

Wave theory of lattice dynamics

Paul Tangney*

*Department of Materials and Department of Physics,
Imperial College London*

(Dated: January 4, 2024)

I present the mathematical structure of classical phonon theory in a general form, which emphasizes the wave natures of phonons, and which can serve as a robust foundation for further development of the theory of strongly interacting phonons. I also show that the Fourier transform (FT) of the mass-weighted velocity-velocity correlation function (mVVCF) is *exactly* the distribution of the classical kinetic energy among frequencies and wavevectors. Because this result is classically exact, it is general: It is as valid, theoretically, for a liquid or a molecule in a non-thermal non-stationary state as it is for a crystal at thermal equilibrium at a low temperature. Therefore, as well as being of fundamental importance to physical theory, this result implies that calculating the FT of the mVVCF from atomistic simulations is a much more powerful computational tool than it is believed to be. Existing theory shows only that the FT of the mVVCF is proportional to the vibrational density of states at thermal equilibrium, and under the simplifying assumption that the number of available vibrational states is equal to the number of degrees of freedom.

CONTENTS

I. Introduction	2
II. Structure of a hot crystal in spacetime and reciprocal spacetime	2
A. The crystal's structure	2
B. Fourier transforming the crystal's structure	3
1. Imposing boundary conditions	4
C. Vector notation	5
1. Vectors specifying the crystal's structure	6
D. Eigenvectors, cell eigenvectors, and polarization vectors	6
1. Normal mode eigenvectors	6
2. Arbitrary 'eigenvector' basis	7
3. Eigenvector symmetry	7
4. Constraints of symmetry and the number of DOFs	8
5. Dynamical matrix	9
6. Cell eigenvectors	9
E. Expressing structure in a basis of eigenvectors	10
1. Time dependence of normal mode coordinates	10
F. Discretizing the time/frequency Fourier transform	11
1. Finite observation/simulation time	11
2. Finite time resolution	11
III. Distribution of kinetic energy in reciprocal spacetime	12
A. Kinetic energy expressed in of mode coordinates	12
B. Expressing structure in a basis of complex waves	12
1. Harmonic approximation	13
2. Perturbation theory of interacting phonons	13
3. Kinetic energy density in reciprocal spacetime from correlation functions	14
4. Mode-projected correlation functions	14
IV. Phonon sizes: From standing waves to wave packets and the quasiparticle gas	15
A. Wave perspective versus scattering perspective	15
1. Correlation and coherence lengths and times	15
B. Inadequacy of mode coordinates within scattering picture of phonon-phonon interactions	15
1. Basis of Wannier vectors	16

* p.tangney@imperial.ac.uk

2. Suggestions for further development of phonon theory	16
V. Summary	16
Appendices	
A. Fourier transforms	17
1. Continuous Fourier transforms	17
a. Orthogonality relations for continuous waves	17
b. Orthogonality relations for lattice waves	17
B. Real and complex vector spaces	17
1. Vector notation, inner products, metrics, and dual vectors	17
2. Clifford product	18
3. Dual vectors in spaces of dimension 3, $3N$, and $3NN_c$	18
4. The imaginary part of an inner product in complex vector spaces	19
References	19

I. INTRODUCTION

One purpose of this article is to provide a careful account of how the microstate of a hot crystal can be expressed in mathematical forms that simplify the study of vibrations in crystals by atomistic simulations. It is surprisingly difficult to find a detailed and complete account of the mathematical structure of phonon theory in existing literature: Most introductory textbooks make too many simplifying assumptions for the requirements of those simulating spectra atomistically, whereas more advanced ones tend to build the theory with the quantum-mechanical many body theory of phonons in mind (Ashcroft and Mermin, 1976; Born and Huang, 1988; Cohen and Louie, 2016; Ibach and Lüth, 2012; Jones and March, 1973; Kittel, 2004; Wallace, 1998).

Quantum mechanical derivations of phonon theory usually treat phonons as quasiparticles that scatter from one another. However phonons can also be treated as lattice waves whose interactions are continuous, with energy and momentum being exchanged everywhere in space at all times. These two approaches, or emphases, rest on the same physical assumptions, and are therefore equivalent; but for many purposes, such as interpreting the results of atomistic simulations, the lattice wave picture is more useful. Therefore, in order to relate the various methods of atomistically simulating vibrational spectra to one another, we need a classical description of the crystal's structure and dynamics, from which all of these methods may be derived.

A second purpose of this article is to derive an expression for the distribution of a classical crystal's kinetic energy among wavevectors (\mathbf{k}) and angular frequencies (ω). I refer to the set of all points (\mathbf{k}, ω) , which specify a wavevector and a frequency, as *reciprocal spacetime*. It is well known that, at thermal equilibrium and in the low temperature (T) limit, the distribution of kinetic

energy in reciprocal spacetime is the Fourier transform of the atoms' mass-weighted velocity-velocity correlation function (mVVCF). However, all derivations of this result that I am aware of make use of the equipartition theorem and assume that the number of available vibrational states is equal to the total number of degrees of freedom. For example, in a crystal it is usually assumed that energy is localized at the points (\mathbf{k}, ω) that identify the frequencies and wavevectors of the crystal's normal modes of vibration.

I prove that the Fourier transform of the mVVCF is exactly the distribution of kinetic energy in reciprocal spacetime. I prove this result using basis sets that simplify the study of crystals, because that is my focus. However, because these basis sets are complete, the result is general. Therefore it is likely to be useful in domains other than materials science. In the context of atomistic simulations, this result means that the reciprocal spacetime kinetic energy distribution can be calculated from the mVVCF at any T , in any nonequilibrium state, and for any ordered or disordered molecule or material.

II. STRUCTURE OF A HOT CRYSTAL IN SPACETIME AND RECIPROCAL SPACETIME

Throughout this work I use the convention that an overbar on any index or variable denotes negation, e.g., $\bar{\omega} \equiv -\omega$ and $\bar{\mathbf{k}} \equiv -\mathbf{k}$.

A. The crystal's structure

I begin by considering a perfect classical crystal, whose primitive lattice vectors are $\{\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_3\}$. The crystal's perfection might be a consequence of it being at mechanical equilibrium, or of it representing the time-averaged structure of a hot crystal at thermal equilibrium.

I notionally partition the crystal into face-sharing primitive unit cells of volume $|\Omega| \equiv |\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{a}_2 \times \mathbf{a}_3)|$, where Ω denotes the generic primitive cell, and I choose the *global* origin to be in one of the cells near the center of the crystal. This means that each cell in the bulk of the crystal contains exactly one point \mathbf{R} of the infinite Bravais lattice $\mathfrak{B} \equiv \{R^1 \mathbf{a}_1 + R^2 \mathbf{a}_2 + R^3 \mathbf{a}_3 : R^1, R^2, R^3 \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. This point will identify it and serve as its *local* origin. The *specific* cell whose origin is at position $\mathbf{R} \in \mathfrak{B}$ is

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{R}} \equiv \{\mathbf{R} + r^1 \mathbf{a}_1 + r^2 \mathbf{a}_2 + r^3 \mathbf{a}_3 : \mathbf{R} \in \mathfrak{B}, \\ r^1, r^2, r^3 \in [-1/2, 1/2)\},$$

and may also be identified simply as cell \mathbf{R} . $\mathbf{R}j$ will identify the j^{th} atom in cell $\Omega_{\mathbf{R}}$; and $\mathbf{R}j\alpha$ will identify the α^{th} lattice coordinate of atom $\mathbf{R}j$.

If a large crystal is at mechanical equilibrium, all of the cells deep within its bulk are identical and have identical environments, but the primitive cells near surfaces will be strained relative to those in the bulk, and the equilibrium lattice coordinates of the atoms in surface cells will be different, in general. Therefore, let us express the displacement of atom $\mathbf{R}j$ from equilibrium as $\sum_{\alpha=1}^3 w^{j\alpha}(\mathbf{R}) u^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} \mathbf{a}_{\alpha}$, where $w^{j\alpha} : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a function whose value is almost one in the bulk of the crystal, almost zero at all points of \mathfrak{B} that are outside the crystal, and only differs significantly from the values one and zero near surfaces. In the bulk, the displacement from equilibrium at time t is almost exactly equal to $\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{R}j}(t) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 u^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}(t) \mathbf{a}_{\alpha}$.

I denote a subset of Bravais lattice points, which only contains local origins of *bulk* cells, by $\mathfrak{B}_{\text{bulk}} \subset \mathfrak{B}$. For simplicity, I choose $\mathfrak{B}_{\text{bulk}}$ to have the same shape and orientation as the primitive cell, Ω : it is a parallelepiped with edges $(2m+1)\mathbf{a}_1$, $(2m+1)\mathbf{a}_2$, and $(2m+1)\mathbf{a}_3$, and with the origin at its center, such that there are m other cells between the origin cell, Ω_0 , and the boundary of $\mathfrak{B}_{\text{bulk}}$ in the directions of each of the six vectors $\pm \mathbf{a}_1$, $\pm \mathbf{a}_2$, and $\pm \mathbf{a}_3$. I choose m to be the largest positive integer for which the differences between the structures of cells in $\mathfrak{B}_{\text{bulk}}$, at both mechanical equilibrium and when the structures are averaged over time, are negligible. Throughout this work, any sum $\sum_{\mathbf{R}}$ over an unspecified set of lattice vectors denotes a sum over all lattice vectors in $\mathfrak{B}_{\text{bulk}}$.

Although there may be bulk-like cells in $\mathfrak{B} \setminus \mathfrak{B}_{\text{bulk}}$, from this point forward the term *bulk* refers to the chunk of the crystal's interior, $\mathcal{B} \equiv \bigcup_{\mathbf{R} \in \mathfrak{B}_{\text{bulk}}} \Omega_{\mathbf{R}}$, which comprises $N_c \equiv (2m+1)^3$ primitive cells, and I will restrict my attention to the bulk. Therefore, for simplicity, I will ignore the set of functions $\{w^{j\alpha}\}$ and focus on the functions $\{u^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}\}$; I will sometimes refer to the set of all bulk cells simply as *the crystal*; and I will sometimes refer to their boundary with non-bulk cells simply as *the surface*. However, it is important that we carry with us

the understanding that the structures and dynamics of cells near surfaces may differ markedly from bulk cells, and that to ignore them is to introduce an uncontrolled approximation.

I will be expressing the deviation of the (bulk of the) crystal from mechanical or thermal equilibrium as a superposition of displacements of atoms along a set of vectors, which I will refer to as the normal mode eigenvectors, or simply the eigenvectors. However, almost all of the theory presented applies to other complete sets of linearly-independent vectors, such as temperature-renormalized sets of eigenvectors derived within statistical perturbation theories like the *self-consistent phonon approximation* (Bianco *et al.*, 2017; Hellman *et al.*, 2013; Hooton, 1955; Tadano and Tsuneyuki, 2015; Wallace, 1998; Werthamer, 1970).

To find a set of mutually-orthogonal normal mode eigenvectors, such that in the $T \rightarrow 0$ limit the free motion along each eigenvector is an oscillation with a well-defined frequency, it is necessary to work with $\psi^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} \equiv \sqrt{m_j} u^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}$, rather than $u^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}$, where m_j denotes the mass of the j^{th} atom in each primitive cell. Despite its $\sqrt{\text{mass}}$ weighting, I will often refer to $\psi^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}$ as a displacement and its time derivative, $\dot{\psi}^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}$, as a velocity.

B. Fourier transforming the crystal's structure

$\psi^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}(t)$ can be regarded as the value of a function of \mathbf{R} and t , i.e., the function

$$\psi^{\square j\alpha} : \mathfrak{B} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; (\mathbf{R}, t) \mapsto \psi^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}(t),$$

where \square is a place-holder for the argument of a function. However, so that the theory that follows is applicable when the continuum limit ($|\Omega| \rightarrow 0$) is taken, let us first define the continuous function

$$\psi_{\eta}^{j\alpha} : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; (\mathbf{r}, t) \mapsto \psi_{\eta}^{j\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, t),$$

where

$$\psi_{\eta}^{j\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, t) \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{R} \in \mathfrak{B}_{\text{bulk}}} \psi^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}(t) \Delta_{\eta}^{(3)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}),$$

and $\Delta_{\eta}^{(3)}(\mathbf{r})$ is a smooth function whose $\eta \rightarrow 0$ limit is the Dirac delta function, $\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r})$. Therefore,

$$\psi_0^{j\alpha}(\mathbf{R}, t) \equiv \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \psi_{\eta}^{j\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, t) \Big|_{\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{R} \in \mathfrak{B}_{\text{bulk}}} = \psi^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}(t).$$

Then, if $\tilde{\psi}^{j\alpha}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ denotes the $\eta \rightarrow 0$ limit of the unitary Fourier transform (\mathfrak{F}) of $\psi_{\eta}^{j\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ with respect to both space and time, $\psi^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}(t)$ can be expressed as the superposition of lattice waves,

$$\psi^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}(t) = \sigma^4 \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3k \tilde{\psi}^{j\alpha}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) e^{i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R} - \omega t)} \\ = \sigma^4 \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\omega \int_{\mathcal{U}} d^3k \sum_{\mathbf{G}} \tilde{\psi}^{j\alpha}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}, \omega) e^{i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R} - \omega t)}, \quad (1)$$

where $\sigma \equiv 1/\sqrt{2\pi}$. Note that $\tilde{\psi}^{j\alpha*}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \tilde{\psi}^{j\alpha}(-\mathbf{k}, -\omega)$ follows from the fact that $\psi^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}$.

