{cm}, L_{min} \approx 3\text{cm}, N = 4, \]
\[ T = 0.46\text{m}. \]

\textbf{BUT} \( t_r = 2.5, 5.0, 8.5, 13.0, 17.0 \)
confirmed that $L_u/\lambda$ is about constant where $Re_\lambda$ decays and introduced the wake-interaction length-scale $x_\ast$.

$L_u/\lambda$ indep of $Re_\lambda$ but they also found that $L_u/\lambda$ is increasing fct of $Re_I$, e.g. $L_u/\lambda \propto Re_I^\gamma$, $\gamma > 0$, (e.g. $\gamma = 1/2$?)

$L_u/\lambda \sim C_\epsilon Re_\lambda \propto Re_I^\gamma$ indep of $x$ means $C_\epsilon \sim \frac{Re_I^\gamma}{Re_\lambda}$. 
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That $L_u/\lambda$ may be independent of the local turbulence Reynolds number $Re_\lambda$ but nevertheless an increasing function of the inlet Reynolds number $Re_I$, e.g. as $L_u/\lambda \sim Re_I^{1/2}$ had been anticipated by George (1992) but for homogeneous decaying turbulence, not specifically decaying turbulence downstream of fractal square grids.
confirmed in JFM, October 2011 the findings of Mazellier & V (PoF 2010) over a much longer downstream decay region and carried our a very detailed documentation of various turbulence profiles etc.

In PRL, April 2012, Valente demonstrated that $L_u/\lambda$ is independent of $Re_\lambda$ and an increasing function of $Re_I$ in the near decay region of regular grid turbulence too, a region which had been mostly neglected till now. The trick was to apply to regular grid turbulence the scaling of downstream distance based on $x_*$ and find the equivalent region where $C_\epsilon \sim Re_\lambda^{-1}$ for regular grids appropriately designed to be able to detect this region.
$C_\varepsilon \sim Re_\gamma^\gamma / Re_\lambda \sim Re_\gamma^{2\gamma} / Re_L$

Valente’s PRL plots above with $\gamma = 1/2$) in the high-$Re_L$ decay region followed by $C_\varepsilon \sim \text{const}$ in the further downstream low-$Re_L$ decay region

For the rest of the talk, consider $C_\varepsilon \sim Re_\gamma^\beta / Re_\gamma^\alpha$ for more generality and greater sweep of concepts.
Batchelor (1953) and $C_\varepsilon \sim Re_I^\beta / Re_L^\alpha$

Batchelor (1953) provides evidence from grid-generated turbulence which seems to suggest that $C_\varepsilon$ is independent of both $Re_I$ and streamwise distance $x$, i.e. local turbulence Reynolds number $Re_L \equiv u'L/\nu$. Hence $\beta = \alpha = 0$.

He interprets this observation as being a “demonstration that changes in $\nu$, which will be accompanied by changes in the motion associated with the dissipation range of wave-numbers, have no effect on the rate of transfer of energy from the lower wavenumbers”.
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\[ C_\varepsilon \sim \frac{Re^\beta_I}{Re^\alpha_L} \]

Batchelor’s (1953) comment implies that if \( \alpha - \beta \) is different from 0 then viscosity has an effect on the rate of energy transfer. We now look closer into this by a re-examination of \( < \delta u^3(r) > \approx -\frac{4}{5} \varepsilon r \) in homogeneous isotropic turbulence.

