

Solutions - PS4

Questions on the solutions can be sent to rjl14@ic.ac.uk.

1

The decay of π^0 into two photons is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi^0 AA} = \frac{e^2}{32\pi^2 F_\pi} \pi^0 F_{\mu\nu}(A) F_{\rho\sigma}(A) \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}.$$

Under the nonlinear symmetry transformation $\pi^0 \mapsto \pi^0 + \omega F_\pi$, we have

$$\delta \mathcal{L}_{\pi^0 AA} = \frac{e^2 \omega}{32\pi^2} F_{\mu\nu}(A) F_{\rho\sigma}(A) \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}. \quad (1.1)$$

From problem sheet 1, this is a total derivative, and consequently has no effect at the classical level.

The relevant anomaly coefficients can be obtained by recalling that the (anomalous) axial currents are such that

$$\partial^\mu j_\mu^a \propto F_{\mu\nu}(A) F_{\rho\sigma}(A) \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \text{tr}(T^a Q_{EM}^2),$$

where T^a are the generators. The matrix Q_{EM} embedded in $SU(2)_V$ and $SU(3)_V$ is

$$Q_{EM} = \text{diag}(2/3, -1/3) \in SU(2)_V, \quad Q_{EM} = \text{diag}(2/3, -1/3, -1/3) \in SU(3)_V.$$

For the π^0 decay, we are interested in the $SU(2)_V$ generators, and only the $a = 3$ contribution is non-zero. Similarly, for the η decay, we are in $SU(3)_V$ generators, and only the $a = 8$ contribution is relevant. Thus

$$\frac{A_{3AQ_{EM}Q_{EM}}}{A_{8AQ_{EM}Q_{EM}}} = \frac{\text{tr}(T^3 Q_{EM}^2)}{\text{tr}(T^8 Q_{EM}^2)} = \sqrt{3},$$

where $T^3 = \sigma^3/2$ and $T^8 = \lambda^8/2$.

Finally, the decay rate $\Gamma(P \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ is proportional to the absolute square of the anomaly coefficient (as the anomaly coefficient is proportional to the ‘coupling constant’ in the decay Lagrangian) multiplied by m_P^3 . Thus,

$$R = \frac{\Gamma(\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)}{\Gamma(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)} = \left| \frac{A_{3AQ_{EM}Q_{EM}}}{A_{8AQ_{EM}Q_{EM}}} \right|^2 \frac{m_{\pi^0}^3}{m_\eta^3} = 3 \frac{m_{\pi^0}^3}{m_\eta^3} \simeq 0.045.$$

2

We will adopt the notation that $A(G_1, G_2, G_3)$ denote the anomaly coefficient corresponding to groups G_1, G_2 and G_3 . Firstly,

$$A(3, 3, 3) \propto \sum_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \text{tr}(\lambda_\alpha \{\lambda_\beta, \lambda_\gamma\}) = 2 \sum_{\alpha\beta\gamma} d_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0,$$

as the non-vanishing d coefficients are $d_{118} = d_{228} = d_{338} = -d_{888} = 1/\sqrt{3}$, $d_{448} = d_{558} = d_{668} = d_{778} = -1/(2\sqrt{3})$, and $d_{344} = d_{355} = -d_{366} = -d_{377} = 1/2$. Then,

$$A(3, 3, 2) \propto \sum_{\alpha\beta a} \text{tr}(\lambda_\alpha \{\lambda_\beta, \sigma_a\}) \propto \delta_{\alpha\beta} \text{tr} \sigma_a = 0,$$

as the $SU(3)$ and $SU(2)$ generators commute. The $A(3, 3, 1)$ coefficient is more involved. Recalling that the $U(1)_Y$ group is generated by the hypercharge operator Y , we have

$$A(3, 3, 1) \propto \sum_{\text{quarks}} Y = 3(2y_{Q_L} + y_{u_{Rc}} + y_{d_{Rc}}) = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Moving on, we can easily see that $A(2, 2, 2)$ vanishes because $\{\sigma_a, \sigma_b\} \propto \delta_{ab}$ and σ_a is traceless. As with the case with two 3's, $A(2, 2, 1)$ is more involved, and it is given by

$$A(2, 2, 1) \propto \sum_{\text{doublets}} Y = 3(3y_{Q_L} + y_{L_L}) = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

There are two more coefficients to check. $A(2, 1, 1)$ vanishes because it is proportional to the trace of a single Pauli matrix, and finally,

$$A(1, 1, 1) \propto \sum_{\text{fermions}} Y^3 \propto 2y_{L_L}^3 + y_{e_{Rc}}^3 + 6y_{Q_L}^3 + 3y_{u_{Rc}}^3 + 3y_{d_{Rc}}^3 = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

We have 3 non-trivial equations ((2.1)-(2.3)) and 5 hypercharges, so there are 2 hypercharges left undetermined.