In Eq. 1, each wavevector has been expressed as the sum, $\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}$, of a wavevector in the first Brillouin zone, $\mathbf{k} = 2\pi(k_1\mathbf{b}^1 + k_2\mathbf{b}^2 + k_3\mathbf{b}^3)$, and a reciprocal lattice vector, $\mathbf{G} = 2\pi(G_1\mathbf{b}^1 + G_2\mathbf{b}^2 + G_3\mathbf{b}^3)$, where $\mathbf{b}^1, \mathbf{b}^2, \mathbf{b}^3$ are the primitive vectors of the reciprocal lattice, $\mathbf{b}^\alpha \cdot \mathbf{a}_\beta = \delta^\alpha_\beta$, $k_1, k_2, k_3 \in (-0.5, 0.5]$, and $G_1, G_2, G_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

1. Imposing boundary conditions

Now let us define

$$\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^{j\alpha}(\omega) \equiv \sigma^3 d^3k \sum_{\mathbf{G}} \tilde{\psi}^{j\alpha}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}, \omega) = \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^{j\alpha*}(-\omega),$$

and let us use the ‘surface’ boundary conditions (the conditions at the boundary of the designated bulk), and the relation $e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}} = e^{2\pi i k_1 R^1} e^{2\pi i k_2 R^2} e^{2\pi i k_3 R^3}$, to constrain the set of values of \mathbf{k} at which $\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^{j\alpha}$ does not necessarily vanish to a finite countable set $\hat{\mathcal{U}} \subset \mathcal{U}$. For example, it is straightforward to show that both the closed boundary conditions,

$$\psi^{\pm m\mathbf{a}_1 j\alpha} = \psi^{\pm m\mathbf{a}_2 j\alpha} = \psi^{\pm m\mathbf{a}_3 j\alpha} = 0, \quad (2)$$

and the open boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \partial_{\mathbf{R}} \psi^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} \right|_{\mathbf{R}=\pm m\mathbf{a}_1} &= \left. \partial_{\mathbf{R}} \psi^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} \right|_{\mathbf{R}=\pm m\mathbf{a}_2} \\ &= \left. \partial_{\mathbf{R}} \psi^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} \right|_{\mathbf{R}=\pm m\mathbf{a}_3} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

restrict the set $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$ to wavevectors \mathbf{k} for which k_1, k_2 , and k_3 are integer multiples of $(4m)^{-1}$. On the other hand, the periodic *Born-von Kármán* boundary conditions,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^{\mathbf{R} \pm (2m+1)\mathbf{a}_1 j\alpha} &= \psi^{\mathbf{R} \pm (2m+1)\mathbf{a}_2 j\alpha} \\ &= \psi^{\mathbf{R} \pm (2m+1)\mathbf{a}_3 j\alpha} = \psi^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

restrict $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$ to wavevectors \mathbf{k} for which k_1, k_2 , and k_3 are integer multiples of $(2m)^{-1}$.

The instantaneous structure of the crystal can be specified by the values of three lattice coordinates (α) for each of the N atoms (j) in each of the crystal's N_c primitive cells (\mathbf{R}). The fact that there are $3NN_c$ degrees of freedom means that any complete linearly-independent basis capable of specifying an arbitrary structure of the crystal contains exactly $3NN_c$ elements. Therefore, since each lattice coordinate $\psi^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}$ can be expressed in terms of the elements of the set $\{\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^{j\alpha}\}_{\mathbf{k} \in \hat{\mathcal{U}}}$, the number of elements of $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$ that are wavevectors of *linearly-independent* lattice wave contributions to $\psi^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}$ must be N_c - the number of bulk cells.

After forming set $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$, by imposing the constraints on \mathcal{U} implied by the boundary conditions, we have

$$\psi^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}(t) = \sigma \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \hat{\mathcal{U}}} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\omega \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^{j\alpha}(\omega) e^{-i\omega t}. \quad (5)$$

For any set of boundary conditions, time-reversal symmetry implies that $-\mathbf{k} \in \hat{\mathcal{U}}$ if and only if $\mathbf{k} \in \hat{\mathcal{U}}$. Therefore, with $\sum_{\{\mathbf{k}, \bar{\mathbf{k}}\}}$ denoting the sum over all *distinct* pairs $\{\mathbf{k}, -\mathbf{k}\}$ for which $\mathbf{k} \in \hat{\mathcal{U}}$, and using $\psi^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha*} = \psi^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^{j\alpha*}(\omega) = \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^{j\alpha}(-\omega)$, Eq. 5 can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}(t) &= \sigma \sum_{\{\mathbf{k}, \bar{\mathbf{k}}\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} d\omega \left[\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^{j\alpha}(\omega) e^{i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R} - \omega t)} + \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^{j\alpha}(-\omega) e^{i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R} + \omega t)} + \tilde{\psi}_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}}^{j\alpha}(\omega) e^{-i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R} + \omega t)} + \tilde{\psi}_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}}^{j\alpha}(-\omega) e^{-i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R} - \omega t)} \right] \\ &= \psi^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha*}(t) = \sigma \sum_{\{\mathbf{k}, \bar{\mathbf{k}}\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} d\omega \left[\left(\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^{j\alpha}(\omega) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}} + \tilde{\psi}_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}}^{j\alpha}(\omega) e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}} \right) e^{-i\omega t} + \left(\tilde{\psi}_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}}^{j\alpha*}(\omega) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}} + \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^{j\alpha*}(\omega) e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}} \right) e^{i\omega t} \right] \\ \implies \dot{\psi}^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}(t) &= -i\sigma \sum_{\{\mathbf{k}, \bar{\mathbf{k}}\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} d\omega \omega \left[\left(\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^{j\alpha}(\omega) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}} + \tilde{\psi}_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}}^{j\alpha}(\omega) e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}} \right) e^{-i\omega t} - \left(\tilde{\psi}_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}}^{j\alpha*}(\omega) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}} + \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^{j\alpha*}(\omega) e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}} \right) e^{i\omega t} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if the boundary conditions imply that $\psi^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}$ is independent of t at one or more bulk lattice vectors, \mathbf{R} , then

$\dot{\psi}^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}$ must vanish at those lattice vectors at all times t . This implies that the coefficients of $e^{-i\omega t}$ and $e^{i\omega t}$ in the

integrand must both vanish, which can only be true at all times if $\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^{j\alpha*}(\omega) = \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^{j\alpha}(\omega)$. It is straightforward to show that $\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^{j\alpha*}(\omega)$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^{j\alpha}(\omega)$ would also be equal if $\psi^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}$ or a higher-order time derivative of $\psi^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}$ was independent of time.

If $\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^{j\alpha}(\omega)$ is expressed in the polar form

$$\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^{j\alpha}(\omega) = \left| \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^{j\alpha}(\omega) \right| \exp\left(i\phi_{\mathbf{k}\omega}^{j\alpha}\right),$$

where $\phi_{\mathbf{k}\omega}^{j\alpha}$ is a constant, then $\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^{j\alpha*}(\omega) = \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^{j\alpha}(\omega)$ implies that, under either the closed boundary conditions of Eq. 2 or the open boundary conditions of Eq. 3, $\phi_{\mathbf{k}\omega}^{j\alpha} = -\phi_{\mathbf{k}\omega}^{j\alpha}$ and $\left| \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^{j\alpha*}(\omega) \right| = \left| \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^{j\alpha}(\omega) \right|$. Therefore, Eq. 5 can be expressed as

$$\psi^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}(t) = 4\sigma \sum_{\{\mathbf{k}, \bar{\mathbf{k}}\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} d\omega \left| \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^{j\alpha}(\omega) \right| \cos(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R} + \phi_{\mathbf{k}\omega}^{j\alpha}) \cos \omega t = 4\sigma \sum_{\{\mathbf{k}, \bar{\mathbf{k}}\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} d\omega \left| \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^{j\alpha}(\omega) \right| \cos(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}) \cos(\omega t + \phi_{\mathbf{k}\omega}^{j\alpha}), \quad (6)$$

where, to reach this expression, we have either assumed that $\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{0}}^{j\alpha}(\omega) = 0$, for all $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^+$, or we have redefined $\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{0}}^{j\alpha}$ to correct for the factor of two that arose when $\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}}$ was replaced by $\sum_{\{\mathbf{k}, \bar{\mathbf{k}}\}}$. Equation 6 implies that the wave with wavevector \mathbf{k} and frequency ω is a standing

wave, and that it is the same standing wave as the one with wavevector $-\mathbf{k}$ and frequency ω .

Under periodic boundary conditions, it is not true that $\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^{j\alpha*} = \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^{j\alpha}$, in general. Therefore,

$$\psi^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} = 2\sigma \sum_{\{\mathbf{k}, \bar{\mathbf{k}}\} \subset \hat{\mathcal{O}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} d\omega \left[\left| \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^{j\alpha}(\omega) \right| \cos(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R} - \omega t + \phi_{\mathbf{k}\omega}^{j\alpha}) + \left| \tilde{\psi}_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}}^{j\alpha}(\omega) \right| \cos(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R} + \omega t + \phi_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\omega}^{j\alpha}) \right], \quad (7)$$

which means that, for any given positive frequency ω , the contributions to $\psi^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}$ from any wavevector \mathbf{k} and its negative, $-\mathbf{k}$, are counter-propagating travelling waves whose amplitudes and phases differ, in general.

Note that the term $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0}$ is independent of \mathbf{R} and can be expressed as $2\sigma \left| \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{0}}^{j\alpha}(\omega) \right| \cos(\omega t + \phi_{\mathbf{0}\omega}^{j\alpha})$. This term describes a sinusoidally-varying relative displacement of the sublattice of atoms j along primitive lattice vector \mathbf{a}_α , and it can play important roles during phase transitions of the crystal.

From now on I will assume that the crystal is finite and bounded. Therefore, since not all elements of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ identify different contributions to $\psi^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}$, $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\min}$ will denote the subset of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ containing only one wavevector from each pair $\{\mathbf{k}, -\mathbf{k}\} \subset \hat{\mathcal{O}}$. The number of elements of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\min}$ is N_c and the number of elements of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ is $2N_c - 1$, rather than $2N_c$, because $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0}$ only appears once in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$.

Although we will not be using periodic boundary conditions, and it is not strictly necessary, it will often be useful to keep the complex exponential representation of waves and to use set $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ rather than set $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\min}$. In other words, I will sometimes describe the crystal's structure using more wavevectors than are necessary, by including terms referenced to the negatives of wavevec-

tors in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\min}$ in its description. To facilitate this, let $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_- \equiv \{-\mathbf{k} : \mathbf{k} \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\min}, |\mathbf{k}| > 0\}$ and let $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_\pm \equiv \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\min} \cup \hat{\mathcal{O}}_-$. From now on, $\sum_{\mathbf{k}}$ will denote a sum over all $\mathbf{k} \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_\pm$, but with the $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0}$ term appearing *twice* in the sum. Therefore, there are $2N_c$ terms in $\sum_{\mathbf{k}}$. The sum $\sum_{\{\mathbf{k}, \bar{\mathbf{k}}\}}$ is equivalent to a sum over all $\mathbf{k} \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\min}$.

Finally, note that when the integral over $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ was discretized, the expression for $\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^{j\alpha}(\omega)$ in terms of $\psi^{\mathbf{R}}(t)$ acquired a factor of N_c^{-1} and lost a factor of σ^3 , to become

$$\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^{j\alpha}(\omega) = \frac{\sigma}{N_c} \sum_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dt \psi^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}(t) e^{-i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R} - \omega t)}. \quad (8)$$

In Sec. II.F.2, when we perform an analogous discretization of the integral over time, the origin of this factor will become clear.

C. Vector notation

Appendix B contains a discussion of vectors, metrics, metric duals of vectors, and products of vectors, as well as a more detailed explanation of the vector notation used in this work. Here I briefly describe this notation.

Boldface type (e.g., \mathbf{u}) is used to denote a vector in \mathbb{R}^3 or \mathbb{C}^3 ; $|u\rangle$ denotes a vector in \mathbb{R}^{3N} or \mathbb{C}^{3N} ; and $|u\rangle$

denotes a vector in \mathbb{R}^{3NN_c} or \mathbb{C}^{3NN_c} . The metric duals of the vectors \mathbf{u} , $|u\rangle$, and $|u\rangle$ are denoted by \mathbf{u}^\dagger , $\langle u|$, and $\langle u|$, respectively.

In \mathbb{C}^{3N} the dual of $|u\rangle$ is the operator $\langle u| : \mathbb{C}^{3N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; |v\rangle \mapsto \langle u||v\rangle \equiv \langle u|v\rangle$; and in \mathbb{C}^{3NN_c} we have $\langle u| : \mathbb{C}^{3NN_c} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; |v\rangle \mapsto \langle u||v\rangle \equiv \langle u|v\rangle$. I will sometimes use \dagger to denote the dualizing operation in higher dimensions; for example $|u\rangle^\dagger \equiv \langle u|$.

The inner product of two vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 is denoted by $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} \equiv g(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$, where g denotes the Euclidean metric. The inner product in \mathbb{C}^3 is $\eta(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \equiv \text{Re}\{\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}\} = \frac{1}{2} [\mathbf{u}^* \cdot \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}^* \cdot \mathbf{u}]$. In spaces of dimensions $3N$ and $3NN_c$ the inner products are denoted by $\eta(|u\rangle, |v\rangle)$ and $\eta(|u\rangle, |v\rangle)$, respectively, where, for example, $\eta(|u\rangle, |v\rangle) \equiv \text{Re}\{\langle u|v\rangle\} = \frac{1}{2} [\langle u|v\rangle + \langle v|u\rangle]$. As discussed in Appendix B, $\langle u|v\rangle$ denotes a hybrid of an inner product and a *Clifford product* (Doran and Lasenby, 2007; Hestenes, 1966).

1. Vectors specifying the crystal's structure

In every vector space, $g_{\alpha\beta}$ will denote $\mathbf{a}_\alpha \cdot \mathbf{a}_\beta \equiv g(\mathbf{a}_\alpha, \mathbf{a}_\beta)$, where \mathbf{a}_α and \mathbf{a}_β are lattice vectors.

Let $\psi^{\mathbf{R}j}(t) \equiv \sum_\alpha \psi^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}(t) \mathbf{a}_\alpha$ denote the $\sqrt{\text{mass}}$ -weighted displacement of atom $\mathbf{R}j$ from equilibrium at time t and let the state of cell $\Omega_{\mathbf{R}}$ at time t be specified by

$$|\psi^{\mathbf{R}}(t)\rangle \equiv \sum_{j\alpha} \psi^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}(t) |j\alpha\rangle,$$

where the inner product of the (real) basis vectors $|j\alpha\rangle$ and $|i\beta\rangle$ is $\langle j\alpha|i\beta\rangle = \delta_{ij} \delta_{\alpha\beta}$.