Quoting Batchelor (1953): “the statistical quantities determined by the equilibrium range are independent of the properties of the large-scale components of the turbulence and do not require the turbulence to be accurately homogeneous.”
\[ < \delta u^3(r) > \approx -\frac{4}{5} \epsilon r \]

Derivations of this relation in the inertial range of scales \( r \) have been proposed for

(i) statistically stationary homogeneous isotropic turbulence forced at the large scales by a number of authors, e.g. Frisch (1995) following Kolmogorov (1941), under the assumption that \( C_\epsilon = const \), specifically \( \alpha = \beta = 0 \)

(ii) decaying homogeneous isotropic turbulence by Lundgren (PoF 2002, 2003) under the assumption that \( C_\epsilon = const \), specifically \( \alpha = \beta = 0 \)

(iii) decaying homogeneous isotropic turbulence by Tchoufag, Sagaut & Cambon (PoF 2012) under the assumption that the spectral transfer term \( T(k) \approx 0 \) in the assumed inertial range.
It is possible to derive $T(k) \approx 0$ in an intermediate range of scales for decaying homogeneous isotropic turbulence under the assumption that energy and its time derivative are finite and various forms of assumption on dissipation, one of them being that $C_\epsilon \sim Re_L(0)^\beta / Re_L^\alpha$ with $\alpha < 1$.

It is then also possible to rigorously use the following relation of Tchoufag, Sagaut & Cambon (2012) to derive $< \delta u^3(r) > \approx -\frac{4}{5} \epsilon r$ in a rigorously defined sufficient intermediate range of scales $r$:

\[
< \delta u^3(r) > = 12r \int_0^{+\infty} g_5(kr) T(k) dk
\]

where $g_5(kr) = \frac{3(\sin kr - kr \cos kr) - (kr)^3 \sin kr}{(kr)^5}$
Decaying HIT

Lin (1949) equation:
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} E(k, t) = T(k, t) - 2\nu k^2 E(k, t) \]

ASSUMPTION 1: An outer length-scale \( L(t) \) exists such that
\[ \int_0^k E(k, t) \approx \frac{3}{2} u'^2 \quad \& \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^k E(k, t) \approx \epsilon \]
for \( k' L \gg 1 \).

Integrate the Lin equation from 0 to \( k' \) and apply assumption 1 to get:
\[ -\epsilon \approx \int_0^{k'} T(k, t) dk - 2\nu \int_0^{k'} k^2 E(k, t) dk \]

Next, non-dimensionalise with \( u'(t) \) and \( L(t) \) using
\[ \epsilon = C_\epsilon u'^3 / L \] as definition of \( C_\epsilon \) (no assumptions).
Non-dimensional approximate Lin eq.

\[-C_\epsilon \approx \int_0^{k'L} \tau(\kappa, t) d\kappa - \frac{2}{Re_L} \int_0^{k'L} \kappa^2 e(\kappa, t) d\kappa\]

where \(\kappa \equiv kL\), \(\tau(\kappa, t) \equiv \frac{T(k,t)}{w^3(t)}\), \(e(\kappa, t) \equiv \frac{E(k,t)}{w'^2(t)L(t)}\) and \(Re_L \equiv u'L/\nu\).

A sufficient condition for \(-C_\epsilon \approx \int_0^{k'L} \tau(\kappa, t) d\kappa\), \(k'L \gg 1\) is

\[C_\epsilon \gg \frac{2}{Re_L} (k'L)^2 \int_0^{k'L} e(\kappa, t) d\kappa\]

because \((k'L)^2 \int_0^{k'L} e(\kappa, t) d\kappa > \int_0^{k'L} \kappa^2 e(\kappa, t) d\kappa\), which is the neglected viscous term.

Using assumption 1, the sufficient condition for

\[-C_\epsilon \approx \int_0^{k'L} \tau(\kappa, t) d\kappa \text{ at } k'L \gg 1\]

is \(C_\epsilon \gg \frac{3}{Re_L} (k'L)^2\).
An assumption on dissipation

A sufficient range where \(-\epsilon \approx \int_0^{k'} T(k, t) dk\) is

\[ L^{-1} \ll k' \ll L^{-1} \left( \frac{C_\epsilon R_L}{3} \right)^{1/2} \sim \lambda^{-1} \]

Now chose an assumption on dissipation, for example

\[ C_\epsilon \sim \frac{R_L(0)^\beta}{R_L^\alpha}. \]

