

# Solutions - PS2

Questions on the solutions can be sent to [rjl14@ic.ac.uk](mailto:rjl14@ic.ac.uk).

## 1

**1 a):**  $\Phi$  is Hermitian, so it admits 3 orthonormal eigenvectors  $v_1, v_2$  and  $v_3$ , with real eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  and  $\lambda_3$ .  $\Phi$  is also traceless, so the eigenvalues must sum to zero:

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 0. \quad (1.1)$$

By constructing the  $3 \times 3$  unitary matrix  $U = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in \text{SU}(3)$  (the unitarity comes from the orthonormality of the eigenvectors), where we treat  $v_i$  as column vectors, and the diagonal matrix  $D = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ , we have

$$\Phi U = (\lambda_1 v_1, \lambda_2 v_2, \lambda_3 v_3) = U D \implies \Phi = U D U^\dagger. \quad (1.2)$$

The process  $\Phi \mapsto D = U^\dagger \Phi U$  is called diagonalisation, and it is clear by construction that the eigenvalues do not change. In accordance with the notation in the problem sheet, we identify  $S = U^\dagger \in \text{SU}(3)$ .

**1 b):** The potential is given by

$$V(\Phi) = \frac{1}{4} (\text{tr}(\Phi^2) - k^2)^2. \quad (1.3)$$

This is a continuous function in  $\Phi$  that is semi-positive definite, so its minimum is given by  $V(\bar{\Phi}) = 0$ . As such, the vacuum is determined by the relation

$$\text{tr}(\bar{\Phi}^2) = k^2. \quad (1.4)$$

From 1 a), since  $\Phi = U D U^\dagger$ , we have  $\Phi^2 = U D^2 U^\dagger$ , so (1.4) becomes

$$\text{tr}(D^2) = k^2 \implies \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2 = k^2. \quad (1.5)$$

Combining this with the traceless relation in (1.1), we find that there is only one free parameter (say,  $\lambda_1$ ) that determines the vacuum. The vacuum orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda_1}$ , determined by  $\lambda_1$ , is defined as

$$\mathcal{O}_{\lambda_1} := \{S \bar{\Phi} S^\dagger : S \in \text{SU}(3)\}, \quad (1.6)$$

where it is clear that any  $L \in \mathcal{O}_{\lambda_1}$  obeys  $\text{tr } L = 0$  and  $\text{tr}(L^2) = k^2$ .

**1 c):** In order to find the little group, we need to find the unbroken generators. The transformation of the vacuum  $\bar{\Phi}$  is given by

$$\bar{\Phi} \mapsto \bar{\Phi}' = S\bar{\Phi}S^\dagger, \quad S \in \text{SU}(3). \quad (1.7)$$

Writing  $S = \mathbb{1}_3 + i\theta^a T^a$  and  $\bar{\Phi} = \bar{\Phi}^a T^a$  (as  $\Phi$  lives in the Lie algebra), where  $a \in \{1, \dots, 8\}$ , we have

$$\bar{\Phi}' = \bar{\Phi} + i\theta^a \bar{\Phi}^b [T^a, T^b] + \mathcal{O}(\theta^2). \quad (1.8)$$

The unbroken generators are then given by the solutions to

$$\theta^a \bar{\Phi}^b [T^a, T^b] = 0. \quad (1.9)$$

Another way of saying this is that we are trying to find generators in  $\text{SU}(3)$  that commutes with each other. For generic  $\bar{\Phi}$  values, the answer to this problem is simply the Cartan sub-algebra of  $\mathfrak{su}(3)$ . Using the Gell-Mann basis, the Cartan sub-algebra is a 2-dimensional algebra generated by

$$T^3 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T^8 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.10)$$

The exponentiation of this algebra gives the little group, and it is simply  $H = \text{U}(1) \times \text{U}(1)$ , with  $T^3$  and  $T^8$  generating each of the  $\text{U}(1)$  factors. A generic matrix  $\mathcal{H} \in H$  is given by

$$\mathcal{H} = \exp(i\alpha T^3 + i\beta T^8) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{i}{2}(\alpha + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\beta)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\frac{i}{2}(-\alpha + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\beta)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{\frac{i}{\sqrt{3}}\beta} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.11)$$

There are 2 unbroken generators out of a total of 8, so Goldstone's theorem states that there are 6 massless modes and 2 massive Higgs modes.