Including the Higgs doublet ϕ with hypercharge y_ϕ , we have the following Yukawa couplings:

$$\bar{Q}_L u_R \tilde{\phi}, \quad \bar{Q}_L d_R \phi, \quad \bar{L}_L e_R \phi, \quad \text{complex conjugates.}$$

Classical invariance of hypercharge of these couplings require the following relations:

$$y_{u_R} - y_\phi - y_{Q_L} = 0, \quad y_{d_R} + y_\phi - y_{Q_L} = 0, \quad y_{e_R} + y_\phi - y_{L_L} = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

where $y_{u_R} = -y_{u_{Rc}}$, and so on. The negative of the sum of the first two equations give precisely (2.1), so there are only 2 extra independent relations. So, including the Higgs field, there are 6 hypercharges with 5 anomaly cancelling relations. The single, undetermined hypercharge, say y_ϕ can be set by convention; $y_\phi = 1/2$ in the Standard Model.

The generators of the $SU(2) \times U(1)_Y$ sector are T^i and Y for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Recall that the unbroken generator Q_{EM} is given by

$$Q_{EM}\phi = (\theta_i T^i + \eta Y)\phi = 0,$$

where $\theta_i \in \mathbb{R}$, and ϕ is the Higgs vacuum expectation value. For $\phi = (0, v/\sqrt{2})$, the solution is $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = 0$, and $\eta = \theta_3$. We can normalise with $\eta = 1$ giving $Q_{EM} = T^3 + Y$. The unique solution to the anomaly cancellation and hypercharge invariance equations given $y_\phi = 1/2$ is

$$y_{u_R} = \frac{2}{3}, \quad y_{d_R} = -\frac{1}{3}, \quad y_{Q_L} = \frac{1}{6}, \quad y_{L_L} = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad y_{e_R} = -1.$$

Their corresponding electric charges are:

$$\begin{aligned} u_R &: \frac{2}{3}, \\ d_R &: -\frac{1}{3}, \\ Q_L : Q_{EM} Q_L &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_L \\ d_L \end{pmatrix} \implies \text{charge } 2/3 \text{ for } u_L \text{ and charge } -1/3 \text{ for } d_L, \\ L_L : Q_{EM} L_L &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu \\ e_L \end{pmatrix} \implies \text{charge } 0 \text{ for } \nu \text{ and charge } -1 \text{ for } e_L, \\ e_R &: -1. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let's suppose that the up quark has no current-quark mass, and y_ϕ and $y_{e_{Rc}} = 1 + \epsilon$ where ϵ is infinitesimal. Recall that $e = g_1 \cos \theta_W$, so $(1 + \epsilon)e = g_1 \cos \theta_W$, which for infinitesimal ϵ gives

$$e = g_1(1 - \epsilon) \cos \theta_W.$$

Since the up quark has no current-quark mass, the relations imposed on the hypercharges are (2.1)-(2.3) and the middle and right of (2.4). To lowest order in ϵ , we have

$$y_{Q_L} = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{\epsilon}{3}, \quad y_{L_L} = -\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon, \quad y_{d_R} = -\frac{1}{3}(1 - \epsilon), \quad y_{u_R} = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3}.$$

The electric charge of the neutron (udd) is then

$$Q_{EM;\text{neutron}} = y_{u_R} + 2y_{d_R} = \epsilon.$$

3

Consider the terms $\text{tr } \Phi^2$ and $\text{tr } \Phi^4$ in the potential. It will be convenient if all of the terms generated by $\text{tr } \Phi^2$ were quadratic, i.e., if all of the mixed second order terms vanish. That is, for $\Phi = v_a \phi_a$, $\text{tr}(\phi_a \phi_b) \propto \delta_{ab}$. The existence of this parameterisation is guaranteed by group theory. It would also be convenient if all terms involving v_1 and ϕ_1 in the $\text{tr } \Phi^4$ terms to be at least quadratic in each other parameter. That is, $\text{tr}(\phi_1^3 \phi_b) = 0$ for $b \neq 1$. Fortunately, such a parameterisation exists. It is

$$\phi_1 = \text{diag}(2, 2, 2, -3, -3), \quad \phi_2 = \text{diag}(1, -1, 0, 0, 0), \quad \phi_3 = \text{diag}(1, 1, -2, 0, 0), \quad \phi_4 = \text{diag}(0, 0, 0, 1, -1).$$