The sufficient range becomes

\[ L^{-1} \ll k' \ll L^{-1} R_L(0)^\beta R_L^{(1-\alpha)/2} \]

This is a sufficient range of wavenumbers where the interscale energy flux \(\int_0^{k'} T(k, t) dk\) is independent of \(k'\), hence \(T(k', t) \approx 0\). One can then obtain \(<\delta u^3(r)> \approx -\frac{4}{5} \epsilon r\) in a well-defined sufficient range of length-scales \(r\).
\[ L^{-1} \ll k' \ll L^{-1} \text{Re}_L(0)^\beta \text{Re}_L^{(1-\alpha)/2} \]

This is not a range, however, where the interscale energy flux is independent of viscosity except in the particular case where \( \alpha = \beta \) because of the ansatz \( C_\epsilon \sim \frac{\text{Re}_L(0)^\beta}{\text{Re}_L^\alpha} \).

So, except if \( \beta = \alpha \), the usual K41 dimensional arguments which require \( \epsilon \) to be independent of \( \nu \) to yield
\[ < \delta u^n(r) > \sim (\epsilon r)^{n/3} \]

in an appropriate intermediate range cannot be used. Nevertheless, \( < \delta u^3(r) > \sim \epsilon r \ (n = 3) \).

However, one can always argue that \( E(k) \) can only depend on \( k \) and interscale energy flux, thus leading to
\[ E(k) \sim \epsilon^{2/3} k^{-5/3}, \text{ and therefore } < \delta u^2(r) > \sim (\epsilon r)^{2/3} \]
in the appropriate ranges. But this energy flux and \( \epsilon \) can now depend on viscosity.
\[ L^{-1} \ll k' \ll L^{-1} \text{Re}_L(0)^{\beta} \text{Re}_L^{(1-\alpha)/2} \sim \lambda^{-1} \]

Sufficient condition range for \( \int_0^{k'} T(k, t) \, dk = -\epsilon \) under assumption 1 and \( C_\epsilon \sim \frac{\text{Re}_L(0)^{\beta}}{\text{Re}_L^{\alpha}} \)

Note 1: This range decreases with time if \( \text{Re}_L \) decreases with time and \( \alpha < 1 \).

Note 2: \( \alpha = 1 \) is special as the range \( L^{-1} \) to \( \lambda^{-1} \) remains constant during turbulence decay in that case.

Note 3: The range where \( \int_0^{k'} T(k, t) \, dk = -\epsilon \) may in fact be wider as the range we found is only sufficient, not necessary.
Vorticity and strain

In homogeneous isotropic turbulence,

\[
\frac{\langle \omega \cdot s \omega \rangle}{\langle \omega^2 \rangle} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{15}{2} \right)^{1/2} \frac{\int_0^\infty k^2 T(k) dk}{\left( \int_0^\infty k^2 E(k) dk \right)^{3/2}}
\]

In general \( u = < u > + u' \) and

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u' + u' \cdot \nabla u' = -\nabla p' / \rho + \nu \nabla^2 u' + \frac{1}{\rho} f
\]

where

\[
\frac{1}{\rho} f = u' \cdot \nabla < u > + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} < u'_k u' > - < u > \cdot \nabla u'
\]

Vorticity and strain rate of fluctuating velocity:

\[
\omega = \nabla \times u' \text{ and } s_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} u'_j + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} u'_i \right)
\]
\[ Q \equiv \frac{1}{4}(\omega^2 - 2s_{ij}s_{ji}) \quad \text{and} \quad R \equiv -\frac{1}{3}(s_{ij}s_{jk}s_{ki} + \frac{3}{4}\omega_i s_{ij}\omega_j) \]

The Q-R diagram (below for periodic DNS turbulence, courtesy of Ryo Onishi) has this tear-drop shape in many turbulent flows: turbulent boundary layers, mixing layers, grid turbulence, jet turbulence (see Tsinober 2009). For homogeneous/periodic turbulence it can be proved that \( \langle Q \rangle = 0, \langle R \rangle = 0 \).
Direct Numerical Simulations

We use Incompact3D which originates from Eric Lamballais (Poitiers) and his coworkers: solves incompressible Navier-Stokes on a cartesian mesh with 6th-order compact finite differen schemes for spatial discretization, 3d-order Adams-Bashforth for time advancement and a fractional step method for incompressibility which involves solving the Poisson pressure equation in spectral space and use of the concept of modified wave number.

