

Black Holes - Jerome Gauntlett

Notes on differential forms

Let M be an n -dimensional manifold. A p -form is a tensor of type $(0, p)$ that is totally anti-symmetric:

$$A_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p} = A_{[\mu_1 \dots \mu_p]} \quad (1)$$

A 0-form is simply a function and a 1-form is a co-vector. We must have $p \leq n$.

Wedge product: Consider a p -form, A , and a q -form, B . We define the $(p+q)$ form $A \wedge B$ via

$$(A \wedge B)_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p \nu_1 \dots \nu_q} = \frac{(p+q)!}{p!q!} A_{[\mu_1 \dots \mu_p} B_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_q]} \quad (2)$$

We immediately have

$$A \wedge B = (-1)^{pq} B \wedge A \quad (3)$$

and a corollary is $A \wedge A = 0$ if p is odd.

In a given set of coordinates we can consider a basis for one-forms dx^μ . Using the wedge product we can obtain a basis for p -forms and we can write

$$A = \frac{1}{p!} A_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p} dx^{\mu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\mu_p} \quad (4)$$

Exterior derivative: This is a derivative operation that takes a p -form, A , to a $p+1$ form, dA whose components are

$$(dA)_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_{p+1}} = (p+1) \partial_{[\nu_1} A_{\nu_2 \dots \nu_{p+1}]} \quad (5)$$

The factor $(p+1)$ corresponds to the fact that we can think of d as the operation $dx^\rho \wedge \partial_\rho$ in the sense:

$$\begin{aligned} dA &= \frac{1}{p!} \partial_\rho A_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p} dx^\rho \wedge dx^{\mu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\mu_p} \\ &= \frac{1}{p!} \partial_{[\rho} A_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p]} dx^\rho \wedge dx^{\mu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\mu_p} \\ &= \frac{1}{(p+1)!} ((p+1) \partial_{[\nu_1} A_{\nu_2 \dots \nu_{p+1}]}) dx^{\nu_1} \wedge dx^{\nu_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\nu_{p+1}} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

If A is a p -form and B is a q -form we have the Leibniz rule:

$$d(A \wedge B) = (dA) \wedge B + (-1)^p A \wedge (dB) \quad (7)$$

We also have the important property that

$$d^2 = 0 \quad (8)$$

We say a form A is “closed” if $dA = 0$. We say a form is “exact” if $A = dB$. Clearly an exact form is closed from (8), but the converse is NOT true in general (and leads to study of cohomology).

We have not yet assumed that we have a metric defined on M . Let us now do so, with components $g_{\mu\nu}$. This gives a unique Levi-Civita covariant derivative ∇ . It is useful to note that we can write

$$(dA)_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_{p+1}} = (p+1) \nabla_{[\nu_1} A_{\nu_2 \dots \nu_{p+1}]} \quad (9)$$

Volume n -form: The metric allows us to define a volume n -form, ϵ , via

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &= \sqrt{|g|} dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \sqrt{|g|} \epsilon(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) dx^{\mu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\mu_n}, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

and thus

$$\epsilon_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} = \sqrt{|g|} \epsilon(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n), \quad (11)$$

where $\epsilon(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ is the object (not the components of a tensor!) which equals $+1$ if (μ_1, \dots, μ_n) is an even permutation of $(1, 2, \dots, n)$, equals -1 if (μ_1, \dots, μ_n) is an odd permutation of $(1, 2, \dots, n)$ and equals zero if any index is repeated. Note that this definition is coordinate independent because the transformation of $\sqrt{|g|}$ and $dx^{\mu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\mu_n}$ compensate each other.

We can raise indices using the metric to get

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} &= g^{\mu_1 \nu_1} \dots g^{\mu_n \nu_n} \epsilon_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_n} \\ &= g^{\mu_1 \nu_1} \dots g^{\mu_n \nu_n} \sqrt{|g|} \epsilon(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) \\ &= \det(g)^{-1} \epsilon(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \sqrt{|g|} \\ &= \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \epsilon(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where the upper plus sign arises when we have Riemannian geometry and the lower minus sign arises when we have Lorentzian geometry. A useful fact is that

$$\epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p \nu_{p+1} \dots \nu_n} \epsilon_{\rho_1 \dots \rho_p \nu_{p+1} \dots \nu_n} = \pm p!(n-p) \delta_{\rho_1 \dots \rho_p}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p} \quad (13)$$

where $\delta_{\rho_1 \dots \rho_p}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p} \equiv \delta_{[\rho_1}^{\mu_1} \dots \delta_{\rho_p]}^{\mu_p}$. We also have $\nabla_\rho \epsilon_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} = 0$.