The state, or microstructure, of the entire crystal at time t can be specified by

$$|\psi(t)\rangle \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} \psi^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}(t) |\mathbf{R}j\alpha\rangle \in \mathbb{R}^{3NN_c},$$

where $\langle \mathbf{R}j\alpha | \mathbf{R}'i\beta \rangle = \delta_{\mathbf{R}\mathbf{R}'} \delta_{ij} \delta_{\alpha\beta}$.

I will denote the vectors in \mathbb{C}^3 and \mathbb{C}^{3N} whose components are the Fourier transforms, $\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^{j\alpha}(\omega)$, of the components, $\psi^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}(t)$, of $\psi^{\mathbf{R}j}(t)$ and $|\psi^{\mathbf{R}}(t)\rangle$, by $\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^j(\omega)$ and $|\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega)\rangle$, respectively. Therefore Eq. 5 can also be expressed in the following forms:

$$|\psi^{\mathbf{R}}(t)\rangle = \sigma \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\omega |\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega)\rangle e^{i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R} - \omega t)} \quad (9)$$

$$= \sigma \sum_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} d\omega \left[e^{-i\omega t} |\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega)\rangle + e^{i\omega t} |\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^*(\omega)\rangle \right]. \quad (10)$$

D. Eigenvectors, cell eigenvectors, and polarization vectors

In Sec. II.D.1, as is traditional, I will begin the development of phonon theory by Taylor expanding the potential energy in atomic displacements from equilibrium; retaining only the lowest-order non-vanishing and non-trivial terms, which are those at second order; and using the second derivatives with respect to atomic positions to define a matrix whose eigenvectors are the crystal's *normal mode eigenvectors*.

However, almost all of the theory that follows, except that which is presented in Secs. II.D.1 and II.D.5, can be derived without any discussion of energetics. It applies equally if the set of $3NN_c$ eigenvectors, $\{|E_\mu\rangle\}_{\mu=1}^{3NN_c}$, is not the set of normal mode eigenvectors, but a complete orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^{3NN_c} , which is arbitrary apart from the requirement that its lattice components respect the periodicity of the crystal's bulk, in the sense described at the beginning of Sec. II.D.3.

For familiarity, I will use the term *eigenvector* to refer to an element of such a basis. Doing so is not a mathematical crime because each element is an eigenvector of any operator of the form $\sum_{\mu=1}^{3NN_c} \xi_\mu |E_\mu\rangle \langle E_\mu|$, for any set of $3NN_c$ scalars, ξ_μ . I will also use language that suggests that the eigenvectors are normal mode eigenvectors and that the corresponding eigenvalues are the normal mode frequencies. However, it is to be understood that the theory has greater generality.

Pointing out its generality is important, because it helps to clarify which aspects of phonon theory are determined by energetics, and which are mathematical artefacts of a crystal's structure and symmetry.

1. Normal mode eigenvectors

For a given set of initial conditions, the dynamics of the crystal in the $T \rightarrow 0$ limit are defined by the set of normal mode eigenvectors and their frequencies $\{\omega_\mu\}_{\mu=1}^{3NN_c}$. Initial conditions determine the phases of the lattice waves at $t = 0$ and their amplitudes. I will denote the set of projections of the normal mode eigenvectors onto the $3NN_c$ -dimensional vector subspace whose elements specify structures of the crystal's *bulk* by $\{|E_\mu\rangle\}_{\mu=1}^{3NN_c}$.

By doing this, I am cheating: There are more than $3NN_c$ normal modes, because the crystal has more than $3NN_c$ degrees of freedom when atoms outside the bulk are counted. There are ways to mitigate this problem, such as by expressing the vector space spanned by the true eigenvectors as a direct sum or direct product of a 'surface' vector space and the bulk vector space \mathbb{R}^{3NN_c} , and transforming the set of eigenvectors into the union of a bulk basis and a surface basis, in some optimal way. The optimal transformation will depend on the boundary conditions and I do not discuss it further because I have not explored it further. However, it is important to

remember that these gaps exist in the logic of this work, and in the mathematical infrastructure assembled within it.

At small finite T it can be useful to approximate the crystal's dynamics as a superposition of harmonic oscillations along the normal mode eigenvectors, but this approximation becomes less accurate as T increases. However, because the set of eigenvectors is a complete basis of the crystal's $3NN_c$ -dimensional configuration space, it is always mathematically possible to express the instantaneous structure of the crystal, relative to its structure in the $T \rightarrow 0$ limit, as a superposition of normal mode eigenvectors. In this section I show how to use the periodicity of the crystal's bulk to express the structure of each bulk primitive cell $\Omega_{\mathbf{R}}$ in a basis of *cell eigenvectors*, where each cell eigenvector is parallel to the projection of a different crystal eigenvector onto the $3N$ -dimensional subspace of \mathbb{R}^{3NN_c} spanned by $\{|\mathbf{R}j\alpha\rangle : j \in \{1, \dots, N\}, \alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}\}$.

In the low T limit the equations of motion of the atoms can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} m_j \ddot{u}^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}(t) &= - \sum_{\mathbf{R}'i\beta} H_{\mathbf{R}'i\beta}^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} u^{\mathbf{R}'i\beta}(t) \\ \implies \ddot{\psi}^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} &= - \sum_{\mathbf{R}'i\beta} D_{\mathbf{R}'i\beta}^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} \psi^{\mathbf{R}'i\beta}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where $H_{\mathbf{R}'i\beta}^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} \equiv \partial^2 U / \partial u^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} \partial u^{\mathbf{R}'i\beta} \big|_{\mathbf{u}=0}$ is an element of the crystal's lattice-coordinate Hessian matrix evaluated at mechanical equilibrium; U is the potential energy; and $D_{\mathbf{R}'i\beta}^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} \equiv H_{\mathbf{R}'i\beta}^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} / \sqrt{m_i m_j}$.

Defining $\mathbf{D} \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{R}\mathbf{R}'} \sum_{j\alpha} D_{\mathbf{R}'i\beta}^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} |\mathbf{R}j\alpha\rangle \langle \mathbf{R}'i\beta|$, allows Eq. 11 to be expressed as $|\ddot{\psi}\rangle = -\mathbf{D}|\psi\rangle$. Then, using the fact that $|\ddot{\psi}\rangle = -\omega_\mu^2 |\psi\rangle$ if and only if $|\psi\rangle \propto |E_\mu\rangle$, Eq. 11 leads to the equation $\mathbf{D}|E_\mu\rangle = \omega_\mu^2 |E_\mu\rangle$, whose solutions are the eigenvectors. By defining the lattice components $E_\mu^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} \equiv \langle \mathbf{R}j\alpha | E_\mu \rangle$ of eigenvector $|E_\mu\rangle$, it can be expressed as $|E_\mu\rangle \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} E_\mu^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} |\mathbf{R}j\alpha\rangle$, and the eigenvalue equation can also be expressed as

$$\sum_{\mathbf{R}'i\beta} D_{\mathbf{R}'i\beta}^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} E_\mu^{\mathbf{R}'i\beta} = \omega_\mu^2 E_\mu^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}. \quad (12)$$

2. Arbitrary 'eigenvector' basis

It will be convenient to express the lattice components of $|E_\mu\rangle$ in the Fourier-expanded form

$$E_\mu^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N_c}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}} \quad (13)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N_c}} \sum_{\{\mathbf{k}, \bar{\mathbf{k}}\}} \left[\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}} + \epsilon_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu}^{j\alpha} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}} \right] \quad (14)$$

where $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}$. If we multiply both sides of Eq. 13 by $\cos(\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{R}) = \frac{1}{2}(e^{i\mathbf{k}'\cdot\mathbf{R}} + e^{-i\mathbf{k}'\cdot\mathbf{R}}) \in \mathbb{R}$ and sum over all \mathbf{R} we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{R}} E_\mu^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} \cos(\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{R}) &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2N_c}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha} \left[\sum_{\mathbf{R}} e^{i(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{k}')\cdot\mathbf{R}} + \sum_{\mathbf{R}} e^{i(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}')\cdot\mathbf{R}} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{N_c}{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha} (\delta_{\mathbf{k}\bar{\mathbf{k}}'} + \delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}}') = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{N_c}{2}} (\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha} + \epsilon_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu}^{j\alpha}), \end{aligned}$$

and, since $E_\mu^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}$ and $\cos(\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{R})$ are real for all \mathbf{R} , $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}'\mu}^{j\alpha} + \epsilon_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}'\mu}^{j\alpha}$ must be real. Therefore $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha*} = \epsilon_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu}^{j\alpha}$, for all $\mathbf{k} \in \hat{\mathcal{U}}_{\min}$. It follows that, if the argument of $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha}$ is denoted by $\vartheta_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha}$, Eq. 14 can be expressed as

$$E_\mu^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{N_c}} \sum_{\{\mathbf{k}, \bar{\mathbf{k}}\}} |\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha}| \cos(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R} + \vartheta_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha}).$$

3. Eigenvector symmetry

Let $r_\mu^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}(\Delta\mathbf{R}) \equiv E_\mu^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} / E_\mu^{\mathbf{R}+\Delta\mathbf{R}j\alpha}$ denote the ratio of the eigenvector coordinates of atoms $\mathbf{R}j\alpha$ and $\mathbf{R} + \Delta\mathbf{R}j\alpha$. Then,

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}} = r_\mu^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}(\Delta\mathbf{R}) \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{R}+\Delta\mathbf{R})}, \quad (15)$$

and, if we assume that $r_\mu^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}(\Delta\mathbf{R})$ is approximately independent of \mathbf{R} within the crystal's bulk, we can multiply both sides by $e^{-i\mathbf{k}'\cdot\mathbf{R}}$, sum over \mathbf{R} , and use orthogonality to find

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha} [1 - r_\mu^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}(\Delta\mathbf{R}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\Delta\mathbf{R}}] (\delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} + \delta_{\mathbf{k}\bar{\mathbf{k}}'}) &= 0 \\ \implies |\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha}| \left[\cos \vartheta_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha} - r_\mu^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}(\Delta\mathbf{R}) \cos(\mathbf{k} \cdot \Delta\mathbf{R} + \vartheta_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha}) \right] &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This means that, for every $\mathbf{k} \in \hat{\mathcal{U}}_{\min}$, either $|\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha}| = 0$, or $r_\mu^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}(\Delta\mathbf{R}) = \cos \vartheta_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha} / \cos(\mathbf{k} \cdot \Delta\mathbf{R} + \vartheta_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha})$ or both. However, $r_\mu^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}$ has a mode (μ) dependence but no explicit wavevector dependence. Therefore the fact that, when $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha}$ does not vanish, $r_\mu^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}$ depends on the wavevector \mathbf{k} that labels $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha}$, implies that only one wavevector pair $\{\mathbf{k}, \bar{\mathbf{k}}\}$ contributes to each eigenvector $|E_\mu\rangle$.

From this point forward, each mode will be labelled by a pair of indices, $\mathbf{k}\mu$, where μ is now an index that runs over all modes whose wavevector pair is $\{\mathbf{k}, \bar{\mathbf{k}}\}$. For example, the frequency and eigenvector of mode $\mathbf{k}\mu$ will be denoted by $\omega_{\mathbf{k}\mu}$ and $|E_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} E_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} |\mathbf{R}j\alpha\rangle$, respectively, where

$$E_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N_c}} (\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}} + \epsilon_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu}^{j\alpha} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}}) = E_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu}^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}. \quad (16)$$

As expected from the discussion in Sec. A.1, the eigenvectors of modes $\mathbf{k}\mu$ and $\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu$ are the same ($|E_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle = |E_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu}\rangle$), because $\mathbf{k}\mu$ and $\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu$ are different labels for the same mode. Obviously their eigenvalues are also equal, i.e., $\omega_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^2 = \omega_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu}^2$. Therefore, when working with *normal modes* of the crystal, there is no reason to distinguish between modes $\mathbf{k}\mu$ and $\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu$, because each normal mode is a standing wave comprised of a counter-propagating pair of travelling waves whose amplitudes are equal.

In the $T \rightarrow 0$ limit, we do not need to decompose the standing wave into a pair of counterpropagating waves labelled by $\mathbf{k}\mu$ and $\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu$, but at finite temperature this decomposition is useful because finite-temperature phonons are not standing waves but travelling wave packets, which have a propagation direction. Therefore, in Sec. II.E, we will distinguish between them.