We can now rewrite $V(\Phi, H = 0) = \mathcal{V}(v_i)$ in terms of these parameters. Recalling that our parameterisation is such that $\text{tr}(\phi_1^3 \phi_b) = 0$ for $b \neq 1$, every term in $\partial\mathcal{V}/\partial v_i$ will include at least one v_1 . Thus, $v_1 \neq 0$ and $v_2 = v_3 = v_4 = 0$ is a solution to $\partial\mathcal{V}/\partial v_a = 0$. A brute force calculation (mathematica will be useful) gives

$$\mathcal{V}(v_1, v_2 = v_3 = v_4 = 0) = 900bv_1^4 + 210av_1^4 - 30m_1^2v_1^2.$$

And so, the minimum of \mathcal{V} is given by

$$v_1^2 = \frac{m_1^2}{14a + 60b},$$

provided that $b > -7a/30$ so that the denominator is positive definite, and $a > 0$ so that the Lagrangian is bounded from below.

To find the mass term of the gauge fields after symmetry breaking, consider the kinetic term of the Φ field and expand it about $\bar{\Phi} + \delta\Phi$, focussing on terms independent of $\delta\Phi$,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}}(\Phi) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} (D_\mu \Phi D^\mu \Phi), \quad (D_\mu \Phi)_a = \partial_\mu v_a - ig_5 f_{abc} X_{\mu b} \Phi_c.$$

The δv_a independent term in $\mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}}(\bar{v} + \delta v)$ is then

$$-\frac{1}{4} g_5^2 f_{abc} f_{ade} \bar{v}_c \bar{v}_e X_{\mu b} X_d^\mu.$$

The conventional mass term is given by

$$-\frac{m^2}{2} X_{\mu a} X_a^\mu,$$

so

$$m^2 = \frac{1}{2} g_5^2 f_{aa1} f_{aa1} \bar{v}_1^2.$$

Calculating the commutators, we find that $f_{aa1} = 5$, and so

$$m = \sqrt{\frac{25}{2}} g_5 \bar{v}_1.$$

For finding the transformation of the gauge fields under hypercharge, consider the same action under the adjoint commutator, but rescaled so instead of $\text{diag}(2, 2, 2, -3, -3)$, it is proportional to the SM hypercharge generator $y = \text{diag}(-1/3, -1/3, -1/3, 1/2, 1/2)$. This has a difference of a factor of $-1/6$, so the charge is $-5/6$ under the SM hypercharge.

Lastly, writing $H = (h_{t_1}, h_{t_2}, h_{t_3}, h_{d_1}, h_{d_2})^T$, then finding the mass term in $V(\bar{\Phi}, H)$ for H , we have

$$V(\bar{\Phi}, H) = -m_2^2 (h_{t_i}^* h_{t_i} + h_{d_j}^* h_{d_j}) + 30\lambda_1 \bar{v}_1^2 (h_{t_i}^* h_{t_i} + h_{d_j}^* h_{d_j}) + \lambda_2 \bar{v}_1^2 (4h_{t_i}^* h_{t_i} + 9h_{d_j}^* h_{d_j}) + \dots,$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} m_t^2 &= -m_2^2 + (30\lambda_1 + 4\lambda_2)\bar{v}_1^2, \\ m_d^2 &= -m_2^2 + (30\lambda_1 + 9\lambda_2)\bar{v}_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

4

The electromagnetic charge is

$$\hat{Q} = \text{diag}(-1/3, -1/3, -1/3, 1, 0) = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}(T^3 + \sqrt{\frac{5}{3}}\hat{Y}).$$

For the anomalies, it is easier to calculate the ratio $A(5^*)/A(10)$ due to the relative coefficient d^{JK} . We have

$$\frac{A(5^*)}{A(10)} = \frac{\text{tr}_{5^*}(\hat{Q}^3)}{\text{tr}_{10}(\hat{Q}^3)} = \frac{3(1/3)^3 + (-1)^3 + 0}{3(-2/3)^3 + 3(2/3)^3 + 3(-1/3)^3 + 1^3} = -1 \implies A(5^*) + A(10) = 0.$$

There are no changes to the gauge anomalies when one includes an SU(5) singlet right-handed fermion to generate neutrino masses via the see-saw mechanism, as the neutrino by definition does not transform under the gauge group.

