

Basics of Lorentzian geometry

Gustav Holzegel

Imperial College, London

April 18th, 2017

Edinburgh

Definition. Let V be an $(n + 1)$ -dimensional vectorspace. A **Lorentzian inner product** m is a map $m : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is

- *bilinear*
- *non-degenerate* ($m(V, W) = 0$ for all W implies $V = 0$)
- *symmetric*
- *maximal dimension of any subspace W such that $m|_W$ is positive definite is n .*

Recall that by the classification theory of bilinear forms we can always find a basis e_0, e_1, \dots, e_n such that

- $m(e_0, e_0) = -1$
- $m(e_0, e_i) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.
- $m(e_i, e_j) = \delta_{ij}$ for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

We want to study **Lorentzian manifolds**.

These are manifolds equipped with an extra structure, a **Lorentzian metric**.

Definition. Let \mathcal{M} be a smooth manifold. A Lorentzian metric on \mathcal{M} is an assignment to each point p of a Lorentzian inner product, i.e. a map

$$g_p : T_p\mathcal{M} \times T_p\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

such that g_p depends smoothly on p .

Pedestrian way to understand smooth dependence: Choose local coordinates near p , say x^0, x^1, \dots, x^n . Then

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} \right]_{\tilde{p}}, \dots, \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \right]_{\tilde{p}}$$

is a (coordinate) basis for each $T_{\tilde{p}}\mathcal{M}$ for $\tilde{p} \in \mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{M}$. The metric is determined by its values on this basis, the components

$$g_{\alpha\beta}(\tilde{p}) = g_{\tilde{p}} \left(\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} \right]_{\tilde{p}}, \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta} \right]_{\tilde{p}} \right)$$

Smooth dependence: $g_{\alpha\beta}(\tilde{p})$ are smooth as functions of \tilde{p} in \mathcal{U} .

Given the local coordinate basis

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} \right]_{\tilde{p}}, \dots, \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \right]_{\tilde{p}}$$

from the last slide, we can introduce a dual basis of one form dx^α on the dual (cotangent space) $T_p^* \mathcal{M}$ via the defining relation

$$dx^\alpha \left(\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta} \right] \right) = \delta_\beta^\alpha.$$

We can then write our Lorentzian metric as

$$g_p = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} g_{\alpha\beta}(p) dx^\alpha \otimes dx^\beta \tag{1}$$

By the Einstein summation convention, the sum is often omitted.

Example 1: Minkowski space. $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}^{1+n}$ equipped with

$$\begin{aligned}\eta &= -dt \otimes dt + dx^1 \otimes dx^1 + \dots + dx^n \otimes dx^n \\ &= -dt^2 + (dx^1)^2 + \dots + (dx^n)^2\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

Changing to polar coordinates, say $n = 3$

$$\eta = -dt^2 + dr^2 + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2)$$

Changing also to null-coordinates $t = u + v$ and $r = v - u$

$$\eta = -4dudv + r^2(u, v) (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2)$$

Example 2: Schwarzschild spacetime.

The manifold is

$$\mathcal{M} = (-\infty, \infty) \times (-\infty, \infty) \times S^2$$

Fix $M > 0$. We define

$$g = -16M^2 \frac{2M}{r(U, V)} e^{-\frac{r(U, V)}{2M}} dU dV + r^2(U, V) (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2)$$

with $r = r(U, V)$ given implicitly by

$$-UV = \frac{1 - \frac{2M}{r}}{\frac{2M}{r} e^{-r/2M}} = (r - 2M) \frac{1}{2M} e^{\frac{r}{2M}} .$$

We shall obtain a simpler form and understand the geometry and the physics of this metric very soon!

Given a Lorentzian manifold (\mathcal{M}, g) we have a notion of

timelike/ spacelike/ null vectors in each tangent space

and also of

timelike/ spacelike/ null curves.

Timelike curves corresponds to massive particles, null curves to massless particles.

If γ is a causal (timelike or null) curve we define its length as

$$L(\gamma) = \int \sqrt{-g(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma})} dt$$

This is the proper time felt by a local observer w.r.t. his own clock.

A smooth **hypersurface** $F \subset \mathcal{M}$ is

- timelike if its normal is spacelike
- spacelike if its normal is timelike
- null if its normal is null

Examples (Minkowski):

- $t = 0$ is spacelike (normal is ∂_t)
- $u = 0$ is null: Normal is $n = \nabla u = (du)^{\flat} \sim \partial_v$ ($n = \eta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha u \partial_\beta$)

Isometries of Lorentzian manifolds

Recall that if ϕ is a diffeomorphism

$$\phi : (\mathcal{M}, g) \rightarrow (\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}, \widetilde{g})$$

such that $\phi^*\widetilde{g} = g$, then ϕ is an isometry. The pull back condition:

$$g_p(v, w) = \widetilde{g}_{\phi(p)}((d\phi)_p v, (d\phi)_p w)$$

for all $v, w \in T_p M$.

We'll be interested in the set of isometries from (M, g) to itself. This set forms a Lie group which can be generated by the Lie algebra of so-called Killing fields.

Definition. A **Killing field** W on (\mathcal{M}, g) is a vectorfield on \mathcal{M} such that

$$\mathcal{L}_W g = 0$$

or in arbitrary coordinates

$$(\mathcal{L}_W g)_{\mu\nu} = W^\alpha \partial_\alpha g_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu W^\alpha g_{\alpha\nu} + \partial_\nu W^\alpha g_{\mu\alpha}$$

Given a Killing field W we can look at its integral curves $(\dot{\gamma} = W(\gamma(s)))$. They generate a one parameter group of diffeomorphisms ϕ_s which are isometries.