**1 d):** The mass-squared matrix is given by

$$(M^2)_{jl}^{ik} = \left. \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \Phi_j^i \partial \Phi_l^k} \right|_{\Phi = \bar{\Phi}}. \quad (1.12)$$

Explicitly,

$$\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \Phi_j^i \partial \Phi_l^k} = 2\Phi_j^i \Phi_l^k + (\text{tr}(\Phi^2) - k^2) \delta_l^i \delta_j^k, \quad (1.13)$$

where we note that  $\text{tr}(\Phi^2) = \Phi_m^n \Phi_n^m$ . Evaluating this at  $\bar{\Phi}$ , we notice that the second term on the right drops out due to (1.5), so

$$(M^2)_{jl}^{ik} = 2\bar{\Phi}_j^i \bar{\Phi}_l^k. \quad (1.14)$$

For any diagonal  $\bar{\Phi}$  with  $\bar{\Phi}_i^j = \lambda_i \delta_i^j$ , where the underline indicates no summing over  $i$ , we have

$$(M^2)_{jl}^{ik} = 2\lambda_i \lambda_k \delta_j^i \delta_l^k. \quad (1.15)$$

Perturbing about this vacuum with

$$\Phi(x) = \bar{\Phi} + \phi(x), \quad (1.16)$$

we find that

$$V(\Phi) = \frac{1}{2}(M^2)_{jl}^{ik} \phi_i^j \phi_k^l = \lambda_i \lambda_k \delta_j^i \delta_l^k \phi_i^j \phi_k^l = (\lambda_1 \phi_1^1 + \lambda_2 \phi_2^2 + \lambda_3 \phi_3^3)^2, \quad (1.17)$$

where the first two terms,  $V(\bar{\Phi})$  and  $\partial V / \partial \Phi_i^j |_{\Phi=\bar{\Phi}}$  vanish due to the properties of the vacuum. For the vacuum specified in the question,  $\lambda_2 = 0$  and  $\lambda_1 = -\lambda_3 = \lambda$ , so

$$V(\Phi) = \lambda^2 (\phi_1^1 - \phi_3^3)^2. \quad (1.18)$$

This means that the field  $H = \phi_1^1 - \phi_3^3$  has a mass-squared  $m^2 = 2\lambda^2$ , but the second Higgs mode has an accidentally vanishing mass. Recalling that

$$\phi = \phi^a T^a, \quad (1.19)$$

where  $a \in \{1, \dots, 8\}$ , we find that

$$\phi_1^1 - \phi_3^3 = \phi^3 + \sqrt{3} \phi^8, \quad (1.20)$$

where the LHS concerns the matrix components of  $\phi$  and the RHS concerns the Lie algebra basis components of  $\phi$ . This suggests that the appropriate basis to expand  $\phi$  is in terms of the broken generators  $\{T^1, T^2, T^4, T^5, T^6, T^7\}$  and

$$T = \frac{1}{2}(T^3 + \sqrt{3}T^8), \quad \tilde{T} = \frac{1}{2}(T^8 - \sqrt{3}T^3), \quad (1.21)$$

with

$$\phi = \phi_{U1} T + \phi_{U2} \tilde{T} + \phi^a T^a, \quad a \in \{1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7\}. \quad (1.22)$$

Our analysis then shows that for the 2 Higgs modes  $\phi_{U1}$  and  $\phi_{U2}$ , only  $\phi_{U1}$  has a non-zero mass ( $m_{U1}^2 = 2\lambda^2$ ), where  $\phi_{U2}$  has an accidentally vanishing mass.