Immersed Boundary Method for modelling the grid in the flow.

Inflow/outflow boundary conditions in the streamwise direction, periodic boundary conditions in the lateral directions.
Grids with same $\sigma = 50\%$

\[
\text{same } M_{eff} \equiv \frac{4T^2}{P} \sqrt{1 - \sigma} \text{ and } U_\infty M_{eff} / \nu.
\]

Fractal grid: $D_f = 2, N = 4, t_r = 8.5$

Regular grid: $b = 2t_{min}$
Wake-interaction length-scales

Regular grid: \( \frac{1}{2} M^2 / b \)

Fractal grid: \( \frac{1}{2} L_3^2 / t_3 \approx 6.25 M_{eff} < \frac{1}{2} L_2^2 / t_2 \approx 12.255 M_{eff} < \frac{1}{2} L_1^2 / t_1 \approx 24 M_{eff} < \frac{1}{2} L_0^2 / t_0 \approx 47 M_{eff} = \frac{1}{2} x_* \)

The wake-interaction length-scale \( x_* \) has been generalised for a wider range of grids and flow conditions by Gomes Fernandes (his talk in this workshop).
$Re_\lambda$ as fct of $x/x_*$
\[ Q_w \equiv \frac{1}{4} \omega^2 \quad \text{and} \quad Q_s \equiv -\frac{1}{2} s_{ij} s_{ij} \]

\[ <Q_w> \quad \text{and} \quad <Q_s> \quad \text{as fcts of} \quad \frac{x}{x_*} \quad \text{along the centerline} \]

\[ Q = Q_w + Q_s \]
\[ R_w \equiv -\frac{1}{4} \omega_i \omega_j s_{ij} \text{ and } R_s \equiv -\frac{1}{3} s_{ij} s_{jk} s_{ki} \]

< \text{R}_w > \text{ and } < \text{R}_s > \text{ as fcts of } x/x_* \text{ along the centerline}

