1. This is a problem about vacuum orbits in an SU(3) symmetric model, suggested by the penultimate paragraph of Peter Higgs’ famous 1966 paper. Consider a field transforming in the adjoint representation of SU(3). This may be written in terms of $3 \times 3$ hermitian traceless matrices $\Phi_{ij}$, $i, j = 1, 2, 3$, transforming as $\Phi \rightarrow U\Phi U^\dagger$ where $U_{ij} \in SU(3)$. Let the potential for $\Phi$ be $V = \frac{1}{4} (\text{tr}(\Phi^2) - k^2)^2$.

a) It is a standard result in matrix algebra that a hermitian matrix $M$ can be diagonalized by a unitary similarity transformation $M \rightarrow SMS^{-1}$, where $S$ is unitary. Hence, show that $\Phi$ can be diagonalized by an SU(3) transformation. Also show, however, that the eigenvalues of $\Phi$ are never changed by such an SU(3) transformation.

b) Find the condition determining the vacuum $\bar{\Phi}$ values in the above potential. Show that the vacuum orbits may be characterized by the eigenvalues of $\bar{\Phi}$, and hence that one may parametrize the vacuum orbits by the diagonalized $\bar{\Phi}$ matrix on a given orbit. How many free parameters determine a given vacuum orbit for this model?

c) Show that for generic $\bar{\Phi}$ eigenvalues, the little group $H$ is $U(1) \times U(1)$. Give an explicit form for such little-group matrices when $\bar{\Phi}$ is diagonal. How many Goldstone modes and how many Higgs modes are there for such a generic vacuum? In a gauged extension of this model, the Goldstone modes, but not the Higgs, will be absorbed into vector-field masses.

d) Find the masses of the Higgs modes for a diagonal $\bar{\Phi}$ vacuum with eigenvalues of the form $(\lambda, 0, -\lambda)$. Are there Higgs modes with accidentally vanishing masses in this case, even though such vanishing is not required by Goldstone’s theorem? Remember that masses are determined purely by the expansion in fluctuation fields to second order about the given vacuum – there may in addition be cubic and quartic interaction terms. The mass spectra of Higgs, i.e. non-Goldstone, modes varies significantly from one model to another.

e) Find a special set of $\bar{\Phi}$ eigenvalues for which the little group becomes $U(2) \cong SU(2) \times U(1)$. How many genuine Goldstone modes and how many Higgs modes are there for vacua on such an orbit? For a diagonal $\bar{\Phi}$ of this special type, identify the matrix elements that correspond to the Goldstone modes and the matrix elements that correspond to the Higgs modes. Limit the analysis to infinitesimal fluctuations away from the vacuum $\bar{\Phi}$.

f) In what representations of $H$ do the Higgs fields transform for vacua with $H = U(2)$? How many of these modes are accidentally massless, even though this is not required by Goldstone’s theorem? What would happen to vector-field masses as one approached this orbit from neighboring generic orbits in a gauged version of this model?
2. In a seesaw mechanism model for Majorana spinors $N$ and $n$ with mass terms
\[ \mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = -i(M \tilde{N} N + \frac{v_p}{2 \sqrt{2}} (\tilde{n} N + N \tilde{n})), \]
show that one finds mass eigenstates $n_{\pm}$ with mass values
\[ m_{\pm} = \frac{M}{2} (1 \pm \sqrt{1 + \frac{v^2_p}{2M^2}}). \]
For $M \gg \frac{v_p}{\sqrt{2}}$, one thus finds a very large eigenvalue $m_+ \simeq M$ and an
eigenvalue $m_- \simeq -\frac{v^2_p}{8M}$ with magnitude $|m_-| \ll \frac{v_p}{\sqrt{2}}$. Find the corresponding low-mass Majorana
spinor field $n_-$ as a combination of $N$ and $n$. Show that the negative sign in $m_-$ is not physically
meaningful by making a field redefinition of the corresponding spinor $n_- \rightarrow \tilde{n}_- = i \gamma_5 n_-$ which a)
preserves the Majorana condition for $\tilde{n}_- \text{ and } b)$ flips the sign of the $-im_- \tilde{n}_- n_-$ mass term while
leaving invariant the kinetic term $-i\tilde{n}_- \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu n_-$. 

3. For a complex symmetric matrix $S$, consider the eigenvectors of $M = SS^\dagger$ and first show that $M$
can be diagonalized by a unitary matrix $U$ constructed from the eigenvectors of $M$, i.e. $U^\dagger MU = D$
where $D = \text{diag}(h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_N)$ is a diagonal matrix with real nonnegative elements. Then let
\[ T = U^\dagger S(U^\dagger)^T \text{ so } TT^\dagger = D. \]
Show that $[D, T] = 0$ and consequently that $T_{ii}(h_i - h_i) = 0$, so that
$T$ must itself be diagonal provided the eigenvalues $h_i$ are all distinct. Consequently, show that one
may choose phases for the eigenvalues of $T$ such that $T = \text{diag}(\sqrt{h_1}, \sqrt{h_2}, \ldots, \sqrt{h_N})$. 

Writing $S = UDU^T$ for $U$ unitary and $D$ diagonal is known as Takagi factorization.