**1 e):** The group  $U(2) \simeq SU(2) \times U(1)$  has 4 generators, so we are looking for a vacuum  $\bar{\Phi}$  that admits 4 unbroken generators. Working again in the Gell-Mann basis for the  $SU(3)$  generators, we note that the generators  $T^1, T^2$  and  $T^3$  with

$$T^1 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T^2 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.23)$$

and  $T^3$  given previously form an  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  sub-algebra. Furthermore, from 1 c), we can see that  $T^8$  commutes with  $T^1$ ,  $T^2$  and  $T^3$ . This means that if we choose our vacuum to be

$$\bar{\Phi} = \lambda T^8 = \frac{\lambda}{2\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1.24)$$

then the unbroken generators determined by the relation in (1.9) are  $\{T^1, T^2, T^3, T^8\}$ . The fact that  $T^8$  commutes with  $\{T^1, T^2, T^3\}$  means that the algebra generated by  $\{T^1, T^2, T^3, T^8\}$  is the direct product of the algebras generated by  $\{T^1, T^2, T^3\}$  and  $T^8$  respectively, which is just  $\mathfrak{su}(2) \times \mathfrak{u}(1)$ . Exponentiating and recalling that the resulting group must be a subgroup of  $SU(3)$ , we find that the little group is  $SU(2) \times U(1)$ . By Goldstone's theorem, there will be 4 massless modes and 4 massive Higgs modes. The Goldstone modes will correspond to the generators  $\{T^4, T^5, T^6, T^7\}$ .

**1 f):** Since our vacuum in (1.24) is diagonal, we can again use our previous results to compute the Higgs mass spectrum, with

$$V(\Phi) = (\lambda_1 \phi_1^1 + \lambda_2 \phi_2^2 + \lambda_3 \phi_3^3)^2 = \frac{\lambda^2}{12} (\phi_1^1 + \phi_2^2 - 2\phi_3^3)^2. \quad (1.25)$$

Repeating the analysis in 1 d), we find that by expanding

$$\phi = \phi^a T^a, \quad (1.26)$$

where  $a \in \{1, \dots, 8\}$ , we obtain

$$\phi_1^1 + \phi_2^2 - 2\phi_3^3 = 2\sqrt{3}\phi^8. \quad (1.27)$$

As such, (1.25) shows that only  $\phi^8$  is massive with  $m^2 = 2\lambda^2$ , whereas the other Higgs modes,  $\phi^1, \phi^2$  and  $\phi^3$  corresponding to the residual  $SU(2)$  generated by  $\{T^1, T^2, T^3\}$  are accidentally massless.

In the gauged version of this model, a generic vacuum preserves a  $U(1) \times U(1)$  symmetry, meaning that there will be  $8 - 2 = 6$  massive vectors. For the specific vacuum considered here that preserves a  $U(2)$  symmetry, there will be  $8 - 4 = 4$  massive vectors. This means that 2 vectors will become massless when one approaches this orbit from a neighbouring, generic orbit.

## 2

**2 a):** The mass term for the Majorana spinors  $N$  and  $n$  can be written as

$$\mathcal{L} = -i(\bar{n}, \bar{N}) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{vp}{2\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{vp}{2\sqrt{2}} & M \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} n \\ N \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.1)$$

To be more succinct, let's define

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} n \\ N \end{pmatrix}, \quad m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{vp}{2\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{vp}{2\sqrt{2}} & M \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.2)$$

such that

$$\mathcal{L} = -i\bar{\psi}m\psi. \quad (2.3)$$

From this, it is clear that  $m$  is the mass matrix. The masses of the mass eigenstates are then given by the eigenvalues of  $m$ , which are obtained by the characteristic equation

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & \frac{vp}{2\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{vp}{2\sqrt{2}} & M - \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \lambda(\lambda - M) - \frac{v^2 p^2}{8} = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

This has the solutions

$$\lambda = m_{\pm} = \frac{M}{2} \left( 1 \pm \sqrt{1 + \frac{v^2 p^2}{2M^2}} \right). \quad (2.5)$$

To obtain the mass eigenstates  $n_+$  and  $n_-$ , note that  $m$  is a real, symmetric matrix, so there exists a matrix  $U \in \text{SO}(2)$  such that

$$m = UDU^T, \quad (2.6)$$

where  $D = \text{diag}(m_+, m_-)$ . It is a standard result that  $U$  is the matrix of orthonormal eigenvectors of  $m$ . Explicitly,

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\alpha_+}{\sqrt{1+\alpha_+^2}} & -\frac{\alpha_-}{\sqrt{1+\alpha_-^2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\alpha_+^2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\alpha_-^2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha_{\pm} = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{vp} m_{\pm}. \quad (2.7)$$