\[ R = R_w + R_s \]
\(< \delta u^2(\tau) > \) and \( Q - R \) at \( x / x_* = 0.052 \)
\( \langle \delta u^2(\tau) \rangle \) and \( Q - R \) at \( x/x_* = 0.105 \)
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q - R$ at $x/x_* = 0.157$
\[ \langle \delta u^2(\tau) \rangle \text{ and } Q - R \text{ at } x/x_* = 0.210 \]
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q - R$ at $x/x_* = 0.262$
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q - R$ at $x/x_\ast = 0.315$
$< \delta u^2(\tau) > \text{ and } Q - R \text{ at } x/x_* = 0.367$
\(< \delta u^2(\tau) > \) and \( Q - R \) at \( x/x_\ast = 0.420 \)
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q - R$ at $x/x_\ast = 0.472$
\[< \delta u^2(\tau) > \text{ and } Q - R \text{ at } x/x_* = 0.525\]
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q - R$ at $x/x_* = 0.577$
\( < \delta u^2(\tau) > \) and \( Q - R \) at \( x/x_* = 0.630 \)
$\langle \delta u^2(\tau) \rangle$ and $Q - R$ at $x/x_* = 0.683$
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q - R$ at $x/x_* = 0.735$
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q - R$ at $x/x_* = 0.788$
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q - R$ at $x/x_* = 0.840$
$\langle \delta u^2(\tau) \rangle \ and \ Q - R \ at \ x/x_\star = 0.893$
\[ < \delta u^2(\tau) > \text{ and } Q - R \text{ at } x/x_* = 0.945 \]
$< \delta u^2(\tau) > \text{ and } Q - R \text{ at } x/x_\ast = 0.998$
\( \langle \delta u^2(\tau) \rangle \) and \( Q - R \) at \( x/x_* = 1.050 \)
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q - R$ at $x/x_* = 1.103$
\[ < \delta u^2(\tau) > \] and \( Q - R \) at \( x/x_\star = 1.155 \)
\[ <\delta u^2(\tau) > \text{ and } Q - R \text{ at } x/x_* = 1.208 \]
$< \delta u^2(\tau) > \text{ and } Q - R \text{ at } x/x_* = 1.261$
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q - R$ at $x/x_\ast = 1.313$
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q - R$ at $x/x_\ast = 1.366$
$<\delta u^2(\tau)>$ and $Q - R$ at $x/x_* = 1.418$
\[ < \delta u^2(\tau) > \text{ and } Q - R \text{ at } x/x_* = 1.471 \]
$< \delta u^2(\tau) > \text{ and } Q - R \text{ at } x/x_* = 1.523$
\( < \delta u^2(\tau) > \) and \( Q - R \) at \( x/x_* = 1.576 \)
\[< \delta u^2(\tau) > \text{ and } Q - R \text{ at } x/x_* = 1.628\]
$\langle \delta u^2(\tau) \rangle$ and $Q - R$ at $x/x_* = 1.681$
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q - R$ at $x/x_* = 1.733$
Next inside wake of big bars

Previous plots were taken along the centreline. Next plots are taken along the line normal to the grid and crossing a biggest bar at the middle.
< \delta u^2(\tau) > \textbf{and} Q - R \textbf{at} x/x_* = 0.052
\(< \delta u^2(\tau) > \) and \( Q - R \) at \( x/x_\ast = 0.105 \)}
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q - R$ at $x/x_\star = 0.157$
\[ \langle \delta u^2(\tau) \rangle \text{ and } Q - R \text{ at } x/x_* = 0.210 \]
\[ <\delta u^2(\tau) > \text{ and } Q - R \text{ at } x/x_\ast = 0.262 \]
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q - R$ at $x/x_* = 0.315$
\[ \langle \delta u^2(\tau) \rangle \text{ and } Q - R \text{ at } \frac{x}{x_*} = 0.367 \]
\[ < \delta u^2(\tau) > \text{ and } Q - R \text{ at } x/x_* = 0.420 \]
\[ \langle \delta u^2(\tau) \rangle \text{ and } Q - R \text{ at } x/x_* = 0.472 \]
$\langle \delta u^2(\tau) \rangle$ and $Q - R$ at $x/x_* = 0.525$
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q - R$ at $x/x_* = 0.577$
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q - R$ at $x/x_* = 0.630$
\[ < \delta u^2(\tau) > \text{ and } Q - R \text{ at } x/x_\ast = 0.683 \]
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q - R$ at $x/x_* = 0.735$
$\langle \delta u^2(\tau) \rangle$ and $Q - R$ at $x/x_* = 0.788$
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q - R$ at $x/x_\ast = 0.840$
\(< \delta u^2(\tau) > \) and \( Q - R \) at \( x/x_* = 0.893 \)
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and \( Q - R \) at \( x/x_* = 0.945 \)
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q - R$ at $x/x_\ast = 0.998$
\[
< \delta u^2(\tau) > \text{ and } Q - R \text{ at } x/x_* = 1.050
\]
$\langle \delta u^2(\tau) \rangle$ and $Q - R$ at $x/x_* = 1.103$
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q - R$ at $x/x_* = 1.155$
\[ < \delta u^2(\tau) > \] and \( Q - R \) at \( x/x_\ast = 1.208 \)
\[ < \delta u^2(\tau) > \text{ and } Q - R \text{ at } x/x_* = 1.261 \]
$\langle \delta u^2(\tau) \rangle \text{ and } Q - R \text{ at } x/x_* = 1.313$
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q - R$ at $x/x_* = 1.366$
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q - R$ at $x/x_* = 1.418$
\[ \langle \delta u^2(\tau) \rangle \text{ and } Q - R \text{ at } x/x_\ast = 1.471 \]
$< \delta u^2(\tau) > \text{ and } Q - R \text{ at } x/x_* = 1.523$
\(< \delta u^2(\tau) \) and \( Q - R \) at \( x/x_* = 1.576 \)
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q - R$ at $x/x_\ast = 1.628$
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q - R$ at $x/x_* = 1.681$
\[ \langle \delta u^2(\tau) \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad Q - R \quad \text{at} \quad x/x_* = 1.733 \]
Back along centerline