5

For each gauge group, $n_f = 4n_g$, as they couple four particles/antiparticles per generation. Similarly, $C_A = 3, 2$ for SU(3) and SU(2), and $C_R = 1/2$, so

$$b_3 = \frac{1}{12\pi} \left(11 \times 3 - 2 \times 4n_g \times \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(11 - \frac{4n_g}{3} \right), \quad (5.1)$$

$$b_2 = \frac{1}{12\pi} \left(11 \times 2 - 2 \times 4n_g \times \frac{1}{2} - n_H \times \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\frac{22}{3} - \frac{4n_g}{3} - \frac{n_H}{6} \right). \quad (5.2)$$

For \hat{b}_1 , we need to compute b_Y , which from the notes is

$$b_Y = -\frac{1}{6\pi} \left(\text{tr}_{\text{fermions, left}}(Y^2) + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_{\text{scalars}}(Y^2) \right),$$

and therefore,

$$\hat{b}_1 = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(-\frac{4n_g}{3} - \frac{n_H}{10} \right). \quad (5.3)$$

Next, the renormalisation group equation in the variable $z = \log \mu$ is

$$\frac{\partial g_R}{\partial z} = -\frac{bg_R^3}{4\pi},$$

which can be solved to give

$$g_R = \pm \left(\frac{b}{2\pi} z + \tilde{c} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \tilde{c} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Hence, using the definition $\alpha_N = g_N^2/4\pi$, we have

$$\alpha_N(\mu) = (2b_N \log \mu + c)^{-1} \implies \log \mu = (2\alpha_N b_N)^{-1} - \frac{c}{2b_N},$$

where $c = \sqrt{4\pi}\tilde{c}$. Therefore,

$$\log \left(\frac{Q^2}{\mu_0^2} \right) = \frac{1}{b_N \alpha_N(Q)} - \frac{1}{b_N \alpha_N(\mu_0)}. \quad (5.4)$$

Assuming unification of the couplings at scale Q , and noticing that the RHS of (5.4) has no N dependence, we have

$$\frac{1}{b_1 \alpha_1(Q)} - \frac{1}{b_1 \alpha_1(\mu_0)} = \frac{1}{b_2 \alpha_2(Q)} - \frac{1}{b_2 \alpha_2(\mu_0)} = \frac{1}{b_2 \alpha_1(Q)} - \frac{1}{b_2 \alpha_2(\mu_0)},$$

which can be solved for $\alpha_1(Q)$ to give

$$\alpha_1(Q) = \frac{(b_2 - b_1) \alpha_1(\mu_0) \alpha_2(\mu_0)}{b_2 \alpha_2(\mu_0) - b_1 \alpha_1(\mu_0)}.$$

Inserting this back into (5.4) yields the required result

$$\log \left(\frac{Q^2}{\mu_0^2} \right) = \frac{1}{b_1 \alpha_1(Q)} - \frac{1}{b_1 \alpha_1(\mu_0)} = \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_1(\mu_0)} - \frac{1}{\alpha_2(\mu_0)} \right) \frac{1}{b_2 - b_1}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\log \left(\frac{Q^2}{\mu_0^2} \right) = \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_3(\mu_0)} - \frac{1}{\alpha_2(\mu_0)} \right) \frac{1}{b_2 - b_3},$$

which implies that

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_3(\mu_0)} = \frac{1+B}{\alpha_2(\mu_0)} - \frac{B}{\alpha_1(\mu_0)}, \quad B = \frac{b_3 - b_2}{b_2 - b_1}.$$

Substituting (5.1), (5.2) and (5.3), we find that

$$B = \frac{110 + n_H}{2(110 - n_H)} \simeq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3n_H}{110} + \mathcal{O}(n_H^2).$$

Lastly, in the MSSM, we must remember to include superpartners. If a vector transforms in the adjoint, then there is a spinor that transforms in the adjoint. And if there is a spinor transforming in the fundamental representation, there is a scalar transforming in the fundamental representation and vice versa. Therefore, b_N becomes

$$b_N = \frac{1}{12\pi} (11C_A - 2n_f^A C_A - 8n_g C_F - 4n_g C_F),$$

where $n_f^A = 1$ is the number of fermions in the adjoint. Using this, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} b_3 &= \frac{1}{4\pi} (9 - 2n_g), \\ b_2 &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(6 - 2n_g - \frac{n_H}{2} \right), \\ b_1 &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(-2n_g - \frac{3}{10}n_H \right). \end{aligned}$$