I will refer to μ as the *branch index* or *band index* and I will refer to the quasiconnected set of points $\{(\mathbf{k}, \omega_{\mathbf{k}\mu}) : \mathbf{k} \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}\}$ as the μ^{th} band. Note that $E_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}$ can also be expressed in the form

$$E_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{N_c}} |\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha}| \cos(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R} + \vartheta_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha}) = E_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu}^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} \quad (17)$$

The potential energy, U , is a smooth real function of

atomic positions. Therefore, $D_{\mathbf{R}'i\beta}^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} = D_{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}^{\mathbf{R}'i\beta}$ for all pairs of components, $\mathbf{R}j\alpha$ and $\mathbf{R}'i\beta$. It follows that \mathbf{D} is Hermitian (symmetric, in fact) and that any two eigenvectors, $|E_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle$ and $|E_{\mathbf{k}'\nu}\rangle$, with different eigenvalues, $\omega_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^2$ and $\omega_{\mathbf{k}'\nu}^2 \neq \omega_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^2$, are orthogonal. Multiple eigenvectors can only have precisely the same eigenvalues if they are equivalent by symmetry. When this is the case, they can be chosen to be orthogonal. Therefore I choose the set of eigenvectors to be orthonormal. By this I mean that $(E_{\mathbf{k}\mu}|E_{\mathbf{k}\mu}) = (E_{\mathbf{k}\mu}|E_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu}) = 1$ and that if $\mu \neq \nu$ or if $\mathbf{k}' \notin \{\mathbf{k}, \bar{\mathbf{k}}\}$, then $(E_{\mathbf{k}\mu}|E_{\mathbf{k}'\nu}) = 0$. We can express this, as usual, in the form

$$(E_{\mathbf{k}\mu}|E_{\mathbf{k}'\nu}) = \sum_{\mathbf{R}j} \sum_{\alpha\beta} E_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha*} g_{\alpha\beta} E_{\mathbf{k}'\nu}^{\mathbf{R}i\beta} = \delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} \delta_{\mu\nu}. \quad (18)$$

For each pair $\mathbf{k}\mu$ that labels a wavevector and a branch, let us define a vector $|\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle \equiv \sum_{j\alpha} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha} |j\alpha\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^{3N}$. The inner product of two such vectors is

$$\langle \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu} | \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}'\nu} \rangle = \sum_{j\alpha\beta} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha*} g_{\alpha\beta} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}'\nu}^{j\beta} = \langle \epsilon_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}'\nu} | \epsilon_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu} \rangle.$$

Therefore, inserting Eq. 16 into Eq. 18 and using orthogonality relations gives

$$\begin{aligned} (E_{\mathbf{k}\mu}|E_{\mathbf{k}'\nu}) &= \frac{1}{2N_c} \sum_{\mathbf{R}} \left[\langle \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu} | \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}'\nu} \rangle e^{i(\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{R}} + \langle \epsilon_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu} | \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}'\nu} \rangle e^{i(\mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{R}} + \langle \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu} | \epsilon_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}'\nu} \rangle e^{-i(\mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{R}} + \langle \epsilon_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu} | \epsilon_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}'\nu} \rangle e^{-i(\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{R}} \right], \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [\langle \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu} | \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}'\nu} \rangle \delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} + \langle \epsilon_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu} | \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}'\nu} \rangle \delta_{\mathbf{k}\bar{\mathbf{k}}'} + \langle \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu} | \epsilon_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}'\nu} \rangle \delta_{\mathbf{k}\bar{\mathbf{k}}'} + \langle \epsilon_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu} | \epsilon_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}'\nu} \rangle \delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}] = \delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} \delta_{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

If we use $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha*} = \epsilon_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu}^{j\alpha} \implies |\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle^\dagger = \langle \epsilon_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu}|$, which leads to $\langle \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu} | \epsilon_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}'\nu} \rangle = \langle \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}'\nu} | \epsilon_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu} \rangle$ and similar expressions, we can simplify this to the form

$$\langle \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu} | \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}'\nu} \rangle \delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} + \langle \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu} | \epsilon_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}'\nu} \rangle \delta_{\mathbf{k}\bar{\mathbf{k}}'} = \delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} \delta_{\mu\nu}.$$

Now $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}'$ implies that $\mathbf{k} \neq \bar{\mathbf{k}}'$ and we are left with

$$\langle \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu} | \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\nu} \rangle = \delta_{\mu\nu}. \quad (19)$$

Equation 19 means that the set of all vectors $|\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle$ at the same wavevector \mathbf{k} is an orthonormal set.

4. Constraints of symmetry and the number of DOFs

Given a mode $\mathbf{k}\mu$, and values of j and α , the function $E_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{\square j\alpha} : \mathfrak{B}_{\text{bulk}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; \mathbf{R} \mapsto E_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}$ assigns a real number to each of the N_c elements of $\mathfrak{B}_{\text{bulk}}$; and the function $E_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{\square i\beta}$, where $i\beta \neq j\alpha$, assigns a different set of N_c real numbers to $\mathfrak{B}_{\text{bulk}}$. Therefore $|E_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle$ is defined by the set of

$3N$ functions, $\{E_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{\square j\alpha} : 1 \leq j \leq N, \alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}\}$, each of which assigns N_c real numbers to $\mathfrak{B}_{\text{bulk}}$.

Equation 16 implies that if the function $\epsilon_{\square\mu}^{j\alpha} : \hat{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; \mathbf{k} \mapsto \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha}$ is known, the function $E_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{\square j\alpha}$ can be calculated. Therefore the information possessed by the function $\epsilon_{\square\mu}^{j\alpha}$ must be equivalent to the information possessed by the function $E_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{\square j\alpha}$, which means that $\epsilon_{\square\mu}^{j\alpha}$ must assign exactly N_c real numbers to the set $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\text{min}}$.

However Eq. 17 suggests that, if each $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha}$ is complex, the function $\epsilon_{\square\mu}^{j\alpha}$ assigns *two* numbers, $|\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha}|$ and $\vartheta_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha}$, for every one of the N_c numbers, $\{E_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} : \mathbf{R} \in \mathfrak{B}_{\text{bulk}}\}$, assigned by $E_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{\square j\alpha}$. However, $|\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha}|$ is positive, so this discrepancy is resolved if $\vartheta_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha} \in \{0, \pi\}$, which implies that $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha}$ is real. Therefore, from Eq. 17, we find that

$$E_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} = \pm \sqrt{\frac{2}{N_c}} |\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha}| \cos(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}) = E_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu}^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}. \quad (20)$$

Although this discussion establishes that each $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha}$ is real, it will be useful in later versions of this work to assume that it is complex.

Since there must be $3NN_c$ independent degrees of freedom, or *eigenvectors*, and since $3NN_c$ real numbers are required to express each one in terms of the displacements from equilibrium, the set of all $E_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}$ must consist of $(3NN_c)^2$ real numbers. Therefore, there must be $3N$ modes $\mathbf{k}\mu$ for each of the N_c elements \mathbf{k} of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$.

5. Dynamical matrix

Another way to see that there are exactly $3N$ modes whose wavevector is \mathbf{k} , which means that the total number of modes is $3NN_c$, is to take the more traditional energetic route. This involves showing that when $\{|E_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle\}$ is the set of normal mode eigenvectors, $\{|\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle\}_{\mu=1}^{3N}$ is the set of eigenvectors of a Hermitian operator on a $3N$ -dimensional vector space. This implies that it is either an orthogonal set or can be made orthogonal, which makes the proof of Eq. 19 redundant.

When studying vibrations in the bulk of the crystal, the dimensionality of the problem can be reduced from $3NN_c$ to $3N$ by expressing Eq. 12 as a set of N_c equations, with one equation for each primitive cell \mathbf{R} . Then, because all bulk cells are identical in the $T \rightarrow 0$ limit, or when averaged over time, for most purposes it is only necessary to study a single representative bulk cell. To achieve this simplification, Eq. 16 can be inserted into Eq. 12, with $\mathbf{R}' \equiv \mathbf{R} + \Delta\mathbf{R}$, to give

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i\beta} \sum_{\Delta\mathbf{R}} D_{\mathbf{R}+\Delta\mathbf{R}i\beta}^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} \left(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{i\beta} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{R}+\Delta\mathbf{R})} + \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{i\beta} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{R}+\Delta\mathbf{R})} \right) \\ = \sum_{i\beta} \langle j\alpha | \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{R}) | i\beta \rangle \left(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{i\beta} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}} + \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{i\beta} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}} \right) \\ = \omega_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^2 \left(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{i\beta} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}} + \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{i\beta} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where simplification has been achieved by replacing the sum over $\Delta\mathbf{R}$ of one of the exponential terms on the first line by a sum over $-\Delta\mathbf{R}$, and where the Hermitian operator $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{R}) : \mathbb{R}^{3N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3N}$ is defined as the operator whose matrix elements are

$$\langle j\alpha | \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{R}) | i\beta \rangle \equiv \sum_{\Delta\mathbf{R}} \left(D_{\mathbf{R}+\Delta\mathbf{R}i\beta}^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} + D_{\mathbf{R}-\Delta\mathbf{R}i\beta}^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} \right) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\Delta\mathbf{R}}.$$

Using the orthogonality of $e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}}$ and $e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}}$ as functions of \mathbf{R} , Equation 21 can be recast into the form

$$\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{R}) |\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle = \omega_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^2 |\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle,$$

which has exactly $3N$ solutions $|\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle$ of unit norm that differ from one another by more than phase factor. Therefore, $|\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle$ and $|\epsilon_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu}\rangle$ must differ only by a phase, which implies that $\vartheta_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha}$ is independent of both j and α

and we will denote it by $\vartheta_{\mathbf{k}\mu} = -\vartheta_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu}$. Having already assumed that all bulk cells are equivalent, we can ignore the dependences of $D_{\mathbf{R}+\Delta\mathbf{R}i\beta}^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{R})$ on \mathbf{R} and regard each solution $|\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle$ as independent of \mathbf{R} in the bulk.

6. Cell eigenvectors

There is a different set of $3N$ solutions for each pair $\{\mathbf{k}, \bar{\mathbf{k}}\} \subset \hat{\mathcal{O}}$. Now, because the phase of each component $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha}$ of $|\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle$ is the same, it is simple to define a real vector

$$|\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle \equiv \sum_{j\alpha} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha} |j\alpha\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [|\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle + |\epsilon_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu}\rangle] \in \mathbb{R}^{3N},$$

which can easily be shown to satisfy the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} |\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle &= |\varepsilon_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu}\rangle \\ \langle \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu} | \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}\nu} \rangle &= \delta_{\mu\nu} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{R}) |\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle &= \omega_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^2 |\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Each vector $|\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle$ specifies how atoms in a single primitive cell of the bulk move when only mode $\mathbf{k}\mu$ is active. The vectors $\{|\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle\}_{\mu=1}^{3N}$ are known as the (*primitive*) *cell eigenvectors*. It is important to note that, in general, and depending on the boundary conditions, their coordinates, $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha}$, cannot be used in place of $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha}$ in Eq. 16.

It is often useful to know each mode's pattern of displacements, rather than its pattern of weighted displacements. Therefore, let us define the real symmetric operator

$$\Upsilon \equiv \sum_{j\alpha i\beta} \sqrt{m_i} \delta_{ij} \delta_{\alpha\beta} |j\alpha\rangle \langle i\beta| = \sum_{j\alpha} \sqrt{m_j} |j\alpha\rangle \langle j\alpha|,$$

and denote its inverse by Υ^{-1} . The vector

$$|\pi_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{k}\mu} \pi_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha} |j\alpha\rangle \equiv \Upsilon^{-1} |\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle \in \mathbb{R}^{3N},$$

which is known as the *polarization vector* of mode $\mathbf{k}\mu$, specifies the pattern of unweighted displacements in the bulk of the crystal.

The set of eigenvalue equations can be used to deduce a set of equations whose solutions are the polarization vectors as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{R}) |\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle &= \omega_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^2 |\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle \\ \Rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{R}) \Upsilon \Upsilon^{-1} |\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle &= \omega_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^2 \Upsilon \Upsilon^{-1} |\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle \\ \therefore \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{R}) |\pi_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle &= \omega_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^2 |\pi_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{R}) \equiv \Upsilon^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{R}) \Upsilon$. Note that, in general, $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{R})$ is not symmetric or Hermitian and the polarization vectors are not mutually orthogonal. The orthonormality of the set $\{|\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle\}_{\mu=1}^{3N}$ leads directly to the generalized orthogonality relation

$$\langle \Upsilon \pi_{\mathbf{k}\mu} | \Upsilon \pi_{\mathbf{k}\nu} \rangle = \sum_{j\alpha\beta} m_j \pi_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha*} g_{\alpha\beta} \pi_{\mathbf{k}\nu}^{j\beta} = \delta_{\mu\nu}. \quad (23)$$

When the masses of all atoms are equal, the polarization vectors are mutually orthogonal and parallel to the cell eigenvectors.

Note that the normal mode eigenvectors $|E_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle$ are real, as are all of the other quantities mentioned in Sec. II.D, except complex exponentials and the vectors $|\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle$. However, we did not really need to introduce either those vectors or the complex exponentials in this section. We could have worked with real trigonometric functions instead. Therefore, so far, we have not really needed to work in complex vector spaces.

However, starting in Sec. III.B, it will become useful to work with complex vectors. Therefore, from this point forward each vector in \mathbb{R}^3 , \mathbb{R}^{3N} , or \mathbb{R}^{3NN_c} , should be regarded as a real-valued element of complex vector space $\mathbb{C}^3 \supset \mathbb{R}^3$, $\mathbb{C}^{3N} \supset \mathbb{R}^{3N}$, or $\mathbb{C}^{3NN_c} \supset \mathbb{R}^{3NN_c}$, respectively. For example, $|\psi^{\mathbf{R}}\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^{3N}$, $|E_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^{3NN_c}$ and $|\mathbf{R}j\alpha\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^{3NN_c}$. The domain and codomain of \mathbf{D} are also extended from \mathbb{R}^{3NN_c} to \mathbb{C}^{3NN_c} . The basis sets $\{\mathbf{a}_\alpha\}$, $\{|j\alpha\rangle\}$, and $\{|\mathbf{R}j\alpha\rangle\}$ of \mathbb{R}^3 , \mathbb{R}^{3N} , and \mathbb{R}^{3NN_c} , respectively, also span the complex counterparts \mathbb{C}^3 , \mathbb{C}^{3N} , and \mathbb{C}^{3NN_c} of these spaces.

E. Expressing structure in a basis of eigenvectors

We are finally ready to express the microstructure $|\psi\rangle$ of the crystal as a superposition of normal mode eigenvectors. I emphasize that doing so does not constitute an approximation, because the eigenvectors are a complete basis set. Therefore, let

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{\{\mathbf{k}, \bar{\mathbf{k}}\}} \sum_{\mu} Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu} |E_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\mu} Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu} |E_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle, \quad (24)$$

where the coefficient $Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu} = Q_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu}$ is known as the *normal mode coordinate* of mode $\mathbf{k}\mu$; and, to reach the second expression, I used the fact that $|E_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu}\rangle = |E_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle$. The normal mode coordinate is defined as $Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu} \equiv \langle E_{\mathbf{k}\mu} | \psi \rangle$. Therefore, using Eq. 16 it can be expressed as

$$Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N_c}} \sum_{\mathbf{R}} [\langle \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu} | \psi^{\mathbf{R}} \rangle e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}} + \langle \epsilon_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu} | \psi^{\mathbf{R}} \rangle e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}}] \quad (25)$$

or as

$$Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_c}} \sum_{\mathbf{R}} |\langle \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu} | \psi^{\mathbf{R}} \rangle| \cos(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R} + \vartheta_{\mathbf{k}\mu}). \quad (26)$$

Equation 24 is a simple mathematical statement. It states that the structure of a crystal can be expressed as a sum of vectors that are elements of a complete basis of \mathbb{R}^{3NN_c} , and that this basis can be chosen to be a basis of standing lattice waves $|E_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle$ which, deep within the bulk, are almost exactly parallel to the crystal's normal mode eigenvectors.