But now $Q_s - R_s$ plots along the centreline.
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q_s - R_s$ at $x/x_* = 0.052$
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q_s - R_s$ at $x/x_* = 0.105$
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q_s - R_s$ at $x/x_* = 0.157$
$< \delta u^2(\tau) > \text{ and } Q_s - R_s \text{ at } x/x_* = 0.210$
< \delta u^2(\tau) > \text{ and } Q_s - R_s \text{ at } x/x_\ast = 0.262
$\langle \delta u^2(\tau) \rangle$ and $Q_s - R_s$ at $x/x_\ast = 0.315$
\( \langle \delta u^2(\tau) \rangle \) and \( Q_s - R_s \) at \( x/x_\ast = 0.367 \)
\[ < \delta u^2(\tau) > \text{ and } Q_s - R_s \text{ at } x/x_* = 0.420 \]
\[ < \delta u^2(\tau) > \text{ and } Q_s - R_s \text{ at } x/x_* = 0.472 \]
$\langle \delta u^2(\tau) \rangle$ and $Q_s - R_s$ at $x/x_* = 0.525$
\[ \langle \delta u^2(\tau) \rangle \text{ and } Q_s - R_s \text{ at } x/x_* = 0.577 \]
\[ < \delta u^2(\tau) > \text{ and } Q_s - R_s \text{ at } x/x_\ast = 0.630 \]
$\langle \delta u^2(\tau) \rangle$ and $Q_s - R_s$ at $x/x_* = 0.683$
\[ < \delta u^2(\tau) > \text{ and } Q_s - R_s \text{ at } x/x_* = 0.735 \]
\[ < \delta u^2(\tau) > \text{ and } Q_s - R_s \text{ at } x/x_* = 0.788 \]
$\langle \delta u^2(\tau) \rangle$ and $Q_s - R_s$ at $x/x_\ast = 0.840$
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q_s - R_s$ at $x/x_* = 0.893$
$\langle \delta u^2(\tau) \rangle$ and $Q_s - R_s$ at $x/x_* = 0.945$
\(< \delta u^2(\tau) > \text{ and } Q_s - R_s \text{ at } x/x_* = 0.998\)
\(< \delta u^2(\tau) > \text{ and } Q_s - R_s \text{ at } x/x_\ast = 1.050\)
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q_s - R_s$ at $x/x_* = 1.103$
\[ < \delta u^2(\tau) > \text{ and } Q_s - R_s \text{ at } x/x_* = 1.155 \]
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q_s - R_s$ at $x/x_* = 1.208$
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q_s - R_s$ at $x/x_\star = 1.261$
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q_s - R_s$ at $x/x_*=1.313$
\[ < \delta u^2(\tau) > \text{ and } Q_s - R_s \text{ at } x/x_* = 1.366 \]
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q_s - R_s$ at $x/x_*=1.418$
\[ < \delta u^2(\tau) > \text{ and } Q_s - R_s \text{ at } x/x_* = 1.471 \]
\[ < \delta u^2(\tau) > \text{ and } Q_s - R_s \text{ at } x/x_* = 1.523 \]
\[
\langle \delta u^2(\tau) \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad Q_s - R_s \quad \text{at} \quad x/x_* = 1.576
\]
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q_s - R_s$ at $x/x_* = 1.628$
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q_s - R_s$ at $x/x_* = 1.681$
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q_s - R_s$ at $x/x_* = 1.733$
Next inside wake of big bars