Using the diagonalisation of  $m$ , the mass Lagrangian becomes

$$\mathcal{L} = -i\bar{\psi}UDU^T\psi = -i\bar{\chi}D\chi, \quad \chi = U^T\psi, \quad (2.8)$$

where in the last step we used the fact that  $U$  is real. Since  $D$  is the diagonal matrix of mass eigenvalues, we see that the mass eigenstates  $n_{\pm}$  are identified as

$$\chi = U^T\psi = \begin{pmatrix} n_+ \\ n_- \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.9)$$

Using (2.7), we have

$$n_{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha_{\pm}^2}} (N - \alpha_{\pm} n). \quad (2.10)$$

**2 b):** When  $M \gg 1$ ,

$$m_- = \frac{M}{2} \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{v^2 p^2}{2M^2}} \right) = -\frac{v^2 p^2}{8M} + \mathcal{O}(M^{-3}). \quad (2.11)$$

To show that the negative sign in  $m_-$  is not physical meaningful, consider

$$\nu = i\gamma_5 n_-. \quad (2.12)$$

We will show: 1.  $\nu$  is Majorana; 2. the mass term for  $\nu$  has the correct sign (i.e.  $-i|m_-|\bar{\nu}\nu$ ); 3. the kinetic term for  $\nu$  also has the correct sign (i.e.  $-i\bar{\nu}\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\nu$ ).

1. The charge conjugate is given by  $\psi_C = C(\bar{\psi})^T$ , where  $C$  is the charge conjugation matrix. Then,

$$\nu_C = C(\bar{\nu})^T = C(-in_-^\dagger\gamma_5\gamma^0)^T = C(i\bar{n}_-\gamma_5)^T = i\gamma_5(\bar{n}_-)^T = i\gamma_5 n_- = \nu, \quad (2.13)$$

where we used the following properties:  $\gamma_5$  is Hermitian,  $\{\gamma_5, \gamma^\mu\} = 0$ ,  $C\gamma_5^T = \gamma_5 C$  (c.f. question 5), and  $n_-$  is Majorana.

2. Starting with the mass term for  $n_-$  which has the wrong sign, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = +i|m_-|\bar{n}_-n_- = i|m_-|\overline{(-i\gamma_5\nu)}(-i\gamma_5\nu) = i|m_-|(i\nu^\dagger\gamma_5\gamma^0)(-i\gamma_5\nu) = -i|m_-|\bar{\nu}\nu, \quad (2.14)$$

where we used the following properties:  $\gamma_5$  is Hermitian,  $\{\gamma_5, \gamma^\mu\} = 0$ , and  $\gamma_5^2 = \mathbb{1}$ .

3. Using all of the properties we used in 1. and 2., the kinetic term for  $n_-$  is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}} = -i\bar{n}_-\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu n_- = -i(-i\bar{\nu}\gamma_5)\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu(-i\gamma_5\nu) = i\bar{\nu}\gamma_5\gamma^\mu\gamma_5\partial_\mu\nu = -i\bar{\nu}\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\nu. \quad (2.15)$$

### 3

Let  $S$  be a symmetric, complex  $n \times n$  matrix;  $S^T = S$ . Consider  $M = SS^\dagger$ .  $M$  is Hermitian, as  $M^\dagger = (SS^\dagger)^\dagger = SS^\dagger = M$ . From Problem Sheet 1 Question 5, we know that  $M$  has an orthonormal set of eigenvectors  $\{e_i\}$ , with positive (semi)-definite eigenvalues  $h_i$ , and can be diagonalised as

$$M = UDU^\dagger, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $U = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$  is the unitary matrix of the eigenvectors, and  $D = \text{diag}(h_1, \dots, h_n)$  is the diagonal matrix of eigenvalues. Then, let  $T = U^\dagger S(U^\dagger)^T$ . Since  $S$  is symmetric,  $T$  is also symmetric. Now,

$$TT^\dagger = U^\dagger S(U^\dagger)^T U^T S^\dagger U = U^\dagger S(UU^\dagger)^T S^\dagger U = U^\dagger M U = D. \quad (3.2)$$

Using the fact that  $T$  is symmetric and that  $D$  is real, we see that

$$DT = TT^\dagger T = TT^* T^T = TD^* = TD \implies [D, T] = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