1. Time dependence of normal mode coordinates

In the $T \rightarrow 0$ limit, when the motion of the crystal's atoms is a superposition of harmonic motions along the normal mode eigenvectors, $Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(t)$ has the simple form $Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(t) = A_{\mathbf{k}\mu} \cos(\omega_{\mathbf{k}\mu}t + \theta_{\mathbf{k}\mu})$, where $A_{\mathbf{k}\mu}$ and $\theta_{\mathbf{k}\mu}$ are determined by initial conditions.

In general, at finite temperature the only constraints on the set of observable frequencies of motions of the crystal along $|E_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle$ are the two that will be discussed in Sec. II.F. Before those constraints have been imposed, the most general expression for $Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(t)$ as a superposition of motions with different frequencies is

$$Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(t) = \sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\omega \tilde{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(\omega) e^{-i\omega t} \quad (27)$$

$$= \sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} d\omega [\tilde{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(\omega) e^{-i\omega t} + \tilde{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(-\omega) e^{i\omega t}] \quad (28)$$

$$= 2\sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} d\omega \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \tilde{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(\omega) e^{-i\omega t} \right\} = Q_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu}(t),$$

where I have used the fact that, because $Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu} = Q_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu}$ is real, its FT with respect to time satisfies

$$\tilde{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^*(\omega) = \tilde{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(-\omega) = \tilde{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu}^*(\omega) = \tilde{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu}(-\omega).$$

We can express the time derivative of $Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}$ as

$$\dot{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(t) = -i\sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\omega \omega \tilde{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(\omega) e^{-i\omega t} \quad (29)$$

$$= -i\sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} d\omega \omega [\tilde{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(\omega) e^{-i\omega t} - \tilde{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^*(\omega) e^{i\omega t}]$$

$$= 2\sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} d\omega \omega \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \tilde{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(\omega) e^{-i\omega t} \right\}. \quad (30)$$

Therefore its Fourier transform is

$$\mathfrak{F}_t \left[\dot{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu} \right] (\omega) = -i\omega \tilde{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(\omega).$$

Let \hat{T} denote the time-reversal operator, which means that we can write the time reversed normal mode coordinate of mode $\mathbf{k}\mu$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{T} Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(t) &= Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(-t) = \sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\omega \tilde{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(-\omega) e^{-i\omega t} \\ \implies \mathfrak{F}_t \left[\hat{T} Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu} \right] (\omega) &= \tilde{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(-\omega) = \tilde{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^*(\omega). \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

The FT of $\hat{T} \dot{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{F}_t \left[\hat{T} \dot{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu} \right] (\omega) &= i\omega \tilde{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(-\omega) = i\omega \tilde{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^*(\omega) \\ &= \left(-i\omega \tilde{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu}(\omega) \right)^* = \left(\mathfrak{F}_t \left[\dot{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu} \right] (\omega) \right)^*. \end{aligned}$$

To find an expression for $\tilde{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(\omega)$, let us substitute Eq. 9 into Eq. 25, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(t) &= \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2N_c}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} \sum_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\omega \left[\langle \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu} | \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}'}(\omega) \rangle e^{i(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{k})\cdot\mathbf{R}} + \langle \epsilon_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu} | \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}'}(\omega) \rangle e^{i(\mathbf{k}'+\mathbf{k})\cdot\mathbf{R}} \right] e^{-i\omega t} \\
&= \sigma \sqrt{\frac{N_c}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\omega \left[\langle \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu} | \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) \rangle + \langle \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu} | \tilde{\psi}_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}}(\omega) \rangle + \langle \epsilon_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu} | \tilde{\psi}_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}}(\omega) \rangle + \langle \epsilon_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu} | \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) \rangle \right] e^{-i\omega t},
\end{aligned}$$

where I have simplified using orthogonality relations and $|\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^*(\omega)\rangle = |\tilde{\psi}_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}}(\bar{\omega})\rangle$. After replacing $(|\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle + |\epsilon_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu}\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ with $|\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle$ this becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(t) &= \sigma \sqrt{N_c} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\omega \left[\langle \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu} | \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) \rangle \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \langle \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu} | \tilde{\psi}_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}}(\omega) \rangle \right] e^{-i\omega t} \quad (32)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\tilde{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(\omega) = \sqrt{N_c} \left[\langle \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu} | \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) \rangle + \langle \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu} | \tilde{\psi}_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}}(\omega) \rangle \right] \quad (33)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{F}_t [\dot{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}] (\omega) &= -i\omega \sqrt{N_c} \left[\langle \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu} | \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) \rangle \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \langle \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu} | \tilde{\psi}_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}}(\omega) \rangle \right]. \quad (34)
\end{aligned}$$

F. Discretizing the time/frequency Fourier transform

Let \mathcal{T} denote the time for which the crystal is observed or simulated, and I will refer to the interval during which it is observed as the *observation interval*. Let us assume that, for each $\mathbf{k}\mu$, the function of time $Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(t)$ has been tapered smoothly and rapidly to zero at the boundaries of the observation interval.

1. Finite observation/simulation time

The finite observation time means that the smallest frequency that can be resolved is $|\mathrm{d}\omega| \equiv (\sigma^2 \mathcal{T})^{-1}$. This finite resolution has consequences when evaluating the time average $\langle e^{i\omega t} \rangle_t$ of $e^{i\omega t}$, when it appears in an integral over ω . When it is not in an integrand, we can simply note that the time average of a sinusoid vanishes unless its argument is zero, in which case its value and its time average are both one. However, when the average appears inside an integral, the finite frequency resolution can be accounted for by noting that, in the small- ω limit,

$\langle e^{i\omega t} \rangle_t$ can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle e^{i\omega t} \rangle_t &\equiv \frac{1}{\mathcal{T}} \int_{-\mathcal{T}/2}^{\mathcal{T}/2} (1 + i\omega t) dt \\
&= \begin{cases} 1, & \omega \in (-|\mathrm{d}\omega|/2, |\mathrm{d}\omega|/2), \\ 0, & \omega \notin (-|\mathrm{d}\omega|/2, |\mathrm{d}\omega|/2). \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{d}\omega \tilde{f}(\omega) \langle e^{i\omega t} \rangle_t = |\mathrm{d}\omega| \tilde{f}(\omega).$$

2. Finite time resolution

Now suppose that the smallest time interval that can be measured or simulated is $|\mathrm{d}t| = \mathcal{T}/N_t$, where $N_t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the largest frequency that can be measured or simulated is $\mathcal{W} = (\sigma^2 |\mathrm{d}t|)^{-1} = N_t/(\sigma^2 \mathcal{T}) = N_t |\mathrm{d}\omega|$. Therefore the numbers of frequencies and times that are sampled are equal.

Let us discretize the integral in the Fourier transform of $f(t)$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{f}(\omega) &= \sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) e^{i\omega t} dt = \sigma \frac{\mathcal{T}}{N_t} \sum_t f(t) e^{i\omega t} \\
&= \frac{1}{N_t \sigma |\mathrm{d}\omega|} \sum_t f(t) e^{i\omega t} \quad (35)
\end{aligned}$$

To clear away unnecessary constants, let the discrete transform of $f(t)$ be defined as $\hat{f}(\omega) \equiv \sigma |\mathrm{d}\omega| \tilde{f}(\omega)$, so that we have the discrete Fourier transform pair,

$$\hat{f}(\omega) = \frac{1}{N_t} \sum_t f(t) e^{i\omega t} \quad \text{and} \quad f(t) = \sum_{\omega} \hat{f}(\omega) e^{-i\omega t},$$

where the sums are over all sampled times and frequencies, respectively. In particular, let $\hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) \equiv \sigma |\mathrm{d}\omega| \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega)$ and let $\hat{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(\omega) \equiv \sigma |\mathrm{d}\omega| \tilde{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(\omega) = \sqrt{N_c} \langle \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu} | \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) \rangle$.

III. DISTRIBUTION OF KINETIC ENERGY IN RECIPROCAL SPACETIME

A. Kinetic energy expressed in of mode coordinates

The kinetic energy of the crystal is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{R}j\alpha\beta} \dot{\psi}^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha*} g_{\alpha\beta} \dot{\psi}^{\mathbf{R}j\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{R}j} \dot{\psi}^{\mathbf{R}j*} \cdot \dot{\psi}^{\mathbf{R}j} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{R}} \left\langle \dot{\psi}^{\mathbf{R}} \middle| \dot{\psi}^{\mathbf{R}} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle \dot{\psi} | \dot{\psi} \rangle\end{aligned}\quad (36)$$

By inserting Eq. 24 into Eq. 36, this can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{K}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\{\mathbf{k}, \bar{\mathbf{k}}\}} \sum_{\mu} \dot{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(t)^2, \quad (37)$$

which means that the time average of \mathcal{K} divided by the total number of bulk cells can be expressed as

$$\frac{\langle \mathcal{K} \rangle_t}{N_c} = \frac{1}{2N_c} \sum_{\{\mathbf{k}, \bar{\mathbf{k}}\}} \sum_{\mu} \left\langle \dot{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^2 \right\rangle_t = \frac{1}{4N_c} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\mu} \left\langle \dot{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^2 \right\rangle. \quad (38)$$

Then Eqs. 29 and Eq. 34 can be used to express $\left\langle \dot{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^2 \right\rangle_t$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle \dot{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^2 \right\rangle_t &\equiv \frac{1}{\mathcal{T}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dt \dot{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(t)^2 = -\frac{\sigma^2}{\mathcal{T}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\omega \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\omega' \omega \omega' \tilde{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(\omega) \tilde{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(\omega') \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i(\omega+\omega')t} dt = \frac{1}{\mathcal{T}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\omega \omega^2 \tilde{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(\omega) \tilde{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(-\omega) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{T}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\omega \omega^2 \tilde{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(\omega) \tilde{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^*(\omega) = \frac{2N_c}{\mathcal{T}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\omega \omega^2 \left[\left\langle \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) \middle| \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu} \right\rangle \left\langle \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu} \middle| \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) \right\rangle + \left\langle \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) \middle| \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu} \right\rangle \left\langle \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu} \middle| \tilde{\psi}_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}}(\omega) \right\rangle \right].\end{aligned}$$

After replacing ω by $-\omega$ in the integral of the second term in the parentheses, and using $\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^{j\alpha*}(\omega) = \tilde{\psi}_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}}^{j\alpha}(\omega) = \tilde{\psi}_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}}^{j\alpha}(-\omega)$, this becomes

$$\left\langle \dot{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^2 \right\rangle_t = \frac{4N_c}{\mathcal{T}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\omega \omega^2 \left\langle \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) \middle| \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu} \right\rangle \left\langle \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu} \middle| \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) \right\rangle$$

Then, since $\sum_{\mu} |\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle \langle \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}|$ is the identity in \mathbb{C}^{3N} , and $1/\mathcal{T} = \sigma^2 |\mathrm{d}\omega|$, the time-averaged kinetic energy per primitive unit cell can be expressed as

$$\frac{\langle \mathcal{K} \rangle_t}{N_c} = \sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\omega \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{k}, \omega),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) &\equiv \sigma |\mathrm{d}\omega| \omega^2 \left\langle \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) \middle| \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{\omega^2}{\sigma |\mathrm{d}\omega|} \left\langle \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^{j\alpha}(\omega) \middle| \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^{j\alpha}(\omega) \right\rangle.\end{aligned}\quad (39)$$

Since $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ vanishes at values of ω that are not integer multiples of $|\mathrm{d}\omega| \equiv 2\pi/\mathcal{T}$, we can replace the integral by a sum and express Eq. 39 as

$$\frac{\langle \mathcal{K}(t) \rangle_t}{N_c} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_{\omega} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{k}, \omega). \quad (40)$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) \equiv \omega^2 \left\langle \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) \middle| \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) \right\rangle. \quad (41)$$

Therefore $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ is the contribution of point (\mathbf{k}, ω) in reciprocal spacetime to the average kinetic energy per unit cell.

B. Expressing structure in a basis of complex waves

In the previous section we expressed structure in terms of a set of normal mode coordinates, $Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu} = Q_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu}$. However, at finite temperature each vibrational excitation does not involve the motion of every atom in the crystal. Therefore it has a finite size and is a travelling wave or, more accurately, a travelling wave packet. This makes it important to distinguish between the two counterpropagating waves, $\mathbf{k}\mu$ and $\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu$, that contribute to each standing wave $Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}|E_{\mathbf{k}\mu}$.

In this section I introduce the *complex mode coordinates* $\{Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\}$, for which $Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu} \neq Q_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu}$, in general. As their name suggests, and unlike the *normal mode coordinates* $Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}$, they are not real, in general. Therefore it is in this section that we truly begin to use complex vector spaces.

Let us begin with the definition

$$\mathfrak{E}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_c}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{j\alpha} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}} = \mathfrak{E}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha*} \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (42)$$

which implies that $E_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} = [\mathfrak{E}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} + \mathfrak{E}_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu}^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha}] / \sqrt{2}$. Now we can define the *complex mode eigenvector*, or simply *mode eigenvector*, of mode $\mathbf{k}\mu$ to be

$$|\mathfrak{E}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} \mathfrak{E}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} |\mathbf{R}j\alpha\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^{3NN_c}, \quad (43)$$

which implies the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}E_{\mathbf{k}\mu} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [|\mathfrak{E}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle + |\mathfrak{E}_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu}\rangle] \\ Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [(\mathfrak{E}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}|\psi) + (\mathfrak{E}_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu}|\psi)] = \sqrt{2} \eta(|\mathfrak{E}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle, |\psi\rangle)\end{aligned}$$

Note that $Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}$ is a factor of $\sqrt{2}$ larger than $\eta(|\mathfrak{E}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}), |\psi\rangle)$ because there are twice as many wavevectors contributing to the basis of complex mode eigenvectors as there are wavevector pairs contributing to the basis of normal mode eigenvectors.