Previous plots were taken along the centreline. Next plots are taken along the line normal to the grid and crossing a biggest bar at the middle.
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q_s - R_s$ at $x/x_\ast = 0.052$
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q_s - R_s$ at $x/x_* = 0.105$.
$<\delta u^2(\tau)>$ and $Q_s - R_s$ at $x/x_* = 0.157$
\[ < \delta u^2(\tau) > \text{ and } Q_s - R_s \text{ at } x/x_* = 0.210 \]
\( \langle \delta u^2(\tau) \rangle \) and \( Q_s - R_s \) at \( x/x_\ast = 0.262 \)
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q_s - R_s$ at $x/x_* = 0.315$
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q_s - R_s$ at $x/x_*=0.367$
$<\delta u^2(\tau)>$ and $Q_s - R_s$ at $x/x_\ast = 0.420$
\( < \delta u^2(\tau) > \) and \( Q_s - R_s \) at \( x/x_* = 0.472 \)
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q_s - R_s$ at $x/x_* = 0.525$
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q_s - R_s$ at $x/x_\ast = 0.577$
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q_s - R_s$ at $x/x_* = 0.630$
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q_s - R_s$ at $x/x_* = 0.683$
\[ \langle \delta u^2(\tau) \rangle \text{ and } Q_s - R_s \text{ at } x/x_* = 0.735 \]
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q_s - R_s$ at $x/x_* = 0.788$
$\langle \delta u^2(\tau) \rangle$ and $Q_s - R_s$ at $x/x_* = 0.840$
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q_s - R_s$ at $x/x^* = 0.893$
\[ < \delta u^2(\tau) > \] and \( Q_s - R_s \) at \( x/x_* = 0.945 \)
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q_s - R_s$ at $x/x_\star = 0.998$
\[ < \delta u^2(\tau) > \text{ and } Q_s - R_s \text{ at } x/x_* = 1.050 \]
\( \langle \delta u^2(\tau) \rangle \) and \( Q_s - R_s \) at \( x/x_* = 1.103 \)
\( < \delta u^2(\tau) > \) and \( Q_s - R_s \) at \( x/x_* = 1.155 \)
\[ < \delta u^2(\tau) > \] and \[ Q_s - R_s \] at \[ x/x_* = 1.208 \]
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q_s - R_s$ at $x/x_* = 1.261$
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q_s - R_s$ at $x/x_\ast = 1.313$
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q_s - R_s$ at $x/x_* = 1.366$
\[ < \delta u^2(\tau) > \textbf{and } Q_s - R_s \textbf{ at } x/x_* = 1.418 \]
\( < \delta u^2(\tau) > \) and \( Q_s - R_s \) at \( x/x_* = 1.471 \)
\[ < \delta u^2(\tau) > \] and \[ Q_s - R_s \] at \[ x/x_\star = 1.523 \]
\[ < \delta u^2(\tau) > \text{ and } Q_s - R_s \text{ at } x/x_\ast = 1.576 \]
\( \langle \delta u^2(\tau) \rangle \) and \( Q_s - R_s \) at \( x/x_* = 1.628 \)
$< \delta u^2(\tau) >$ and $Q_s - R_s$ at $x/x_\ast = 1.681$
\(< \delta u^2(\tau) > \) and \( Q_s - R_s \) at \( x/x_* = 1.733 \)
Conclusion of Q-R study

$\langle \delta u^2(\tau) \rangle \sim \tau^{2/3}$ appears in the lee of the less blocked part of the grid and well before the appearance of the usual Q-R tear drop shape.