In component form,

$$0 = [D, T]_{ij} = \sum_k (h_{\underline{i}} \delta_{ik} T_{kj} - T_{ik} h_{\underline{k}} \delta_{kj}) = T_{ij} (h_{\underline{i}} - h_{\underline{j}}), \quad (3.4)$$

where the underline in the indices means that they are not summed over. Therefore,  $T$  must be diagonal provided that the eigenvalues are distinct. Consequently, we can write  $T = \text{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ , and so

$$D = TT^\dagger \implies h_i = |t_i|^2. \quad (3.5)$$

By choosing  $t_i$  to all be real, we have  $t_i = \sqrt{h_i}$ . Finally, recalling that  $T = U^\dagger S (U^\dagger)^T$ , we have  $S = UTU^T$ .

## 4

The mass eigenstates  $|i\rangle$  obey  $H|i\rangle = E_i|i\rangle \simeq (E + m_i^2/2E)|i\rangle$ , therefore, the time evolution of the flavour eigenstate at time  $T \simeq L$  is

$$|\nu_\alpha(T)\rangle = e^{-iHT} |\nu_\alpha\rangle = \sum_i U_{\alpha i}^* e^{-iHT} |i\rangle = e^{-iEL} \sum_i U_{\alpha i}^* e^{-im_i^2 L/2E} |i\rangle. \quad (4.1)$$

Note that there is an overall phase  $e^{-iEL}$  out in the front. Using the orthonormality of the mass eigenstates,  $\langle j|i\rangle = \delta_{ij}$ , we find that

$$\langle \nu_\beta | \nu_\alpha(T) \rangle = e^{-iEL} \sum_{ij} U_{\alpha i}^* U_{\beta j} e^{-im_i^2 L/2E} \langle j|i\rangle = \delta_{ij} = e^{-iEL} \sum_i U_{\alpha i}^* U_{\beta i} e^{-im_i^2 L/2E}. \quad (4.2)$$

The transition probability is then

$$P_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta} = |\langle \nu_\beta | \nu_\alpha(T) \rangle|^2 = \left| \sum_i U_{\alpha i}^* U_{\beta i} e^{-im_i^2 L/2E} \right|^2. \quad (4.3)$$

Let's now expand the absolute value and rewrite the transition probability as

$$P_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta} = \sum_{ij} U_{\alpha i}^* U_{\beta i} U_{\alpha j} U_{\beta j}^* e^{-i\theta_{ij}}, \quad (4.4)$$

where  $\theta_{ij} = \Delta m_{ij}^2 L/2E$ . We can rewrite this as

$$P_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta} = \sum_{ij} U_{\alpha i}^* U_{\beta i} U_{\alpha j} U_{\beta j}^* + \sum_{ij} U_{\alpha i}^* U_{\beta i} U_{\alpha j} U_{\beta j}^* (e^{-i\theta_{ij}} - 1). \quad (4.5)$$

Using the fact that the PMNS matrix is unitary, the first term is

$$\sum_{ij} U_{\alpha i}^* U_{\beta i} U_{\alpha j} U_{\beta j}^* = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (4.6)$$

For the second term, we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& U_{\alpha i}^* U_{\beta i} U_{\alpha j} U_{\beta j}^* (e^{-i\theta_{ij}} - 1) \\
&= (\operatorname{Re}(U_{\alpha i}^* U_{\beta i} U_{\alpha j} U_{\beta j}^*) + i \operatorname{Im}(U_{\alpha i}^* U_{\beta i} U_{\alpha j} U_{\beta j}^*)) (\cos \theta_{ij} - 1 - i \sin \theta_{ij}) \\
&= \operatorname{Re}(U_{\alpha i}^* U_{\beta i} U_{\alpha j} U_{\beta j}^*) (\cos \theta_{ij} - 1) + \operatorname{Im}(U_{\alpha i}^* U_{\beta i} U_{\alpha j} U_{\beta j}^*) \sin \theta_{ij} + \text{imaginary} \\
&= -2 \operatorname{Re}(U_{\alpha i}^* U_{\beta i} U_{\alpha j} U_{\beta j}^*) \sin^2 \frac{\theta_{ij}}{2} + \operatorname{Im}(U_{\alpha i}^* U_{\beta i} U_{\alpha j} U_{\beta j}^*) \sin \theta_{ij} + \text{imaginary}. \tag{4.7}
\end{aligned}$$