Equation 24 can now be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi\rangle &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\mu} [(\mathfrak{E}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}|\psi) + (\mathfrak{E}_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu}|\psi)] [|\mathfrak{E}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle + |\mathfrak{E}_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu}\rangle] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\mu} [(\mathfrak{E}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}|\psi) + (\mathfrak{E}_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu}|\psi)] |\mathfrak{E}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}\mu} \eta(|\mathfrak{E}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}), |\psi\rangle) |\mathfrak{E}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\mu} Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu} |\mathfrak{E}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

where $Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu} \equiv (\mathfrak{E}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}|\psi)$, which implies that $Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^* = Q_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu}$, and that

$$\text{Re}\{Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\} = \eta(|\mathfrak{E}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}), |\psi\rangle) = \frac{Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

Let us use superscripts Re and Im to denote real and imaginary parts, respectively, so that

$$Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(t) = Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{\text{Re}}(t) + iQ_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{\text{Im}}(t) = \frac{Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(t)}{\sqrt{2}} + iQ_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{\text{Im}}(t),$$

The only constraint imposed, either implicitly or explicitly, on the imaginary part of $Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}$ is $Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^* = Q_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu}$. Therefore, it can be chosen to choose a useful purpose and we will return to discussing useful choices of it in Sec.*.

1. Harmonic approximation

When the only active mode is $\mathbf{k}\mu$, each atom undergoes simple harmonic motion with the same frequency, $\omega_{\mathbf{k}\mu}$. Therefore, when the amplitude of mode $\mathbf{k}\mu$ is sufficiently small, the potential energy is well approximated by the harmonic expression,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_2 &\equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{R}_j} m_j \omega_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^2 |\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{R}}|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{R}} \omega_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^2 |\psi^{\mathbf{R}}|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^2 Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^* Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^2 Q_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu} Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}, \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where a route similar to the one taken in Eq. 36 was used to reach the final expression in terms of the mode coordinates. When all modes are active, the harmonic approximation to the energy is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &\approx \mathcal{K} + \mathcal{H}_2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\mu} [\dot{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu} \dot{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu} + \omega_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^2 Q_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu} Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\mu} [P_{\mathbf{k}\mu} P_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu} + \omega_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^2 Q_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu} Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}], \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

where $P_{\mathbf{k}\mu} \equiv \dot{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^* = \dot{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu}$ is the momentum conjugate to $Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}$.

Note that Eq. 46 simplifies to $\mathcal{K} + \mathcal{H}_2 = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\mu} \omega_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^2 Q_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu} Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}$ when the system is harmonic, because $\dot{Q}_{\mathbf{k}}^\mu = -i\omega_{\mathbf{k}\mu} Q_{\mathbf{k}}^\mu$. However, referring to Eq. 25, we see that, in general (i.e., at finite T), the oscillation along eigenvector $|E_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle$ has contributions from a continuous range of frequencies. In the $T \rightarrow 0$ limit, Eq. 25 must reduce to the form $Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu} \sim \cos \omega_{\mathbf{k}\mu} t$. Therefore, the Fourier transform $\tilde{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(\omega)$ of $Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(t)$ must become more and more sharply peaked at $\omega = \omega_{\mathbf{k}\mu}$ as the $T \rightarrow 0$ limit is approached.

2. Perturbation theory of interacting phonons

The expression for \mathcal{K} does not change at finite T , but the expression for the potential energy does. However, the set of mode coordinates $\{Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\}$ provides a complete specification of the positions of all atoms in the crystal via Eq. 44. Therefore the potential energy can be expressed as a function of all mode coordinates and then Taylor expanded about mechanical equilibrium ($Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu} = 0, \forall \mathbf{k}\mu$). The harmonic term in this expansion must equal \mathcal{H}_2 , because all other terms vanish as the Q 's become vanishingly small, which is the limit in which Eq. 46 becomes exact.

When displacements from equilibrium are large enough that anharmonic contributions to the energy are relevant, the energy may be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= \mathcal{K} + \mathcal{H}_2 + \sum_{\substack{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \\ \mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{k}_3}} \Phi_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3}^{\mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{k}_3} Q_{\mathbf{k}_1 \mu_1} Q_{\mathbf{k}_2 \mu_2} Q_{\mathbf{k}_3 \mu_3} \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4 \\ \mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{k}_3 \mathbf{k}_4}} \Phi_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4}^{\mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{k}_3 \mathbf{k}_4} Q_{\mathbf{k}_1 \mu_1} Q_{\mathbf{k}_2 \mu_2} Q_{\mathbf{k}_3 \mu_3} Q_{\mathbf{k}_4 \mu_4} + \mathcal{O}(Q^5), \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

where Q^p represents the product of any p mode coordinates; and each coefficient Φ with l subscripts and l superscripts is $1/l!$ times the l^{th} partial derivative of the potential energy with respect to the mode coordinates identified by those indices, and evaluated at equilibrium, where all Q 's vanish. The anharmonic part of the potential energy, which I will denote by $\Delta\mathcal{H}$, contains an infinite number of terms, but is usually truncated after the Q^3 or Q^4 terms in practical usage.

I will denote the sets of all mode coordinates and momenta by $\mathbf{Q} \equiv (Q_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mu_1}, Q_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mu_2}, \dots)$ and $\mathbf{P} \equiv (P_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mu_1}, P_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mu_2}, \dots)$, respectively. This allows Eq. 47 to be expressed as

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{P}) = \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{P}) + \mathcal{H}_2(\mathbf{Q}) + \Delta\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{Q}). \quad (48)$$

It is important that $\Delta\mathcal{H}$ does not depend on \mathbf{P} . If it did, $\dot{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mu}$ would cease to be the momentum conjugate to $Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}$ at finite T whenever $\Delta\mathcal{H}$ is not negligible.

3. Kinetic energy density in reciprocal spacetime from correlation functions

The mass-weighted velocity-velocity correlation function (mVVCF) of the j^{th} atom in the primitive unit cell is defined as

$$C^j(\mathbf{R}, t) \equiv m_j \langle \dot{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathbf{R}_0 j}(t_0) \cdot \dot{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathbf{R}_0 + \mathbf{R} j}(t_0 + t) \rangle_{\mathbf{R}_0, t_0},$$

where $\langle \rangle_{\mathbf{R}_0, t_0}$ denotes an average over all $\mathbf{R}_0 \in \mathfrak{B}_{\text{bulk}}$ and over all initial times t_0 . I will now derive a simple relationship between the discrete distribution of vibrational

energy in reciprocal spacetime, $\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$, and the discrete Fourier transform, $\hat{C}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$, of $C(\mathbf{R}, t) \equiv \sum_j C^j(\mathbf{R}, t)$, which can also be expressed as

$$C(\mathbf{R}, t) = \left\langle \left\langle \dot{\psi}_{\mathbf{R}_0}(t_0) \middle| \dot{\psi}_{\mathbf{R}_0 + \mathbf{R}}(t_0 + t) \right\rangle \right\rangle_{\mathbf{R}_0, t_0}.$$

I begin by replacing $\left\langle \dot{\psi}_{\mathbf{R}_0}(t_0) \middle| \right\rangle$ and $\left| \dot{\psi}_{\mathbf{R}_0 + \mathbf{R}}(t_0 + t) \right\rangle$ with their discrete Fourier transforms with respect to both space and time, and I also make the averages over \mathbf{R}_0 and t_0 more explicit, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} C(\mathbf{R}, t) &= \frac{1}{N_c} \sum_{\mathbf{R}_0} \frac{1}{N_t} \sum_{t_0} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\omega} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'\omega'} \omega\omega' \left\langle \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) \middle| \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}'}(\omega') \right\rangle e^{i(\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{R}_0} e^{-i(\omega' - \omega)t_0} e^{i(\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{R} - \omega' t)} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}\omega} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'\omega'} \omega\omega' \left\langle \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) \middle| \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}'}(\omega') \right\rangle \left(\frac{1}{N_c} \sum_{\mathbf{R}_0} e^{i(\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{R}_0} \right) \left(\frac{1}{N_t} \sum_{t_0} e^{-i(\omega' - \omega)t_0} \right) e^{i(\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{R} - \omega' t)} \end{aligned}$$

Note that the quantities in parentheses can be replaced with $\delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}$ and $\delta_{\omega\omega'}$; therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} C(\mathbf{R}, t) &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}\omega} \omega^2 \left\langle \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) \middle| \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) \right\rangle e^{i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R} - \omega t)} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}\omega} \hat{C}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) e^{i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R} - \omega t)} \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{C}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \omega^2 \left\langle \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) \middle| \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) \right\rangle$ is the discrete Fourier transform of $C(\mathbf{R}, t)$. It follows from Eq. 41 that

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left[\hat{C}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) + \hat{C}(\bar{\mathbf{k}}, \omega) \right]. \quad (49)$$

and, since $\left\langle \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) \middle| \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) \right\rangle = \left\langle \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^*(\omega) \middle| \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^*(\omega) \right\rangle$ implies that $\hat{C}(\bar{\mathbf{k}}, \bar{\omega}) = \hat{C}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ and $\hat{C}(\bar{\mathbf{k}}, \omega) = \hat{C}(\mathbf{k}, \bar{\omega})$, the average kinetic energy per unit cell can be expressed as

$$\frac{\langle \mathcal{K}(t) \rangle}{N_c} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_{\omega} \hat{C}(\mathbf{k}, \omega), \quad (50)$$

where the sum over ω is not restricted to positive values.

4. Mode-projected correlation functions

By expressing the identity of \mathbb{C}^{3N} as $\sum_{\mathbf{k}\mu} |\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle \langle \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}|$ or as $\sum_{\mathbf{R}\mu} |w_{\mathbf{R}\mu}\rangle \langle w_{\mathbf{R}\mu}|$, we can write $C(\mathbf{R}, t)$ in the follow-

ing forms.

$$\begin{aligned} C(\mathbf{R}, t) &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}\mu} \left\langle \left\langle \dot{\psi}_{\mathbf{R}_0}(t_0) \middle| \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu} \right\rangle \left\langle \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu} \middle| \dot{\psi}_{\mathbf{R}_0 + \mathbf{R}}(t_0 + t) \right\rangle \right\rangle_{\mathbf{R}_0, t_0} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{R}'\mu} \left\langle \left\langle \dot{\psi}_{\mathbf{R}_0}(t_0) \middle| w_{\mathbf{R}'\mu} \right\rangle \left\langle w_{\mathbf{R}'\mu} \middle| \dot{\psi}_{\mathbf{R}_0 + \mathbf{R}}(t_0 + t) \right\rangle \right\rangle_{\mathbf{R}_0, t_0} \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

This means that, for example, we can calculate the contribution to the reciprocal spacetime distribution of the kinetic energy of motion parallel to each $|\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle$ separately: It is the Fourier transform, $\hat{C}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$, of the *mode projected correlation function*,

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(\mathbf{R}, t) &\equiv \left\langle \left\langle \dot{\psi}_{\mathbf{R}_0}(t_0) \middle| \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu} \right\rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left\langle \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu} \middle| \dot{\psi}_{\mathbf{R}_0 + \mathbf{R}}(t_0 + t) \right\rangle \right\rangle_{\mathbf{R}_0, t_0}. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

$\hat{C}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(\mathbf{k}', \omega)$ is non-zero at all wavevectors $\mathbf{k}' \neq \mathbf{k}$, in general, because cell eigenvectors at different wavevectors are not orthogonal to one another. Therefore, each cell eigenvector $|\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle$ is a superposition of the cell eigenvectors, $\{|\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}'\mu}\rangle\}_{\mu=1}^{3N}$, at any wavevector \mathbf{k}' . This means that, as a function of ω at a fixed wavevector \mathbf{k}' , $\hat{C}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(\mathbf{k}', \omega)$ has contributions from all modes $\{\mathbf{k}'\mu : 1 \leq \mu \leq 3N\}$. Therefore, we will usually focus on the value of $\hat{C}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(\omega) \equiv \hat{C}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(\mathbf{k}', \omega)|_{\mathbf{k}'=\mathbf{k}}$, after suitably normalizing it. In the low T limit, each mode-projected spectrum $\hat{C}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(\omega)$ is a single sharp peak at $(\mathbf{k}, \omega_{\mathbf{k}\mu})$. As T increases these peaks are expected to broaden, to change shape, and to shift in frequency.

IV. PHONON SIZES: FROM STANDING WAVES TO WAVE PACKETS AND THE QUASIPARTICLE GAS

[This section is far from complete and under heavy construction.]

The focus of the present work is on the classical wave theory of phonons. Its purposes are to lay out this theory's mathematical foundations in a more general and comprehensive form than can be found in most existing literature, and to derive a classically-exact expression for the decomposition of a crystal's kinetic energy into contributions $\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ from a set of sampled points (\mathbf{k}, ω) in reciprocal spacetime.

At each point (\mathbf{k}, ω) the energy can be decomposed further by expressing it as the sum,

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{3N} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{\mathcal{K}}(\omega),$$

of as many contributions $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{\mathcal{K}}(\omega)$ as there are degrees of freedom in each primitive unit cell of the crystal. In Sec. III.B.4 I chose to decompose it into contributions from motions along the $T \rightarrow 0$ normal mode cell eigenvectors, $|\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle$, but I could have chosen to decompose it into contributions from motions along any set of $3N$ vectors that spans \mathbb{R}^{3N} .

For example, at each temperature and each wavevector \mathbf{k} , there must exist an orthonormal basis, $\mathcal{B} \equiv \{|e_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle\}_{\mu=1}^{3N}$, of \mathbb{R}^{3N} that minimizes the sum of the second central moments of the $3N$ contributions to $\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$, i.e., that minimizes

$$\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{B}] \equiv \sum_{\mu=1}^{3N} \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} (\omega - \bar{\omega}_{\mathbf{k}\mu})^2 \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{\mathcal{K}}(\omega) d\omega$$

with respect to \mathcal{B} , where $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}$ is some chosen normalization constant, such as unity, or

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}\mu} \equiv \|\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\|_1 \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{\mathcal{K}}(\omega) d\omega,$$

or any other judicious and appropriate choice, and

$$\bar{\omega}_{\mathbf{k}\mu} \equiv \|\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\|_1^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \omega \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{\mathcal{K}}(\omega) d\omega.$$

Choosing basis \mathcal{B} such that the functions $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{\mathcal{K}}(\omega)$ are localized would reduce the degree of overlap between them. Therefore it is likely to reduce the rate at which the motions along these vectors *resonantly* exchange energy with one another. However, resonance is not necessary for interactions to be strong, as discussed and demonstrated in Ref. Coiana *et al.*, 2023. Therefore the basis \mathcal{B} that minimizes \mathcal{C} may not define a set of mutually-orthogonal motions that exchange energy with one another more slowly. Motions along different elements of \mathcal{B} may interact with one another more strongly, on average, than

motions along vectors for which the set $\{\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^{\mathcal{K}}\}_{\mu=1}^{3N}$ is less localized.