Illustrate this with an example: Minkowski space in $1 + 3$ dimensions, coordinates (t, x^1, x^2, x^3) .

- Clearly, ∂_t is a Killing field. It generates the one-parameter group of isometries

$$\phi_s : (t, x^1, x^2, x^3) \mapsto (t + s, x^1, x^2, x^3)$$

(time)-translation invariance.

- Check $x^1 \partial_t + t \partial_{x^1}$ is a Killing field. It generates

$$\phi_s : (t, x^1, x^2, x^3) \mapsto (\cosh(s) t + \sinh(s) x^1, \sinh(s) t + \cosh(s) x^1, x^2, x^3)$$

Lorentz boosts (hyperbolic rotations)

It total 3 rotations, 3 boosts, 4 translations \implies 10-dimensional Poincare group

The Connection

The idea: We would like to differentiate vectorfields on a manifold. Need to compare vectors in different tangent spaces. This is achieved by the covariant derivative operator ∇ . We include the axiomatic definition of the Levi-Civita connection on the next slide.

The Connection

If X, Y are vectorfields on (\mathcal{M}, g) , then $\nabla_X Y$ is a vectorfield on (\mathcal{M}, g) (“the directional derivative of Y in the direction X ”) defined uniquely by

1. $\nabla_X Y$ is linear in Y over the reals
2. $\nabla_X Y$ is linear in X over smooth functions ($\nabla_{fX} Y = f\nabla_X Y$)
3. product rule: $\nabla_X(fY) = \nabla_X(f)Y + f\nabla_X Y$
4. torsion-free (symmetric): $\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X - [X, Y] = 0$
5. metric compatible: For all vectorfields X, Y, Z on (\mathcal{M}, g)

$$X(g(Y, Z)) = g(\nabla_X Y, Z) + g(Y, \nabla_X Z) .$$

One can compute the connection in a local coordinate chart

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta} = \Gamma^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\gamma}$$

with

$$\Gamma^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\gamma\delta} (\partial_\alpha g_{\delta\beta} + \partial_\beta g_{\alpha\delta} - \partial_\delta g_{\alpha\beta})$$

the Christoffel symbols. These are not tensors!

In Minkowski, $\Gamma = 0$ in Cartesian coordinates (t, x^1, \dots, x^n) but already in polar coordinates $\Gamma \neq 0$!

So, if I give you a metric in some coordinates, you can compute the Γ . Note also

$$\nabla_X Y = \left(X(Y^\mu) + \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} X^\alpha Y^\beta \right) \partial_\mu$$

Note that $\nabla_X Y$ depends only on X at the point p and the values of Y along the integral curve of X !

Parallel transport and Geodesics

The vectorfield Y along the curve γ is called **parallel** if $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}Y = 0$.

By the theory of ODEs we can construct the parallel transport of Y along γ given Y at a point p . Indeed we just need to solve the system

$$\frac{d}{ds}Y^\mu(x(s)) + \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta}(x(s))\dot{\gamma}^\alpha(x(s))Y^\beta(x(s)) = 0.$$

A curve $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a **geodesic** if $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}\dot{\gamma} = 0$. Again ODE theory:

Proposition 1. *Given a point $p \in \mathcal{M}$ and a vector $v \in T_p\mathcal{M}$ there is a unique maximal geodesic*

$$\gamma : (T_-, T_+) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

such that $\gamma(0) = p$, $\dot{\gamma}|_p = v$ where $-\infty \leq T_- \leq \infty$.

Proposition 2. *Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a geodesic such that $\gamma(s_0)$ is timelike for some $s_0 \in I$ then $\dot{\gamma}(s)$ is timelike for all $s \in I$.*

Proof.

$$\dot{\gamma} (g (\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma})) = 2g (\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) = 0$$

□

Hence geodesics preserve their type.

Geodesics correspond to feely falling particles.

The connection defines a notion of **curvature**. The formulae are the same as in the Riemannian case. The curvature measures the failure of parallel transport to commute. The Riemann curvature tensor:

$$R : T_p\mathcal{M} \times T_p\mathcal{M} \times T_p\mathcal{M} \rightarrow T_p\mathcal{M}$$

$$R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z$$

In coordinates

$$R^\mu{}_{\rho\sigma\tau} = \partial_\tau \Gamma^\mu{}_{\rho\sigma} - \partial_\sigma \Gamma^\mu{}_{\rho\tau} + \Gamma^\mu{}_{\rho\sigma} \Gamma^\sigma{}_{\tau\alpha} - \Gamma^\mu{}_{\rho\tau} \Gamma^\sigma{}_{\sigma\alpha}$$

The Ricci curvature tensor arises from contracting indices

$$Ric_{\sigma\tau} = R^\mu{}_{\sigma\mu\tau}$$

The Einstein vacuum equations impose $Ric_{\sigma\tau} = 0$.

Summary

1. We started from η appearing in the wave equation.
2. Defined the notion of a Lorentzian inner product (LIP).
3. Lorentzian manifold: LIP in each tangent space
4. Killing fields and isometries (understood Minkowski)
5. Connection, Parallel Transport, Geodesics
6. Curvature, vacuum Einstein equations.