The transition probability is a real number, so the imaginary contributions in the equation above must evaluate to zero. Collecting the results, we have

$$P_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} - 2 \sum_{i \neq j} \operatorname{Re}(U_{\alpha i}^* U_{\beta i} U_{\alpha j} U_{\beta j}^*) \sin^2 \frac{\theta_{ij}}{2} + \sum_{i \neq j} \operatorname{Im}(U_{\alpha i}^* U_{\beta i} U_{\alpha j} U_{\beta j}^*) \sin \theta_{ij}, \tag{4.8}$$

where we note that the summation is over all  $i \neq j$  as  $\theta_{ii} = 0$ . We can change the summation to all  $i > j$  by simply multiplying a factor of 2 to each summation to account for the double counting. This gives

$$P_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} - 4 \sum_{i > j} \operatorname{Re}(U_{\alpha i}^* U_{\beta i} U_{\alpha j} U_{\beta j}^*) \sin^2 \frac{\theta_{ij}}{2} + 2 \sum_{i > j} \operatorname{Im}(U_{\alpha i}^* U_{\beta i} U_{\alpha j} U_{\beta j}^*) \sin \theta_{ij}. \tag{4.9}$$

The CP asymmetry is given by

$$A_{CP}^{\alpha\beta} = 4 \sum_{i > j} \operatorname{Im}(U_{\alpha i}^* U_{\beta i} U_{\alpha j} U_{\beta j}^*) \sin \theta_{ij}. \tag{4.10}$$

For 3 generations,

$$\operatorname{Im}(U_{\alpha i}^* U_{\beta i} U_{\alpha j} U_{\beta j}^*) = -J \sum_{\gamma, k} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \epsilon_{ijk}. \tag{4.11}$$

Therefore,

$$A_{CP}^{\alpha\beta} = -4J \sum_{i > j} \sum_{\gamma, k} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \epsilon_{ijk} \sin \theta_{ij} = -4J \sum_{\gamma} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} (\sin \theta_{12} + \sin \theta_{23} + \sin \theta_{31}). \tag{4.12}$$

Let  $A = \theta_{12}$ ,  $B = \theta_{23}$  and  $C = \theta_{31}$ . It is clear that  $A + B + C = 0$ , so

$$\begin{aligned}
\sin B + \sin C &= 2 \sin \frac{B}{2} \cos \frac{B}{2} + 2 \sin \frac{C}{2} \cos \frac{C}{2} \\
&= 2 \sin \frac{B}{2} \cos \frac{A+C}{2} + 2 \sin \frac{C}{2} \cos \frac{A+B}{2} \\
&= 2 \sin \frac{B}{2} \left( \cos \frac{A}{2} \cos \frac{C}{2} - \sin \frac{A}{2} \sin \frac{C}{2} \right) + 2 \sin \frac{C}{2} \left( \cos \frac{A}{2} \cos \frac{B}{2} - \sin \frac{A}{2} \sin \frac{B}{2} \right) \\
&= 2 \cos \frac{A}{2} \sin \frac{B+C}{2} - 4 \sin \frac{A}{2} \sin \frac{B}{2} \sin \frac{C}{2} \\
&= -\sin A - 4 \sin \frac{A}{2} \sin \frac{B}{2} \sin \frac{C}{2}. \tag{4.13}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$A_{CP}^{\alpha\beta} = 16J \sum_{\gamma} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \sin \frac{A}{2} \sin \frac{B}{2} \sin \frac{C}{2} = 16J \sum_{\gamma} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \sin \frac{\theta_{21}}{2} \sin \frac{\theta_{32}}{2} \sin \frac{\theta_{31}}{2}, \tag{4.14}$$



where we used  $\sin x \sin y = \sin(-x) \sin(-y)$  in the last line.