Hodge dual: With a metric and hence a volume form, given a p -form A , we can define an $(n-p)$ -form $*A$, the Hodge dual, via¹

$$*A_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{n-p}} = \frac{1}{p!} \epsilon_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{n-p} \nu_1 \dots \nu_p} A^{\nu_1 \dots \nu_p} \quad (14)$$

One can show that

$$*(A) = \pm (-1)^{p(n-p)} A \quad (15)$$

and also

$$(*d * A)_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p-1}} = \pm (-1)^{p(n-p)} \nabla^\nu A_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p-1} \nu} \quad (16)$$

¹Some other people define $A_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{n-p}} = \frac{1}{p!} \epsilon_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_p \mu_1 \dots \mu_{n-p}} A^{\nu_1 \dots \nu_p}$ which leads to some different signs in places.

If we denote $\mathbb{1}$ as the trivial 0-form (function) which is just 1 everywhere we have $*\mathbb{1} = \epsilon$.

Integration: We first define the integral of an n -form A over an n -dimensional manifold M . We can write $A = \frac{1}{n!} A_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} dx^{\mu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\mu_n} = A_{1 \dots n} dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n$ and we define

$$\int_M A \equiv \int dx^1 \dots dx^n A_{1 \dots n} = \int d^n x A_{1 \dots n} \quad (17)$$

where the right hand side is usual integration. One can show that this is a coordinate independent definition. Note that this definition did not require a metric.

Suppose now we have a metric and hence a volume form ϵ . We can then define the volume of M to be $Vol(M) = \int_M \epsilon$ (which might be infinite). We can also use ϵ to define the integral of a function f on M :

$$\int_M f \equiv \int_M f \epsilon = \int d^n x \sqrt{|g|} f \quad (18)$$

and the latter expression should be familiar.

Stokes Theorem: Let M be an oriented n -dimensional manifold with boundary ∂M then for an $(n-1)$ -dimensional form A we have

$$\int_M dA = \int_{\partial M} A \quad (19)$$

Notice that this theorem does not require a metric.

Gauss Law or Divergence Theorem: Let M be an n -dimensional manifold with boundary ∂M , metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ and volume form ϵ . Let V be a one-form, then $A = *V$ is an $(n-1)$ -form and Stokes Theorem says

$$\int_M dA = \int_{\partial M} A = \int_{\partial M} *V \quad (20)$$

We now want to reexpress the left and right hand sides. From (16) we have

$$\begin{aligned} *d*V &= \pm(-1)^{n-1}(\nabla^\mu V_\mu)\mathbb{1} \\ \Rightarrow \pm d*V &= \pm(-1)^{n-1}(\nabla^\mu V_\mu)*(\mathbb{1}) \\ \Rightarrow d*V &= (-1)^{n-1}(\nabla^\mu V_\mu)\epsilon \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where $\mathbb{1}$ is the trivial 0-form (function) which is 1 everywhere and as noted above $*\mathbb{1} = \epsilon$. The left hand side of (20) is thus

$$\int_M dA = (-1)^{n-1} \int_M d^n x \sqrt{|g|} \nabla_\mu V^\mu \quad (22)$$

We now consider the right hand side of (20). We first assume that ∂M is specified by an outward pointing normal vector n^μ , with $n^2 = n^\mu n^\nu g_{\mu\nu} = \mp 1$ depending on whether the normal is time-like or spacelike. The induced metric on ∂M is then given by $h_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} \pm n_\mu n_\nu$ (note that $h_{\mu\nu} n^\nu = 0$). This induced metric h can be used to define a volume $(n-1)$ -form, $\bar{\epsilon}$ on ∂M . We then calculate

$$*V = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \epsilon_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{n-1}}{}^\nu V_\nu dx^{\mu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\mu_{n-1}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (-1)^{n-1} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \epsilon^{\nu \mu_1 \dots \mu_{n-1}} V_\nu dx^{\mu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\mu_{n-1}} \\
&= (-1)^{n-1} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} (n^\nu V_\nu) \bar{\epsilon}_{a_1 \dots a_{n-1}} dx^{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{a_{n-1}} \\
&= (-1)^{n-1} (n^\nu V_\nu) \bar{\epsilon}
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where x^a are coordinates on ∂M . To get from the second to the third line is a bit fiddly: one can use coordinates so that the boundary is defined by $x^1 = 0$, the normal n as a one-form (i.e $n_\mu = g_{\mu\nu} n^\nu$) is proportional to dx^1 and the coordinates on ∂M are $x^a = (x^2, \dots, x^n)$. We can now use this in the right hand side of (20) and combining with (22) we finally have the result

$$\int_M d^n x \sqrt{|g|} \nabla_\mu V^\mu = \int_{\partial M} d^{n-1} x \sqrt{|h|} n^\mu V_\mu \tag{24}$$

which you have been using in some form in your studies for a while.