4. In a system with $N$ generations and PMNS unitary matrix $U$ which diagonalizes the neutrino mass
matrix, the relation between flavour eigenstates $|\nu_\alpha\rangle$ and mass eigenstates $|i\rangle$ is $|\nu_\alpha\rangle = \sum_i U^*_{\alpha i}|i\rangle$.
Consider ultrarelativistic neutrinos with momentum $p = |\vec{p}| \gg m_i$ for any of the mass eigenvalues
$m_i$, whose energies can accordingly be approximated by $E_i = \sqrt{p_i^2 + m_i^2} \simeq p_i + \frac{m_i^2}{2p_i} \approx E + \frac{m_i^2}{2E}$. For
ultrarelativistic neutrinos and $c = 1$, one has a time of flight $T$ to distance travelled $L$ relation
$T \approx L$. 

Show that the probability for a neutrino originally of flavour $\alpha$ to be later observed with flavour $\beta$
is then to leading order
\[ P_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta} = |\langle \nu_\beta | \nu_\alpha(T) \rangle|^2 = | \sum_i U^*_{\alpha i} U_{\beta i} e^{-im^2_i L/2E} |^2. \]

Show that this probability may be rewritten as
\[ P_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta} = \delta_{\alpha \beta} - 4 \sum_{i>j} \text{Re}(U^*_{\alpha i} U_{\beta i} U^*_{\alpha j} U_{\beta j}) \sin^2 \left( \frac{\Delta m^2_{ij} L}{4E} \right) + 2 \sum_{i>j} \text{Im}(U^*_{\alpha i} U_{\beta i} U^*_{\alpha j} U_{\beta j}) \sin \left( \frac{\Delta m^2_{ij} L}{2E} \right), \]
where $\Delta m^2_{ij} = m^2_i - m^2_j$. 

The CP asymmetry is $A^\alpha_{\text{CP}} = P_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta} - P_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta} = 4 \sum_{i>j} \text{Im}(U^*_{\alpha i} U_{\beta i} U^*_{\alpha j} U_{\beta j}) \sin \left( \frac{\Delta m^2_{ij} L}{2E} \right)$. In terms of
the Jarlskog invariant $J$, determined in the $N = 3$ case by $\text{Im}(U^*_{\alpha i} U_{\beta i} U^*_{\alpha j} U_{\beta j}) = -J \sum_{\gamma,k} \epsilon_{\alpha \beta \gamma} \epsilon_{ijk}$,
show that the CP asymmetry is given by
\[ A^\alpha_{\text{CP}} = 16J \sum_{\gamma} \epsilon_{\alpha \beta \gamma} \sin \left( \frac{\Delta m^2_{21} L}{4E} \right) \sin \left( \frac{\Delta m^2_{32} L}{4E} \right) \sin \left( \frac{\Delta m^2_{31} L}{4E} \right). \]
5. The charge conjugation matrix corresponding to a mostly-plus Minkowski metric $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$ may be taken to be $C = -\gamma^0 \gamma^2$ in notation where the Dirac conjugate of a spinor $\psi$ is given by $ar{\psi} := \psi^\dagger \gamma^0$.

- Show the following:

\[
\begin{align*}
C^\dagger &= C, & C^T &= -C, & C^2 &= 1 \\
\gamma^0 \gamma^\mu \gamma^0 &= \gamma^\mu, & C \gamma^\mu^T C &= -\gamma^\mu, & C \gamma_5^T &= \gamma_5 \\
\psi_C := C(\bar{\psi})^T &= -\gamma^0 C \psi^* & (\gamma^\mu \psi) &= -\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \\
(\gamma^5 \psi) &= -\bar{\psi} \gamma_5
\end{align*}
\]

- For generic Dirac spinor fields $\psi$ and $\chi$, derive the following transformation rules under charge conjugation for spinor bilinears, ignoring $\eta_C$ phases [so just take $\psi \xrightarrow{C} \psi_C = C(\bar{\psi})^T$]

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{\chi} \psi &\xrightarrow{C} \bar{\chi} C \psi_C = \bar{\psi} \chi \\
\bar{\chi} \gamma^\mu \psi &\xrightarrow{C} \bar{\chi} C \gamma^\mu \psi_C = -\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \chi \\
\bar{\chi} [\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu] \psi &\xrightarrow{C} \bar{\chi} C [\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu] \psi_C = -\bar{\psi} [\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu] \chi
\end{align*}
\]

Consider the Yukawa coupling $-i h_{mn} \bar{Q}_L A^a_m u_R \bar{\phi}_a + \text{h.c}$ where $m$ and $n$ sum over the 1, 2, 3 generations and $\tilde{\phi}_a = \epsilon_{ab} \phi^{*a}$. Show that this term is CP invariant provided $h_{mn}$ is real. The charge conjugation transformation of $\phi_a$ is $\phi_a \xrightarrow{C} \phi^{*a}$.

6. In order to preserve covariance under both gauge transformations and charge conjugation of the nonabelian covariant derivative $(D_\mu \psi)_A = \partial_\mu \psi_A - ig A^i_\mu (T^i)_A^B \psi_B$ of a spinor field $\psi_A$, the gauge fields must transform $A^i_\mu \xrightarrow{C} A'^i_\mu$ under charge conjugation as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
A'^i_\mu &= -A^i_\mu & \text{for } (T^i)_A^B &= (T^i)_B^A \text{ symmetric} \\
A'^i_\mu &= A^i_\mu & \text{for } (T^i)_A^B &= -(T^i)_B^A \text{ antisymmetric}
\end{align*}
\]

- Show that this charge conjugation transformation of the gauge fields $A^i_\mu$ leads to a covariant transformation of the field strength $F^i_{\mu\nu}$, i.e. that $F^i_{\mu\nu}$ flips sign when $A^i_\mu$ does but stays invariant if $A^j_\mu$ does.