## 5

### Setup:

In the following, we will be using the Weyl representation:

$$\gamma_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\sigma_\mu \\ i\tilde{\sigma}_\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_\mu = (\mathbb{1}_2, \sigma_i), \quad \tilde{\sigma}_\mu = (\mathbb{1}_2, -\sigma_i), \quad (5.1)$$

where the  $\sigma_i$  are the Hermitian Pauli matrices

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.2)$$

The charge conjugation and  $\gamma_5$  matrices are Hermitian matrices defined as

$$C = -\gamma^0 \gamma^2, \quad \gamma_5 = i\gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3. \quad (5.3)$$

The metric signature is  $(-, +, +, +)$ , so  $\gamma^0 = -\gamma_0$  and  $\gamma^i = \gamma_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . From the Clifford algebra,

$$\{\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu\} = 2\eta_{\mu\nu} \mathbb{1}_4, \quad (5.4)$$

we deduce that  $(\gamma^0)^2 = -\mathbb{1}_4$ ,  $(\gamma^i)^2 = \mathbb{1}_4$ , and  $\{\gamma_5, \gamma_\mu\} = 0$ .

Spinors  $\psi$  and  $\chi$  are Grassmann odd quantities, so every time we exchange a pair of spinors, we have to add in a minus sign. For example,  $\psi^T \chi = -\chi^T \psi$ .

### Computations 1:

- Straightforward computation gives  $\gamma_0^\dagger = -\gamma_0$  and  $\gamma_i^\dagger = \gamma_i$ . We can write this compactly as

$$\gamma_\mu^\dagger = \gamma^0 \gamma_\mu \gamma^0.$$

- $C^\dagger = -(\gamma^0 \gamma^2)^\dagger = -(\gamma^2)^\dagger (\gamma^0)^\dagger = \gamma^2 \gamma^0 = -\gamma^0 \gamma^2 = C$ .
- $C^2 = \gamma^0 \gamma^2 \gamma^0 \gamma^2 = -\gamma^2 (\gamma^0)^2 \gamma^2 = \mathbb{1}_4$ .
- Since  $\gamma_2$  is real,  $\gamma_2^T = (\gamma_2^*)^\dagger = \gamma_2^\dagger = \gamma_2$ . The other gamma matrices  $\gamma_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha = 0, 1, 3$ , are purely imaginary, so  $\gamma_\alpha^T = (\gamma_\alpha^*)^\dagger = -\gamma_\alpha^\dagger = -\gamma^0 \gamma_\alpha \gamma^0$ . This means that  $\gamma_2^T = \gamma_2$ ,  $\gamma_0^T = \gamma_0$ ,  $\gamma_1^T = -\gamma_1$ , and  $\gamma_3^T = -\gamma_3$ . We can write this compactly as

$$\gamma_\mu^T = \gamma^2 \gamma^0 \gamma_\mu \gamma^0 \gamma^2 = -C \gamma_\mu C \implies C \gamma_\mu^T C = -\gamma_\mu.$$

- $C^T = -(\gamma^0\gamma^2)^T = -(\gamma^2)^T(\gamma^0)^T = -\gamma^2\gamma^0 = \gamma^0\gamma^2 = -C$ .
- $C\gamma_5^T = iC(\gamma^3)^T(\gamma^2)^T(\gamma^1)^T(\gamma^0)^T = iC(C\gamma^3C)(C\gamma^2C)(C\gamma^1C)(C\gamma^0C) = i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3C = \gamma_5C$ .
- $\psi_C = C(\bar{\psi})^T = C(\psi^\dagger\gamma^0)^T = C(\gamma^0)^T\psi^* = -C(C\gamma^0C)\psi^* = -\gamma^0C\psi^*$ .
- $\overline{\gamma^\mu\psi} = (\gamma^\mu\psi)^\dagger\gamma^0 = \psi^\dagger(\gamma^\mu)^\dagger\gamma^0 = \psi^\dagger(\gamma^0\gamma^\mu\gamma^0)\gamma^0 = -\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu$ .
- $\overline{\gamma_5\psi} = \psi^\dagger\gamma_5^\dagger\gamma^0 = \psi^\dagger\gamma_5\gamma^0 = -\bar{\psi}\gamma_5$ .