One can also decompose $\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ into contributions from motions along the eigenvectors of a self-consistent temperature-renormalized dynamical matrix. In other words, along a set of mutually-orthogonal vectors that have been chosen such that the time-averaged mean-field interaction between small-amplitude motion along each one, and the rest of the crystal's vibrations, vanishes at first order in its amplitude.

A. Wave perspective versus scattering perspective

Whether it is most appropriate to treat phonons as waves or as quasiparticles depends on their sizes. By the 'size' of a phonon I mean its linear dimensions in all directions. Along its axis of propagation its size is quantified by its coherence length, which I will discuss briefly in Sec. IV.A.1. In the planes perpendicular to its wavevector, its size is quantified by the linear dimensions of the part of the crystal that it perturbs as it passes by, which can be quantified by its *coherence radius*.

At finite T , when the sizes of phonons are orders of magnitude smaller than the size of the crystal, each phonon can be regarded as a quasiparticle that is born in a scattering event, travels until it collides with, and scatters from, other phonon quasiparticles, and eventually dies. Put another way, when it is meaningful to regard each phonon as having a well defined position at any given instant within its lifetime, the scattering perspective is useful. When it is not, the wave perspective is usually more appropriate.

1. Correlation and coherence lengths and times

Given a correlation function, such as $C(\mathbf{R}, t)$ or $C_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(\mathbf{R}, t)$, I use the term *correlation length* to mean its characteristic decay length, either at $t = 0$ or when it is integrated over t . We will not need to be specific about how a characteristic decay length is defined; it could be the (direction-dependent) magnitude of \mathbf{R} at which the value of $|C_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(\mathbf{R}, t)/C_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(0, t)|$ becomes lower than a specified value or, for an exponential decay, $C_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(\mathbf{R}, t) \sim e^{-\gamma \mathbf{R}}$, it could be $1/\gamma$.

A *correlation time* is the characteristic decay time of a correlation function at $\mathbf{R} = 0$, or when it is summed over all $\mathbf{R} \in \mathfrak{B}_{\text{bulk}}$, and a *coherence time* is the correlation time when $\mathbf{R} \equiv (2\pi/|\mathbf{k}|)\hat{\mathbf{k}}$, where $\hat{\mathbf{k}} \equiv \mathbf{k}/|\mathbf{k}|$.

B. Inadequacy of mode coordinates within scattering picture of phonon-phonon interactions

When phonons are small enough that it is appropriate to describe their interactions as discrete spatially-

localized scattering events, neither the mode coordinate $Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}$ nor the contribution $\frac{1}{2} [\dot{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu} \dot{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu} + \omega_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^2 Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu} Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}]$, of mode $\mathbf{k}\mu$ to the total energy, is a satisfactory measure of the mode $\mathbf{k}\mu$'s prevalence within the crystal. This is because $|Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}|$ is the *net* amplitude of $\mathbf{k}\mu$ phonons in the crystal as a whole. If there are many independent $\mathbf{k}\mu$ phonons in different parts of the crystal, their phases will all be different and almost all of their contributions to $Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}$ will cancel one another out. Therefore, it might be useful to build a scattering theory in which the positions and sizes/inertias of phonons are recognized.

1. Basis of Wannier vectors

$Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}$ is simply the overlap between the crystal's displacement from equilibrium and the eigenvector of mode $\mathbf{k}\mu$. By a slight manipulation of Eq. 25, we can also express it as $\sqrt{N_c}$ times the projection onto cell eigenvector $|\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle$ of the Fourier transform of $|\psi_{\mathbf{R}}(t)\rangle$ with respect to \mathbf{R} , i.e.,

$$Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(t) = \sqrt{N_c} \langle \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu} | \left(\frac{1}{N_c} \sum_{\mathbf{R}} |\psi_{\mathbf{R}}(t)\rangle e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}} \right). \quad (53)$$

By assumption, the cell eigenvectors do not vary in the bulk; however, because they vary quascontinuously with wavevector \mathbf{k} , we can Fourier transform them with respect to \mathbf{k} to find a set of vectors $\{|w_{\mathbf{R}\mu}\rangle : \mathbf{R} \in \mathfrak{B}_{\text{bulk}}\}$ that are localized in real space. I refer to these as *Wannier vectors* because they are the phonon counterparts of the *Wannier functions* that appear in the band theory of electrons. Each one is defined with reference to a particular cell $\Omega_{\mathbf{R}}$ and a particular branch μ as

$$|w_{\mathbf{R}\mu}\rangle \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{k}} |\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}} \iff |\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle = \frac{1}{N_c} \sum_{\mathbf{R}} |w_{\mathbf{R}\mu}\rangle e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}}$$

Substituting the expression for $|\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu}\rangle$ into Eq. 53 gives

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(t) &= \frac{1}{N_c} \sum_{\mathbf{R}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_c}} \sum_{\mathbf{R}'} \langle w_{\mathbf{R}'\mu} | e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}'} \right) |\psi_{\mathbf{R}}\rangle e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}} \\ &= \left\langle \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_c}} \sum_{\Delta\mathbf{R}} \langle w_{\mathbf{R}+\Delta\mathbf{R}\mu} | \psi_{\mathbf{R}} \rangle e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\Delta\mathbf{R}} \right\rangle_{\mathbf{R}}, \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

where I have used the substitution $\mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{R} + \Delta\mathbf{R}$.

Now let us define *cell-normalized mode coordinate* $\bar{q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu} \equiv Q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}/\sqrt{N_c}$, which allows us to express the energy per unit cell of mode $\mathbf{k}\mu$ as $\frac{1}{2} \dot{\bar{q}}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^* \dot{\bar{q}}_{\mathbf{k}\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^* \dot{Q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}/N_c$. Then Eq. 54 can be used to express its Fourier transform with respect to \mathbf{k} as

$$\bar{q}_{\mathbf{R}\mu} \equiv \langle \langle w_{\mathbf{R}_0+\mathbf{R}\mu} | \psi_{\mathbf{R}_0} \rangle \rangle_{\mathbf{R}_0} = \langle \langle w_{\mathbf{R}_0\mu} | \psi_{\mathbf{R}_0+\mathbf{R}} \rangle \rangle_{\mathbf{R}_0}.$$

This is simply the average overlap of the structures of bulk cells with Wannier vectors referenced to cells displaced from them by \mathbf{R} , or the average overlap of Wannier vectors with the structures of cells displaced from

them by \mathbf{R} . It is straightforward to show that the kinetic energy per unit cell can be expressed in either of the following forms.

$$\frac{\mathcal{K}(t)}{N_c} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\mu} \dot{\bar{q}}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}^* \dot{\bar{q}}_{\mathbf{k}\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{R}\mu} \dot{\bar{q}}_{\mathbf{R}\mu}^* \dot{\bar{q}}_{\mathbf{R}\mu}. \quad (55)$$

2. Suggestions for further development of phonon theory

One could define the *local mode coordinate*, $q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(\mathbf{R}, t) \equiv \langle \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\mu} | \psi_{\mathbf{R}}(t) \rangle e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}}$, whose average over all \mathbf{R} is $\bar{q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(t)$. From there one could try to identify clusters of cells, $\Omega_{\mathbf{R}}$, in which the modulus of $q_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(\mathbf{R}, t)$ is large and all cells in each cluster are participants in the same phonon's motion. Then one could attempt to characterize each cluster, which is a wave packet of finite size, as a point particle with suitably-chosen definitions of quantities such as its position, inertia, and linear momentum. Its linear momentum is likely to be proportional to the group velocity of the wave packet, and is likely to differ from the sum, over all cells in the cluster, of their contributions, $\dot{q}_{\mathbf{k}\mu}(\mathbf{R}, t)$, to the mode momentum.

After deducing an appropriate way to characterize each phonon particle, a statistical theory of scattering could be built, which acknowledges the localized nature of phonons at finite T , the inhomogeneity of their spatial distribution, and the nonuniformity of their sizes and inertias.

However, I am restricting the scope of the present work to describing phonons when it is most appropriate to treat them as waves, rather than particles. This is often the case in the $T \rightarrow 0$ limit, or when studying lattice waves in nanoscale or nanostructured materials, or when analysing the results of atomistic simulations. It is the case when one is studying phonon dynamics on length scales small enough that the presence of a $\mathbf{k}\mu$ phonon in the region of the crystal being studied implies that all of the primitive cells in that region are participating in the phonon's vibration and propagation. When this is the case, it follows that no more than one $\mathbf{k}\mu$ phonon exists within the region of interest because the superposition of two $\mathbf{k}\mu$ phonons would be equivalent to a single $\mathbf{k}\mu$ phonon.

V. SUMMARY

This is a work-in-progress whose completion will be gradual. Some sections are only partly written, and there are likely to be mistakes throughout the manuscript. I am placing it into the public domain at this time in the hope that others will find mistakes in it and notify me of them, and/or complete the theory themselves.

The purpose of this work is to present the theory of vibrations in crystals in a more general form than is commonly found in textbooks and journals. It is hoped that, when it eventually reaches completion, the many mistakes within it will have been fixed, and it can serve as a self-contained general reference for those simulating vibrations in crystals and/or developing the theory of vibrations in crystals further.

Appendices

Appendix A: Fourier transforms

1. Continuous Fourier transforms

My starting point is the unitary form of the Fourier transform (FT), with $\sigma \equiv 1/\sqrt{2\pi}$, and with different signs in the imaginary exponents for the transforms with respect to space and time, i.e., the FT of an arbitrary function $f(\mathbf{R}, t)$ with respect to t is

$$\mathfrak{F}_t[f](\mathbf{R}, \omega) \equiv \sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}} dt f(\mathbf{r}, t) e^{i\omega t},$$

its FT with respect to \mathbf{r} is

$$\mathfrak{F}_s[f](\mathbf{k}, t) \equiv \sigma^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3r f(\mathbf{r}, t) e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}},$$

and its FT with respect to both \mathbf{r} and t is

$$\tilde{f}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) \equiv \mathfrak{F}[f](\mathbf{k}, \omega) \equiv \mathfrak{F}_s[\mathfrak{F}_t[f]](\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \mathfrak{F}_t[\mathfrak{F}_s[f]](\mathbf{k}, \omega).$$

I adopt different conventions for the signs of the imaginary exponents in the integrands of $\mathfrak{F}_t[f]$ and $\mathfrak{F}_s[f]$ so that the FT with respect to both \mathbf{r} and t , $\tilde{f}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$, is the coefficient of a complex wave $e^{i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t)}$ that travels in the direction of \mathbf{k} when ω is positive.

a. Orthogonality relations for continuous waves

Complex waves are mutually orthogonal functions of (\mathbf{r}, t) , which means that they satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3k \left(e^{i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t)} \right)^* e^{i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}' - \omega t')} \\ = (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \delta(t - t'), \end{aligned}$$

The geometric reason for taking the complex conjugate of one of the waves in the orthogonality relation will be discussed in Sec. B.

Individually, the temporal and spatial parts of the complex waves satisfy the following orthogonality relations, which are proved in many undergraduate mathematics

textbooks (Boas, 2006; Riley *et al.*, 2002).

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} d\omega e^{\pm i\omega(t-t')} = 2\pi \delta(t - t'), \quad (\text{A1})$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} dt e^{\pm i(\omega - \omega')t} = 2\pi \delta(\omega - \omega'), \quad (\text{A2})$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3k e^{\pm i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')} = (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'), \quad (\text{A3})$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3r e^{\pm i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \cdot \mathbf{r}} = (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'). \quad (\text{A4})$$

b. Orthogonality relations for lattice waves

I will make frequent use of the following orthogonality relations.

$$\frac{1}{N_c} \sum_{\mathbf{R}} e^{i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \cdot \mathbf{R}} = \delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$\frac{1}{N_c} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}')} = 2\delta_{\mathbf{R}\mathbf{R}'} \quad (\text{A6})$$

$$\frac{1}{N_c} \sum_{\{\mathbf{k}, \bar{\mathbf{k}}\}} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}')} = \delta_{\mathbf{R}\mathbf{R}'}. \quad (\text{A7})$$

Appendix B: Real and complex vector spaces

The decision to work with complex vectors and exponentials, instead of real vectors and real sinusoids, simplifies calculations and makes it possible to work with enough generality to describe, simultaneously, many possible sets of boundary conditions. However, it slightly complicates any operation that involves the use of a metric tensor, such as projecting one vector onto another or calculating a vector's norm.

1. Vector notation, inner products, metrics, and dual vectors

In a Euclidean vector space of arbitrary dimension, with basis vectors \mathbf{e}_α , the inner product of two vectors $\mathbf{v} = \sum_{\alpha} v^{\alpha} \mathbf{e}_{\alpha}$ and $\mathbf{w} = \sum_{\alpha} w^{\alpha} \mathbf{e}_{\alpha}$ is

$$\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} \equiv g(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = g(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}) = \sum_{\alpha\beta} v^{\alpha} g(\mathbf{e}_{\alpha}, \mathbf{e}_{\beta}) w^{\beta}$$

where g is the Euclidean metric, which is symmetric and bilinear and often denoted by a dot, i.e., $g(\mathbf{e}_{\alpha}, \mathbf{e}_{\beta}) = \mathbf{e}_{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\beta}$. However, when we double the dimension of a Euclidean space by extending each of its dimensions into the complex plane, we must use a different metric, η , for the inner product.

For example, the complex plane \mathbb{C} can be viewed as a two dimensional vector space over the set of real numbers, \mathbb{R} . However, this space is only isometrically isomorphic

to the Euclidean space, $\mathbb{R}^2 \equiv \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, if the symmetric bilinear metric, $\eta(a, b) \equiv \frac{1}{2} (a^*b + ab^*) = \eta^*(a, b) = \eta(b, a)$, is used in \mathbb{C} . It is only with this metric that the expression for a can be expressed in a new basis, $\{u, v\}$, as $a = \eta(u, a)u + \eta(v, a)v$ and the norm of a can be expressed as $|a| = \sqrt{\eta(a, a)}$.