### Computations 2:

- $\bar{\chi}_C = -\overline{\gamma^0C\psi^*} = -(\gamma^0C\psi^*)^\dagger\gamma^0 = -\psi^TC^\dagger(\gamma^0)^\dagger\gamma^0 = -\psi^TC$ .
- $\bar{\chi}_C\psi_C = \chi^TC\gamma^0C\psi^* = -\chi^T(\gamma^0)^T\psi^* = +(\psi^*)^T\gamma^0\chi = \bar{\psi}\chi$ .
- $\bar{\chi}_C\gamma_5\psi_C = \chi^TC\gamma_5\gamma^0C\psi^* = \chi^T(C\gamma_5C)(C\gamma^0C)\psi^* = -\chi^T\gamma_5^T(\gamma^0)^T\psi^* = +\psi^\dagger\gamma^0\gamma_5\chi = \bar{\psi}\gamma_5\chi$ .
- $\bar{\chi}_C\gamma^\mu\psi_C = \chi^TC\gamma^\mu\gamma^0C\psi^* = \chi^T(C\gamma^\mu C)(C\gamma^0C)\psi^* = \chi^T(\gamma^\mu)^T(\gamma^0)^T\psi^* = -\psi^\dagger\gamma^0\gamma^\mu\chi = -\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\chi$ .
- $\bar{\chi}_C\gamma_5\gamma^\mu\psi_C = \chi^T(C\gamma_5C)(C\gamma^\mu C)(C\gamma^0C)\psi^* = \chi^T\gamma_5^T(\gamma^\mu)^T(\gamma^0)^T\psi^* = -\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\gamma_5\chi = +\bar{\psi}\gamma_5\gamma^\mu\chi$ .
- $\bar{\chi}_C\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu\psi_C = \chi^T(C\gamma^\mu C)(C\gamma^\nu C)(C\gamma^0C)\psi^* = -\chi^T(\gamma^\mu)^T(\gamma^\nu)^T(\gamma^0)^T\psi^* = \bar{\psi}\gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu\chi$ . Because the index positions have switched, we have

$$\bar{\chi}_C[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]\psi_C = \bar{\psi}[\gamma^\nu, \gamma^\mu]\chi = -\bar{\psi}[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]\chi.$$

### Computation 3:

The term we would like to CP conjugate is

$$T = h_{mn}\bar{Q}_m(\mathbb{1}_4 - \gamma_5)u_n\tilde{\phi} + h_{mn}^*\bar{u}_m(\mathbb{1}_4 + \gamma_5\gamma_5)Q_n\tilde{\phi}^*. \quad (5.5)$$

Under  $C$ , we have

$$T \xrightarrow{C} h_{mn}\bar{u}_m(\mathbb{1}_4 - \gamma_5)Q_n\tilde{\phi}^* + h_{mn}^*\bar{Q}_m(\mathbb{1}_4 + \gamma_5\gamma_5)u_n\tilde{\phi}. \quad (5.6)$$

Under  $P$ , the projection operators  $P_\pm = (\mathbb{1} \pm \gamma_5)/2 \rightarrow P_\mp$ . As such,

$$T \xrightarrow{CP} h_{mn}\bar{u}_m(\mathbb{1}_4 + \gamma_5)Q_n\tilde{\phi}^* + h_{mn}^*\bar{Q}_m(\mathbb{1}_4 - \gamma_5\gamma_5)u_n\tilde{\phi}. \quad (5.7)$$

Comparing to (5.5), we find that  $h_{mn}$  must be real for CP invariance.

## 6

In terms of the Lie algebra valued gauge potential  $A_\mu$ , charge conjugation acts as

$$A_\mu \xrightarrow{\underbrace{\quad}_C} -A_\mu^T. \quad (6.1)$$

The field strength is defined as

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - ig[A_\mu, A_\nu]. \quad (6.2)$$

Its transpose is

$$F_{\mu\nu}^T = \partial_\mu A_\nu^T - \partial_\nu A_\mu^T - ig[A_\nu^T, A_\mu^T], \quad (6.3)$$

where we note that the order of indices is switched in the commutator. Therefore,

$$F_{\mu\nu} \xrightarrow{\underbrace{\quad}_C} -\partial_\mu A_\nu^T + \partial_\nu A_\mu^T - ig[A_\mu^T, A_\nu^T] = -F_{\mu\nu}^T, \quad (6.4)$$

which is a covariant transformation.