Similarly, the inner product of two vectors $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is the n -dimensional version of this inner product $\eta(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \eta^*(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \eta(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v})$, which is related to the Euclidean dot product as $\eta(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} [g(\mathbf{v}^*, \mathbf{w}) + g(\mathbf{w}^*, \mathbf{v})]$.

I will use the symbols η and g to denote the complex symmetric metric and the Euclidean metric, respectively, in spaces of all dimensions. I do this with the understanding that they always denote the metrics of the space that their vector arguments belong to.

I will continue to use boldface type (e.g., \mathbf{u}) to denote vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 or \mathbb{C}^3 , but I will use $|u\rangle$ to denote a vector in \mathbb{R}^{3N} or \mathbb{C}^{3N} and $|u\rangle$ to denote a vector in \mathbb{R}^{3NN_c} or \mathbb{C}^{3NN_c} .

I will denote the duals of the vectors \mathbf{u} , $|u\rangle$, and $|u\rangle$ by \mathbf{u}^\dagger , $\langle u|$, and $\langle u|$, respectively, where the *dual*, or *metric dual*, of a vector is the unique linear map from the vector space to its field of scalars (\mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C}) provided by the inner product. For example, in \mathbb{C}^3 we have $\mathbf{u}^\dagger : \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; \mathbf{v} \mapsto \mathbf{u}^\dagger \mathbf{v} \equiv g(\mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{v})$, where \mathbf{u}^* is \mathbf{u} after each taking the complex conjugate of each of its components and the basis vectors in which it is expressed. The difference between \mathbf{u}^* and \mathbf{u}^\dagger is that \mathbf{u}^* is a vector and \mathbf{u}^\dagger is the operator $\mathbf{u}^* \cdot \equiv g(\mathbf{u}^*, \cdot)$, i.e., $\mathbf{u}^\dagger \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u}^* \cdot \mathbf{v}$.

In \mathbb{C}^{3N} the dual of $|u\rangle$ is $\langle u| : \mathbb{C}^{3N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; |v\rangle \mapsto \langle u||v\rangle \equiv \langle u|v\rangle$; and in \mathbb{C}^{3NN_c} we have $\langle u| : \mathbb{C}^{3NN_c} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; |v\rangle \mapsto \langle u||v\rangle \equiv \langle u|v\rangle$. I will sometimes use \dagger to denote the dualizing operation in higher dimensions; for example $|u\rangle^\dagger \equiv \langle u|$.

I will denote the inner product of two vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 by $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} \equiv g(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ and in \mathbb{C}^3 I will denote the inner product by $\eta(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{2} [g(\mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{v}) + g(\mathbf{v}^*, \mathbf{u})]$. In spaces of dimensions $3N$ and $3NN_c$ I denote the inner products by $\eta(|u\rangle, |v\rangle)$ and $\eta(|u\rangle, |v\rangle)$, respectively, and I will also use a hybrid of an inner product and a *Clifford product* (Doran and Lasenby, 2007; Hestenes, 1966), denoted by $\langle u|v\rangle \equiv g(|u\rangle^*, |v\rangle)$ and $(u|v) \equiv g(|u\rangle^*, |v\rangle)$, respectively. The real parts of $\langle u|v\rangle$ and $(u|v)$ are inner products of vectors in the real vector spaces \mathbb{R}^{6N} and \mathbb{R}^{6NN_c} , respectively, but the meanings of their imaginary parts are less easy to interpret. I briefly discuss this further in App. B.2.

2. Clifford product

A Clifford product of two vectors is the sum of their inner and outer products. The inner product is a scalar and the outer product is a bivector. For example, when working in \mathbb{R}^2 with orthonormal basis $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2\}$, the Clif-

ford product of $\mathbf{u} \equiv u^1 \mathbf{e}_1 + u^2 \mathbf{e}_2$ and $\mathbf{v} \equiv v^1 \mathbf{e}_1 + v^2 \mathbf{e}_2$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}\mathbf{v} &= \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u} \wedge \mathbf{v} \\ &= (u^1 v^1 + u^2 v^2) + \mathbf{e}_1 \mathbf{e}_2 (u^1 v^2 - u^2 v^1), \end{aligned}$$

where the Clifford product of bivector $\mathbf{e}_1 \mathbf{e}_2$ with itself is -1 . Knowledge of Clifford algebra is not necessary to understand the present work, but Doran and Lasenby, 2007 and Hestenes, 1966 are two of many good starting points for readers interested in learning about it.

For the purposes of this work, it suffices to know that, when complex numbers are used, and when I perform multiplications like

$$\begin{aligned} u^* v &\equiv (u^1 - i u^2)(v^1 + i v^2) \\ &= (u^1 v^1 + u^2 v^2) + i(u^1 v^2 - u^2 v^1), \end{aligned}$$

I am implicitly taking a Clifford product. Therefore $i(u^1 v^2 - u^2 v^1)$ can be interpreted, geometrically, as a bivector whose magnitude is the area of the parallelogram with edges \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} and which is parallel to a plane containing both \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} . That plane has two sides, one of which is parallel to $\mathbf{u} \wedge \mathbf{v}$ and antiparallel to $\mathbf{v} \wedge \mathbf{u}$, and the other of which is parallel to $\mathbf{v} \wedge \mathbf{u}$ and antiparallel to $\mathbf{u} \wedge \mathbf{v}$. Which is which is a matter of convention and will not concern us.

3. Dual vectors in spaces of dimension 3, 3N, and 3NN_c

In \mathbb{C}^3 an arbitrary vector \mathbf{v}_1 and its metric dual are denoted as

$$\mathbf{v}_1 \equiv \sum_{\alpha} v_1^{\alpha} \mathbf{a}_{\alpha} \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{v}_1^{\dagger} \equiv \sum_{\alpha\beta} v_1^{\alpha*} g_{\alpha\beta} \mathbf{b}^{\beta}.$$

In \mathbb{C}^{3N} an arbitrary vector $|v_2\rangle$ and its metric dual are denoted as

$$|v_2\rangle \equiv \sum_{j\alpha} v_2^{j\alpha} |j\alpha\rangle \longleftrightarrow \langle v_2| \equiv \sum_{j\alpha\beta} v_2^{j\alpha*} g_{\alpha\beta} \langle j\beta|.$$

In \mathbb{C}^{3NN_c} an arbitrary vector $|v_3\rangle$ and its metric dual are denoted as

$$|v_3\rangle \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} v_3^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha} |\mathbf{R}j\alpha\rangle \longleftrightarrow \langle v_3| \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{R}j\alpha\beta} v_3^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha*} g_{\alpha\beta} \langle \mathbf{R}j\beta|.$$

It follows that the square moduli of these vectors are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}_1^{\dagger} \mathbf{v}_1 &= g(\mathbf{v}_1^*, \mathbf{v}_1) = \sum_{\alpha\beta} v_1^{\alpha*} g_{\alpha\beta} v_1^{\beta} \\ \langle v_2|v_2\rangle &= g(|v_2\rangle^*, |v_2\rangle) = \sum_{j\alpha\beta} v_2^{j\alpha*} g_{\alpha\beta} v_2^{j\beta} \\ (v_3|v_3) &= g(|v_3\rangle^*, |v_3\rangle) = \sum_{\mathbf{R}j\alpha\beta} v_3^{\mathbf{R}j\alpha*} g_{\alpha\beta} v_3^{\mathbf{R}j\beta} \end{aligned}$$

The Clifford product of a vector with itself equals its inner product with itself, because the outer product of a vector with itself vanishes.

4. The imaginary part of an inner product in complex vector spaces

At the end of App. B.1 I referred to $\langle u|v \rangle$ and $(u|v)$ as hybrids of inner and Clifford products. By this I mean, for example, that if the orthonormal basis $\{|e_{j\alpha}\rangle\}$ spans \mathbb{R}^{3N} , and therefore also spans \mathbb{C}^{3N} , then any vector $|u\rangle$ can be expressed as $|u\rangle = \sum_{\alpha} u^{j\alpha} |e_{j\alpha}\rangle$, for some set of coordinates $u^{j\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u|v \rangle &= \left(\sum_{j\alpha} u^{j\alpha} |e_{j\alpha}\rangle \right)^\dagger \left(\sum_{i\beta} v^{i\beta} |e_{i\beta}\rangle \right) \quad (\text{B1}) \\ &= \sum_j \sum_{\alpha\beta} u^{j\alpha*} \delta_{\alpha\beta} v^{j\beta} = \sum_{j\alpha} u_{\alpha}^{j*} v^{j\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

where $u_{\alpha}^j = u^{j\alpha}$ because the basis is orthonormal. If $\langle u|v \rangle$ was a true Clifford product, it would have a bivector part consisting of a sum of terms proportional to the outer products $|e_{j\alpha}\rangle \wedge |e_{i\beta}\rangle$ of different basis vectors. However, we take the inner product $\langle e_{j\alpha} | e_{i\beta} \rangle = \delta_{ij} \delta_{\alpha\beta}$ of the basis vectors and, implicitly, by using complex numbers, we find the sum $u^{j\alpha*} v^{j\alpha}$ of the inner and outer products of the *components* along these basis vectors. Then we add all these sums of inner and outer products together. Therefore the real part of the result is a sum of real inner products, which is an inner product of vectors in a vector

space of dimension $6N$. The imaginary part is difficult to interpret because the imaginary unit $i = \sqrt{-1}$ plays the role of a bivector whenever \mathbb{C} is used as a substitute for \mathbb{R}^2 , but when $j\alpha \neq i\beta$, the products $u^{j\alpha*} v^{j\alpha}$ and $u^{i\beta*} v^{i\beta}$ are products of pairs of vectors that belong to *different* two dimensional vector spaces, identified by $j\alpha$ and $i\beta$, respectively. Therefore, the imaginary part of $\langle u|v \rangle$ appears to be nonsensical.

However, let us momentarily abandon our notational conventions for vectors in spaces of dimension $3N$ and 3 , and let us express $|e_{j\alpha}\rangle$ as the tensor product $|e_{j\alpha}\rangle = |j\rangle \otimes |\alpha\rangle$, where $|j\rangle \in \{0, 1\}^N$, $|\alpha\rangle \in \mathbb{R}^3$, and the orthogonality relations $\langle i|j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ and $\langle \alpha|\beta \rangle = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$ hold, and imply the relation,

$$\langle e_{j\alpha} | e_{i\beta} \rangle = (\langle \alpha | \otimes \langle j |) (|i\rangle \otimes |\beta\rangle) = \langle i|j \rangle \langle \alpha|\beta \rangle = \delta_{ij} \delta_{\alpha\beta}.$$

Then, for each term proportional to $\langle e_{j\alpha} | e_{i\beta} \rangle = \delta_{ij} \langle \alpha|\beta \rangle$ in Eq. B1, there is a term proportional to $\langle e_{i\beta} | e_{j\alpha} \rangle = \delta_{ij} \langle \beta|\alpha \rangle$. Putting it another way, for every imaginary term that represents a bivector parallel to $|\alpha\rangle \wedge |\beta\rangle$, there is an imaginary term of equal magnitude and opposite sign that represents a bivector parallel to $|\beta\rangle \wedge |\alpha\rangle = -|\alpha\rangle \wedge |\beta\rangle$. These terms cancel one another in the nonsensical sum.

REFERENCES

- Ashcroft, Neil W, and N. David Mermin (1976), *Solid State Physics*, HRW international editions (Holt, Rinehart and Winston).
- Bianco, Raffaello, Ion Errea, Lorenzo Paulatto, Matteo Calandra, and Francesco Mauri (2017), “Second-order structural phase transitions, free energy curvature, and temperature-dependent anharmonic phonons in the self-consistent harmonic approximation: Theory and stochastic implementation,” *Phys. Rev. B* **96**, 014111.
- Boas, Mary L (2006), *Mathematical methods in the physical sciences*, 3rd ed. (John Wiley, Hoboken, NJ).
- Born, M, and K. Huang (1988), *Dynamical Theory of Crystal Lattices*, International series of monographs on physics (Clarendon Press).
- Cohen, Marvin L, and Steven G. Louie (2016), *Fundamentals of Condensed Matter Physics* (Cambridge University Press).
- Coiana, Gabriele, Johannes Lischner, and Paul Tangney (2023), “Breakdown of phonon band theory in MgO,” arXiv:2307.10944 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci].
- Doran, C, and A. Lasenby (2007), *Geometric Algebra for Physicists* (Cambridge University Press).
- Hellman, Olle, Peter Steneteg, I. A. Abrikosov, and S. I. Simak (2013), “Temperature dependent effective potential method for accurate free energy calculations of solids,” *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 104111.
- Hestenes, D (1966), *Spacetime Algebra*, Documents on modern physics (Gordon and Breach).
- Hooton, D J (1955), “A new treatment of anharmonicity in lattice thermodynamics: I,” *Lond. Edinb. Dublin philos. mag. j. sci.* **46** (375), 422–432.
- Ibach, H, and H. Lüth (2012), *Solid-State Physics: An Introduction to Theory and Experiment* (Springer Berlin Heidelberg).
- Jones, William, and Norman H. March (1973), *Theoretical solid state physics*, Interscience monographs and texts in physics and astronomy, v. 27, Vol. I (Wiley-Interscience, New York).
- Kittel, C (2004), *Introduction to Solid State Physics* (Wiley).
- Riley, K F, M. P. Hobson, and S. J. Bence (2002), *Mathematical Methods for Physics and Engineering: A Comprehensive Guide*, 2nd ed. (Cambridge University Press).
- Tadano, Terumasa, and Shinji Tsuneyuki (2015), “Self-consistent phonon calculations of lattice dynamical properties in cubic SrTiO₃ with first-principles anharmonic force constants,” *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 054301.
- Wallace, Duane C (1998), *Thermodynamics of Crystals*, Dover books on physics (Dover Publications).
- Werthamer, N R (1970), “Self-consistent phonon formulation of anharmonic lattice dynamics,” *Phys. Rev. B* **1**, 572–581.